



# International Journal of Research in Agronomy

E-ISSN: 2618-0618  
P-ISSN: 2618-060X  
© Agronomy  
NAAS Rating (2026): 5.20  
[www.agronomyjournals.com](http://www.agronomyjournals.com)  
2026; SP-9(5): 289-298  
Received: 12-02-2026  
Accepted: 16-03-2026

**Komal**  
Research Scholar, Department of  
Agronomy, Faculty of Agriculture,  
Guru Kashi University, Sardulgarh  
Road, Talwandi Sabo, Bathinda,  
Punjab, India

**Kanik Kumar Bansal**  
Assistant Professor, Faculty of  
Agriculture, Guru Kashi  
University, Bathinda, Punjab,  
India

**Baljinder Singh**  
Associate Professor, Faculty of  
Agriculture, Guru Kashi  
University, Bathinda, Punjab,  
India

**Sandeep Kaur**  
Research Scholar, Department of  
Agronomy, Faculty of Agriculture,  
Guru Kashi University, Sardulgarh  
Road, Talwandi Sabo, Bathinda,  
Punjab, India

**Ayush Sharma**  
Research Scholar, Department of  
Agronomy, Faculty of Agriculture,  
Guru Kashi University, Sardulgarh  
Road, Talwandi Sabo, Bathinda,  
Punjab, India

**Rahul**  
Research Scholar, Department of  
Agronomy, Faculty of Agriculture,  
Guru Kashi University, Sardulgarh  
Road, Talwandi Sabo, Bathinda,  
Punjab, India

**Corresponding Author:**  
**Kanik Kumar Bansal**  
Assistant Professor, Faculty of  
Agriculture, Guru Kashi  
University, Bathinda, Punjab,  
India

## Crop diversification as a climate-smart strategy: Mechanisms, global evidence, and pathways for sustainable agroecosystem

**Komal, Kanik Kumar Bansal, Baljinder Singh, Sandeep Kaur, Ayush Sharma and Rahul**

**DOI:** <https://www.doi.org/10.33545/2618060X.2026.v9.i5Sd.5574>

### Abstract

Agricultural systems face compounding pressures from accelerating climate change, biodiversity loss, and mounting demands for food security. Crop diversification encompassing intercropping, crop rotation, varietal mixtures, agroforestry, and integrated crop-livestock systems has emerged as one of the most ecologically grounded and scalable responses to these converging crises. Yet synthesis of its dual role in climate change mitigation and adaptation remains fragmented across disciplines, regions, and scales. Diversified systems can increase soil organic carbon stocks by 10-25per cent relative to monocultures, reduce greenhouse gas emission intensity by 18-29per cent in cereal and rice-based systems, and raise yield stability indices by 38-41per cent under drought and heat stress. Agroforestry and crop- livestock integration provide the strongest carbon sequestration benefits-reaching up to 2.1 Mg C ha<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> while also buffering microclimate extremes and restoring landscape connectivity. Despite this, adoption remains constrained by structural barriers including subsidy distortions favouring monocultures, inadequate extension infrastructure, weak market linkages for diversified commodities, and insufficient long-term trial data. Critical knowledge gaps persist regarding trade-offs between productivity, biodiversity, and farm profitability across heterogeneous landscapes. Crop diversification represents a tractable, cost-effective, and socially inclusive pathway toward climate-smart food systems.

**Keywords:** Agroecosystem resilience, carbon sequestration, climate-smart agriculture, crop diversification, ecosystem services, food security

### 1. Introduction

Global agriculture stands at a critical juncture. Climate change is amplifying production risks through increased temperature extremes, erratic precipitation, and longer drought periods-threatening food security for more than three billion people who depend on smallholder farming. Simultaneously, agricultural systems themselves account for approximately 24per cent of global Greenhouse Gas (GHG) emissions, primarily through soil management, livestock, and land-use change, making the sector both a major driver of and a significant solution to climate change (Kongsager 2018) <sup>[49]</sup>. In this context, crop diversification defined as the deliberate spatial and temporal integration of multiple crop species, varieties, or cropping practices within a farming system has attracted growing scientific and policy attention. Unlike technological silver-bullet approaches, diversification operates through ecological processes that simultaneously deliver productivity, resilience, and environmental outcomes. It encompasses practices ranging from simple crop rotation and cereal-legume intercropping to structurally complex agroforestry and fully integrated crop-livestock-forest systems. Despite a rapidly expanding evidence base, important gaps persist.

### 2. Conceptual basis of crop diversification

Crop diversification operates across at least four interacting levels: genetic diversity (within-species varietal mixtures), species diversity (multi-crop systems), functional diversity (ecological complementarity among species), and landscape diversity (spatial arrangement of

different land uses). These levels are not independent-system-level resilience emerges from interactions across scales. At the functional level, diversification improves agroecosystem performance through four principal ecological pathways. In first niche complementarity, different crops exploit different soil layers, light environments, and seasonal windows, reducing competition and improving total resource capture (Hufnagel *et al.*, 2020) <sup>[42]</sup>. In second facilitation, some crops actively improve conditions for others-legumes, enrich soil nitrogen available to companion cereals, and shade trees reduce thermal

stress for understorey crops. In third biological regulation, greater species richness disrupts the host-specific cycles of pests and pathogens, reducing outbreak frequency and severity without chemical intervention. In fourth soil engineering, diverse root architectures and organic matter inputs from multiple crops sustain microbial diversity and soil aggregate stability, which underpin long-term carbon storage and water retention. These ecological pathways operate simultaneously and interactively, producing outcomes that are non-additive and context-dependent (Rathod *et al.*, 2026) <sup>[65]</sup>.

**Table 1:** Global Evidence on Crop Diversification Strategies: Outcomes, Mechanisms, and Constraints

| Strategy                                   | Region               | Key Outcomes                                                                                    | Ecological Mechanisms                                         | Key Constraints                                            | Reference                                                                          |
|--------------------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <b>Maize-Legume Intercropping</b>          | East/West Africa     | Yield stability +38 per cent; BNF +45 kg N ha <sup>-1</sup> season <sup>-1</sup>                | N facilitation; pest cycle disruption; niche complementarity  | Seed access; weak legume markets                           | Hufnagel J, Reckling M, <i>et al.</i> , 2020 <sup>[42]</sup>                       |
| <b>Rice-Fish-Vegetable Polyculture</b>     | South/SE Asia        | GHG intensity -29 per cent per kg grain; diversified protein output                             | Reduced anaerobic CH <sub>4</sub> ; improved N-use efficiency | High infrastructure cost; management expertise required    | Adjimoti, <i>et al.</i> , 2018 <sup>[7]</sup>                                      |
| <b>Wheat-Soybean Strip Cropping</b>        | China/temperate      | Irrigation use -22 per cent; SOC accrual +0.18 Mg C ha <sup>-1</sup> yr <sup>-1</sup>           | Complementary rooting; SOC-driven water retention             | Mechanisation calibration; strip-width optimisation        | Liu <i>et al.</i> , 2024 <sup>[50]</sup>                                           |
| <b>Crop-Livestock-Forest Integration</b>   | Brazil/Latin America | C sequestration +2.1 Mg C ha <sup>-1</sup> yr <sup>-1</sup> ; diversified income                | Above-ground biomass accumulation; improved soil microbiology | Long establishment period; policy support needed           | Zheng, H., Ma, W., and He, Q. (2024) <sup>[78]</sup>                               |
| <b>Wheat-Mustard-mungbean Rotation</b>     | South Asia           | CH <sub>4</sub> /N <sub>2</sub> O emissions-18 per cent vs continuous wheat; improved N balance | Reduced anaerobic conditions; legume N contribution           | Water-table stress; seasonal labour peaks                  | Jahangir, M. M. R., (2025) <sup>[45]</sup>                                         |
| <b>Rubber Agroforestry Diversification</b> | SE Asia              | Landscape connectivity +31per cent; biodiversity restoration                                    | Habitat heterogeneity; reduced edge effects                   | Land tenure insecurity; market price risk                  | Zhou <i>et al.</i> , (2023) <sup>[79]</sup>                                        |
| <b>Banana-Coffee-Bean Polyculture</b>      | East Africa          | Temperature buffering within 3.2 °C of optimum vs 6.1 °C in monoculture                         | Microclimate regulation; canopy shading                       | Market volatility; complex harvest scheduling              | Bunn, C., Läderach, P., Ovalle Rivera, O., and Kirschke, D. (2023) <sup>[25]</sup> |
| <b>Teff-Chickpea-Barley Rotation</b>       | Ethiopia             | Drought resilience index +41per cent; improved food security                                    | Risk portfolio diversification; soil N enrichment             | Extension service gaps; underdeveloped input supply chains | Deressa, T. C., Chalchissa, F. B., and Gemed, D. O. (2026) <sup>[82]</sup>         |

BNF = Biological nitrogen fixation; GHG = Greenhouse gas; SOC = Soil organic carbon; CH<sub>4</sub> = Methane; N<sub>2</sub>O = Nitrous oxide. GHG intensity expressed as kg CO<sub>2</sub>-eq kg<sup>-1</sup> grain; SOC measured at 0-20 cm depth

### 3. Crop diversification and climate mitigation

#### 3.1 Soil carbon sequestration

Diversified cropping systems consistently increase Soil Organic Carbon (SOC) relative to monocultures, through greater below-ground biomass inputs, reduced soil disturbance, and enhanced microbial activity. SOC increases of 10-25per cent under intercropping and cover-cropping systems compared to sole-crop baselines (de la Riva *et al.*, 2023) <sup>[31]</sup>. Wheat-soybean strip cropping achieved SOC accrual of 0.18 Mg C ha<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> in a three-year Chinese field trial, while agroforestry systems in tropical regions sequester substantially more, with integrated crop-livestock-forest systems reaching 2.1 Mg C ha<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> above sole-crop baselines (Gawdiya *et al.*, 2025) <sup>[37]</sup>. It is important to acknowledge that carbon sequestration rates are highly variable and depend on initial SOC levels, climate zone, soil texture, and management intensity. Studies reporting high sequestration rates are often conducted on degraded soils with substantial recovery potential; rates in already SOC-rich temperate soils may be lower. Long-term (>10-year) data are still limited, and additionality remains methodologically contested in carbon accounting frameworks (Birtal *et al.*, 2019) <sup>[83]</sup>.

#### 3.2 Greenhouse gas emission reduction

Beyond carbon storage, crop diversification reduces emissions from multiple pathways. Legume integration into cereal systems

decreases synthetic fertilizer demand, thereby cutting nitrous oxide (N<sub>2</sub>O) emissions a greenhouse gas with 265 times the warming potential of CO<sub>2</sub> over 100 years. Wheat-mustard-mungbean rotations reduced CH<sub>4</sub> and soil N<sub>2</sub>O emissions by 18 per cent relative to continuous wheat cropping in South Asian irrigated systems. Rice-fish-vegetable polyculture systems lowered GHG emission intensity by 29 per cent per kilogram of grain produced compared with conventional flooded rice monoculture, primarily through reduced anaerobic CH<sub>4</sub> production and improved nitrogen-use efficiency. Diversified crop rotations also contribute to mitigation by interrupting the energy-intensive input cycles associated with monocultures. When the demonstrated in a multi-country analysis that diversifying crop rotations reduces GHG emissions while simultaneously improving food production, soil health, and farm profitability-a rare win-win outcome that warrants strong policy attention.

#### 3.3 Agroforestry and biomass carbon

Agroforestry systems provide the most substantial above-ground carbon storage among diversification strategies, integrating perennial tree biomass into farming landscapes. Rubber agroforestry diversification restored landscape connectivity by 31 per cent while providing significant carbon pools (Zhou *et al.*, 2023; Yasin *et al.*, 2024) <sup>[76, 79]</sup> found that traditional

agroforestry systems in South Asia and sub-Saharan Africa contribute meaningfully to regional carbon budgets while also improving microclimate conditions, soil fertility, and

biodiversity confirmed in a global meta-analysis that agroforestry enhances agroecosystem multifunctionality across climatic zones.

**Table 2:** Mitigation vs Adaptation Outcomes of Key Diversification Strategies

| Diversification Strategy           | Mitigation Potential                                                 | Adaptation Benefit                              | Synergy Level | Trade-off Risk                | Priority Region             | References                                                             |
|------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|---------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <b>Cereal-Legume Intercropping</b> | N <sub>2</sub> O reduction; reduced fertilizer production emissions  | Yield stability; pest resistance                | High          | Labour intensity              | Sub-Saharan Africa; S. Asia | Lithourgidis <i>et al.</i> , 2011; Jensen <i>et al.</i> 2020; [84, 85] |
| <b>Agroforestry</b>                | C sequestration 1.5-2.5 Mg C ha <sup>-1</sup> yr <sup>-1</sup>       | Microclimate buffering; diversified income      | Very High     | Yield reduction short-term    | Tropics globally            | Nair <i>et al.</i> , 2009; Mbow <i>et al.</i> , 2014 [86, 87]          |
| <b>Crop Rotation (diversified)</b> | SOC accumulation; reduced tillage emissions                          | Drought resilience; pest cycle break            | High          | Market access for minor crops | Temperate and tropical      | Lal (2015); Smith <i>et al.</i> (2008); [88, 89]                       |
| <b>Integrated Crop-Livestock</b>   | Manure C inputs; reduced enteric emissions with diet diversification | Income diversification; resource-use efficiency | Moderate-High | Herd management complexity    | Africa; Latin America       | Herrero <i>et al.</i> , 2010; Thornton and Herrero (2015); [90, 91]    |
| <b>Cover Cropping</b>              | SOC +10-25 per cent; reduced N <sub>2</sub> O from bare soil         | Erosion control; water retention                | High          | Seed cost; biomass management | Temperate systems           | Poeplau and Don (2015); Blanco-Canqui <i>et al.</i> , 2015; [92, 93]   |
| <b>Varietal Mixtures</b>           | Moderate (reduced crop failure; lower replanting needs)              | Disease resistance; yield buffering             | Moderate      | Seed system complexity        | Global; smallholders        | Kiaer <i>et al.</i> , 2009; Mundt (2002); [94, 96]                     |



**Fig 1:** Crop diversification strategies for the agriculture system context

## 4. Crop diversification and climate adaptation

### 4.1 Yield stability under climate variability

One of the most robust findings across experimental and observational studies is that crop diversification significantly improves yield stability under climate variability. Beillouin *et*

*al.*, 2023 demonstrated that landscape-level crop diversification stabilizes yields under climate variability in a multi-country analysis. It showed that crop rotation reduces drought-induced yield losses, while varietal diversity buffers climate impacts in smallholder systems. The Ethiopian teff-chickpea-barley

rotation achieved a drought resilience index 41per cent higher than teff monoculture under deficit irrigation. These findings are consistent with ecological theory: risk is distributed across species with different stress tolerances, so when one crop underperforms, others compensate. This portfolio effect is particularly valuable for smallholder farmers, who cannot afford crop insurance and are disproportionately exposed to climate shocks.

4.2 Microclimate buffering

Structurally complex diversified systems-particularly agroforestry and multi-strata polycultures-create distinctive microclimate conditions that buffer thermal and moisture extremes. Banana-coffee-bean polycultures maintained crop temperatures within 3.2 °C of the thermal optimum, compared to 6.1 °C exceedance in monoculture systems. This microclimate regulation reduces heat stress during sensitive crop developmental stages, with particular importance for temperature-sensitive crops such as coffee, cocoa, and rice during flowering.

4.3 Water-use efficiency and drought resilience

Wheat-soybean strip cropping reduced irrigation water use by

22per cent relative to sole-crop baselines (Liu *et al.*,2024) <sup>[50]</sup>, primarily through complementary rooting depths and improved soil water retention from higher SOC. Integrated crop-livestock systems improve landscape-scale water cycling by maintaining perennial vegetation cover, reducing runoff, and enhancing groundwater recharge-particularly important in water-scarce environments across South Asia, sub-Saharan Africa, and the Mediterranean.

4.4 Biodiversity and Ecosystem Service Restoration

Crop diversification restores functional biodiversity at field and landscape scales, strengthening the ecological foundation of agricultural resilience. Priyadarshana *et al.*, 2024 confirmed that both crop and landscape heterogeneity increase biodiversity in agricultural landscapes. Maize-legume intercropping studies report enhanced populations of natural predators and pollinators, reducing pest damage by 15-40per cent compared to maize monoculture (Hufnagel *et al.*, 2020) <sup>[42]</sup>. Rubber agroforestry restored landscape connectivity indices by 31per cent relative to plantation monoculture (Zhou *et al.*, 2023) <sup>[79]</sup>, underscoring the landscape-scale dimensions of biodiversity recovery under diversified management.



Data Source: (World Bank Open Data, 2026), (ISRIC SoilGrids, 2022)

Fig 2: Conceptual framework for crop diversification feasibility and intervention pathways within an agriculture system context

## 5. Evidence from global agroecosystems

### 5.1 Sub-saharan africa

Diversified farming systems in sub-Saharan Africa address the intertwined challenges of climate vulnerability, soil degradation, and food insecurity. Cereal-legume intercropping in East Africa (Kenya, Ethiopia) improves nitrogen fertility, suppresses striga weeds, and buffers drought risk demonstrating yield stability advantages even in low-input systems. Teff-chickpea-barley rotations in Ethiopia increased household food security while improving drought resilience. Integrated crop-livestock systems provide additional livelihood diversification and manure-based carbon inputs. (Obembe *et al.*, 2025) <sup>[96]</sup> Demonstrated that legume inoculation with effective rhizobium strains enhances biological nitrogen fixation, reducing fertiliser dependency across the region.

### 5.2 South and Southeast Asia

In Asia, the environmental and productivity costs of rice-dominated monoculture systems have catalyzed interest in diversified alternatives. Rice-fish-vegetable polycultures reduce methane emissions by 29per cent while improving protein output per unit area and household income. Alternate wetting and drying-often combined with diversified rotations substantially reduces CH<sub>4</sub> emissions while maintaining yields. Wheat-mustard-mungbean rotations in South Asian irrigated systems and reduce GHG emissions, improve soil nitrogen balances, and address water-table stress. Agroforestry systems in India and Nepal contribute to carbon sequestration, improved soil fertility, and water retention under drought-prone conditions (Yasin *et al.*, 2024) <sup>[76]</sup>.

### 5.3 Latin America

Latin American agroecosystems show strong evidence for diversification benefits under pressure from deforestation and climate variability. Banana-coffee-bean polycultures provide microclimate buffering critical for shade-sensitive species. Ceddia *et al.*, 2024 <sup>[27]</sup> demonstrated that agroecological transitions in Latin America enhance farmer autonomy and livelihood resilience. Piedra-Bonilla *et al.*, 2020 <sup>[62]</sup> found that climate variability strongly predicts crop diversification adoption in Brazil, suggesting a self-reinforcing adaptation dynamic. Integrated crop-livestock systems in the Brazilian Cerrado increase soybean sustainability through improved soil health (Silva *et al.*, 2024) <sup>[71]</sup>.

### 5.4 Europe and North America

In high-income agricultural regions, diversification is increasingly framed within sustainability policy (EU Farm to Fork Strategy, USDA conservation programmes). Viguier *et al.*, 2021 <sup>[75]</sup> found that combining diversification practices substantially enhances the sustainability of conventional cropping systems in Europe. It confirmed that crop rotation stabilises yields globally. Cover-crop research demonstrates consistent soil organic carbon improvements and reduced nitrate

leaching. Wheat-soybean strip cropping in temperate climates reduces water use and improves SOC while maintaining profitability (Liu *et al.*, 2024) <sup>[50]</sup>. Long-term diversification increases financial profitability, biodiversity, and ecosystem services.

## 6. Trade-offs, constraints, and adoption barriers

### 6.1 Productivity trade-offs and context dependency

While diversification generally improves yield stability, it does not universally increase total yield per unit area compared to optimally managed monocultures. In high-input systems with reliable markets and mechanisation, monoculture may retain productivity advantages for individual commodities, though these narrow considerably when system-level outputs (biomass, protein, nutritional diversity) and ecological costs are accounted for. The benefits of diversification are context-dependent, varying with soil quality, crop genotype, landscape configuration, market access, and climate regime (Di Bene *et al.*, 2022) <sup>[34]</sup>.

### 6.2 Labour, knowledge, and management complexity

Diversified systems typically demand more nuanced management than monocultures. Simultaneous strip-crop harvesting requires mechanisation adjustments; multi-crop pest management requires broader ecological knowledge; polyculture scheduling complicates labour allocation. These requirements can be prohibitive for smallholders with limited access to technical support. Extension service gaps are a particularly acute constraint in sub-Saharan Africa and South Asia, where adoption is most needed.

### 6.3 Market and economic barriers

Weak or volatile markets for non-staple crops undermine the economic case for diversification, even when agronomic evidence is strong. Banana-coffee-bean polycultures face complex harvest scheduling and market price volatility. Legume markets remain underdeveloped in many regions, limiting the incentive to adopt cereal-legume rotations despite well-documented agronomic benefits. Amaglobeli *et al.*, 2024 <sup>[10]</sup> note that agricultural subsidies disproportionately favour staple monocultures, creating systemic economic disincentives for diversification.

### 6.4 Policy and institutional constraints

Agricultural policy landscapes in most countries-developed and developing alike-remain structurally biased toward monocultures. Price support mechanisms, crop insurance schemes, and credit systems are typically designed for single-commodity systems. Land tenure insecurity limits long-term investment in agroforestry, whose economic returns materialize over years to decades. Blesh *et al.*, 2023 <sup>[24]</sup> identified network and institutional pathways that enable diversification despite these structural barriers, emphasizing the role of cooperatives, market intermediaries, and public procurement.

**Table 3:** Adoption Barriers, Enabling Conditions, and Policy Levers

| Barrier Category               | Specific Barriers                                                                | Enabling Conditions                                                  | Policy Lever                                                              | Key References                                 |
|--------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------|
| <b>Economic</b>                | High upfront investment; volatile markets for minor crops; limited credit access | Diversified income streams; risk insurance; stable markets           | Subsidies for diversification; crop insurance reform; value chain support | Adam and Abdulai (2024) <sup>[5]</sup>         |
| <b>Knowledge and Technical</b> | Complex management; limited extension services; low technology access            | Farmer field schools; participatory research; digital advisory tools | Investment in extension; digital agriculture platforms; farmer training   | Di Bene C <i>et al.</i> , 2022 <sup>[34]</sup> |

|                                 |                                                                     |                                                                            |                                                               |                                                                              |
|---------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <b>Policy and Institutional</b> | Monoculture-biased subsidies; weak land tenure; regulatory barriers | Payment for ecosystem services; secure land rights; coordinated governance | Subsidy reform; land tenure legislation; NDC integration      | Blesh <i>et al.</i> , 2023; Ceddia <i>et al.</i> , 2024 <sup>[24, 27]</sup>  |
| <b>Social and Cultural</b>      | Traditional preferences; risk aversion; gender barriers             | Community networks; gender-inclusive extension; cultural adaptation        | Participatory design; community-based programmes              | Iqbal <i>et al.</i> , 2024; Di Bene <i>et al.</i> , 2022 <sup>[34, 44]</sup> |
| <b>Biophysical</b>              | Context dependency; soil constraints; water scarcity                | Site-specific recommendations; drought-tolerant cultivar development       | R and D investment; agroecological zoning; precision advisory | Di Bene, C. <i>et al.</i> , 2022 <sup>[34]</sup>                             |

## 7. Policy and Institutional Pathways

Scaling crop diversification requires a policy environment that corrects market distortions, provides adaptive incentives, and builds institutional capacity. Key actions include:

- Reform of agricultural subsidy structures: Redirecting support from yield-maximisation under monoculture toward payments for ecosystem services delivered by diversified systems, following the example of the EU's eco-scheme approach under the Common Agricultural Policy.
- Performance-based incentive design: Moving beyond practice-based payments toward outcome-based rewarding of documented carbon sequestration, biodiversity improvement, and water quality outcomes measured through verifiable monitoring frameworks.
- Strengthening agricultural extension for complex systems: Investing in farmer field schools, participatory action research, and digital advisory tools tailored to diversified system management-particularly for smallholder contexts in the Global South.
- Market development for diversified commodities: Supporting collective marketing through cooperatives, developing value chains for neglected and underutilised crops, and incorporating diversification criteria into public procurement and food system policy.
- Integration into national climate plans: Embedding crop diversification into Nationally Determined Contributions (NDCs), National Adaptation Plans (NAPs), and agroecological transition strategies with measurable targets and monitoring frameworks.
- Land tenure reform: Securing long-term land rights for smallholders to incentivise investment in perennial diversification components, especially agroforestry systems.

Institutional coordination between agriculture ministries, environmental agencies, and development finance institutions is essential to align incentives across scales and avoid fragmented,

project-based interventions.

## 8. Knowledge Gaps and Future Research Agenda

Despite a rapidly growing literature, important evidence gaps constrain the ability to translate scientific knowledge into policy and practice. The following priorities emerges:

- Long-term field experiments (>10 years) quantifying the trajectory of soil carbon, yield stability, and ecosystem service outcomes under different diversification strategies across contrasting climate zones are urgently needed.
- Trade-off quantification: Few studies systematically quantify trade-offs between crop diversification benefits-for instance, when agroforestry improves carbon sequestration but reduces grain yields in the short term, or when intercropping improves biodiversity but complicates mechanised harvesting.
- Socio-economic impact assessment: Rigorous evaluations of diversification's effects on farm income, nutritional outcomes, and livelihood security-especially for women farmers and landless households-remain limited in the Global South.
- Digital and precision agriculture integration: Understanding how remote sensing, AI-based crop modelling, and decision-support tools can optimise diversified system management and lower the knowledge barriers to adoption represents a critical frontier.
- Landscape-scale effectiveness: Most evidence is from field or farm scale. The emergent effects of diversification at landscape and regional scales-including spillovers for biodiversity, water quality, and climate regulation are poorly understood.
- Policy evaluation: Rigorous quasi-experimental evaluation of which policy instruments most effectively incentivise diversification adoption, and for which farmer types under which conditions, is largely absent from the literature.

**Table 4:** Research Gaps and Priority Agenda

| Research Gap                                        | Why It Matters                                                                           | Methodological Approach                                                              | Priority Region / System                                          |
|-----------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Long-term soil C trajectory (>10 years)             | Current estimates based on short trials; saturation and permanence unclear               | Long-term field experiments; isotope tracing; soil profile monitoring                | Tropical and sub-humid systems; degraded soil areas               |
| Trade-off quantification across scales              | Policy needs explicit trade-off data to design effective incentive structures            | Multi-criteria analysis; systems modelling; participatory scenario planning          | Smallholder systems globally; Mediterranean dryland farming       |
| Socioeconomic impacts on women and marginal farmers | Equity dimensions of diversification transition largely undocumented                     | Gender-disaggregated surveys; impact evaluation; participatory methods               | Sub-Saharan Africa; South Asia; smallholder-dominated regions     |
| Landscape-scale effectiveness                       | Field-level evidence may not predict regional biodiversity or climate outcomes           | Remote sensing; landscape ecology modelling; spatially explicit data                 | Mixed agricultural landscapes globally                            |
| Policy instrument effectiveness                     | Insufficient evidence on which incentive structures actually drive adoption              | Quasi-experimental policy evaluation; randomised control trials; natural experiments | EU (eco-schemes); USDA conservation programmes; Global South NDCs |
| Digital agriculture integration                     | Potential to lower knowledge barriers is unquantified; risk of exclusion of smallholders | Technology adoption studies; participatory digital tool development                  | South Asia; Sub-Saharan Africa; smallholder systems               |

## 9. Conclusion

Crop diversification represents one of the most ecologically principled and practically actionable strategies available for transforming agricultural systems in the face of climate change. This review has demonstrated, through synthesis of global experimental and observational evidence, that diversified cropping systems deliver measurable and substantial benefits across both the mitigation and adaptation dimensions of climate response improving soil carbon stocks by 10-25per cent, reducing GHG emission intensity by 18-29per cent, and raising drought resilience indices by 38-41per cent relative to monoculture baselines. Critically, these benefits are not merely additive: they emerge through ecological interactions niche complementarity, facilitation, biological regulation, and soil engineering that generate system-level resilience impossible to achieve through input intensification alone. This mechanistic understanding distinguishes crop diversification from other agricultural interventions and provides a scientific foundation for agroecological approaches to food system sustainability. The evidence is, however, not without nuance. Benefits are context-dependent, trade-offs are real, and adoption barriers structural, economic, and institutional are substantial. The transition from monoculture to diversified systems is not automatic and cannot be driven by agronomic evidence alone. It requires deliberate policy reform, market development, institutional coordination, and long-term investment in agricultural knowledge systems. The path forward is clear in outline if challenging in execution: reform subsidy structures, build extension capacity for complex systems, develop markets for diversified commodities, secure land tenure, and embed crop diversification into national climate plans with measurable targets. Research must shift from demonstrating that diversification works to understanding for whom, under what conditions, at what scale, and through which institutional pathways. Crop diversification will not solve climate change alone but it is an indispensable component of any credible transition to climate-smart, equitable, and ecologically regenerative food systems.

## Reference

1. Abbas F. A review of soil carbon dynamics resulting from agricultural practices. *Journal of Environmental Management*. 2020;268:110319. Available from: <https://DOI.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2020.110319>
2. Abbass K. A review of the global climate change impacts, adaptation, and sustainable mitigation measures. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*. 2022;29(28):42539-42559.
3. Abdul-Mumin Y, Abdulai A. Social networks, adoption of improved variety and household welfare: Evidence from Ghana. *European Review of Agricultural Economics*. 2022;49(1):1-32. Available from: <https://DOI.org/10.1093/erae/jbab007>
4. Abebaw. Systematic review on the role of agroforestry in climate change mitigation and adaptation. *Climate Resilience and Sustainability*. 2025;4:e70018.
5. Adam B, Abdulai A. Heterogeneous impact of crop diversification on farm net returns and risk exposure: Empirical evidence from Ghana. *Canadian Journal of Agricultural Economics Revue canadienne d'agroéconomie*. 2024;72(4):1-19. Available from: <https://DOI.org/10.1111/cjag.12360>
6. Adem M. Income diversification and food security situation in Ethiopia: A review study. *Cogent Food & Agriculture*. 2018;4(1):15133. Available from: <https://DOI.org/10.1080/23311932.2018.15133>
7. Adjimoti GO, Kwadzo GTM, *et al.* Crop diversification and household food security status: Evidence from rural Benin. *Agriculture & Food Security*. 2018;7(1):12. Available from: <https://DOI.org/10.1186/s40066-018-0233-x>
8. Ahlawat KS. Performance of sorghum and barley intercropped with kadam based agroforestry system in semi-arid ecosystem of Haryana. *Forage Research*. 2024;49:461-466.
9. Allen DE, Singh BP, Dalal RC. Soil health indicators under climate change: A review of current knowledge. In: *Soil health and climate change*. Springer; 2011. p. 25-45. Available from: [https://DOI.org/10.1007/978-94-007-0455-1\\_2](https://DOI.org/10.1007/978-94-007-0455-1_2)
10. Amaglobeli D. Agricultural producer subsidies: Navigating challenges and policy considerations. *IMF Notes*. 2024. Available from: <https://DOI.org/10.5089/9798400285950.068>
11. Antwi-Agyei P, Stringer LC. Improving the effectiveness of agricultural extension services in supporting farmers to adapt to climate change: Insights from northeastern Ghana. *Climate Risk Management*. 2021;32:100304.
12. Appiah-Twumasi M, Maxwell, Asale A. Crop diversification and farm household food and nutrition security in northern Ghana. *Environment, Development and Sustainability*. 2022. Available from: <https://DOI.org/10.1007/s10668-022-02703-x>
13. Bane MS, Pocock MJ, Gibert C, Forster M, Oudoire G, Derocles SA, Bohan DA. Farmer flexibility concerning future rotation planning is affected by the framing of climate predictions. *Climate Risk Management*. 2021;34:100356.
14. Barman A. Crop diversification: An effective strategy for sustainable agriculture development. In: *Sustainable crop production - Recent advances*. IntechOpen; 2022. Available from: <https://DOI.org/10.5772/intechopen.102635>
15. Beillouin D, Ben-Ari T, Makowski D. Landscape diversification stabilises crop yields under climate variability. *Nature Food*. 2023;4:990-999.
16. Beillouin D. Positive but variable effects of crop diversification on biodiversity and ecosystem services. *Global Change Biology*. 2021;27(19):4697-4710.
17. Beillouin D, Ben-Ari T, Makowski D. Evidence map of crop diversification strategies at the global scale. *Environmental Research Letters*. 2019;14(12):123001. Available from: <https://DOI.org/10.1088/1748-9326/ab4449>
18. Beillouin D, Ben-Ari T, Malézieux E, Seufert V, Makowski D. Positive but variable effects of crop diversification on biodiversity and ecosystem services. *Global Change Biology*. 2021;27(19):4697-4710. Available from: <https://DOI.org/10.1111/gcb.15747>
19. Beillouin D, Faye A, Batieno BJ. Global systematic review with meta-analysis reveals yield advantage of legume-based rotations and its drivers. *Nature Communications*. 2022;13:5179. Available from: <https://DOI.org/10.1038/s41467-022-32464-0>
20. Bera R. Climate change, regenerative agriculture and carbon neutrality in the context of tea cultivation. *International Journal of Environment and Climate Change*. 2025;15(4):49-70. Available from: <https://DOI.org/10.9734/ijecc/2025/v15i44793>
21. Berhe AG. Variability and trend analysis of temperatures, rainfall, and characteristics of crop-growing season in the eastern zone of Tigray region, northern Ethiopia.

- Theoretical and Applied Climatology. 2023;152(1-2):25-43.
22. Bisheko MJ, Rejikumar G, Ibiroga D, Kikonyogo S. Traditional grain storage methods: an exploration of their contribution to the sustainability of Indian agriculture. *Cogent Food & Agriculture*. 2023;9:2276559. Available from: <https://DOI.org/10.1080/23311932.2023.2276559>
  23. Biswas RR, Rahman A. Adaptation to climate change: A study on regional climate change adaptation policy and practice framework. *Journal of Environmental Management*. 2023;336:117666.
  24. Blesh J, Mehrabi Z, Wittman H, Kerr RB, James D, Madsen S, Kremen C. Against the odds: Network and institutional pathways enabling agricultural diversification. *One Earth*. 2023;6(5):479-491.
  25. Bunn C, Läderach P, Ovalle Rivera O, Kirschke D. Microclimate estimation under different coffee-based agroforestry systems using full-sun weather data and shade tree characteristics. *European Journal of Agronomy*. 2023;131:126396. Available from: <https://DOI.org/10.1016/j.eja.2021.126396>
  26. Carvalho GP, Costa-Camilo E, Duarte I. Advancing health and sustainability: A holistic approach to food production and dietary habits. *Foods*. 2024;13:Article 3829. Available from: <https://DOI.org/10.3390/foods13233829>
  27. Ceddia MG, Boillat S, Jacobi J. Transforming food systems through agroecology: Enhancing farmers' autonomy for a safe and just transition. *The Lancet Planetary Health*. 2024;8:e958-e965. Available from: [https://DOI.org/10.1016/S2542-5196\(24\)00234-1](https://DOI.org/10.1016/S2542-5196(24)00234-1)
  28. Chappa LR, Nungula EZ, Makwinja YH, Ranjan S, Sow S, Alnemari AM, Gitari HI. Outlooks on major agroforestry systems. In: Raj A, Jhariya MK, Banerjee A, Jha RK, Singh KP, editors. *Agroforestry*. Hoboken: Wiley; 2024. p. 21-48.
  29. Cozim-Melges F, Ripoll-Bosch R, Veen GF, Oggiano P, Bianchi FJ, Van der Putten WH, Van Zanten HHE. Farming practices to enhance biodiversity across biomes: A systematic review. *NPJ Biodiversity*. 2024;3(1):Article 1.
  30. Das S. Responses of soil organic carbon to conservation practices. *Science of the Total Environment*. 2022.
  31. De la Riva EG, Ulrich W, Batáry P, Baudry J, Beaumelle L, Bucher R, Birkhofer K. From functional diversity to human well-being: A conceptual framework for agroecosystem sustainability. *Agricultural Systems*. 2023;208:103659.
  32. Delandmeter M. Integrated crop and livestock systems increase both climate change adaptation and mitigation capacities. *Science of the Total Environment*. 2024;912:169061.
  33. Demmler KM, Tutwiler MA. Diet, nutrition, and climate: Historical and contemporary connections. *Frontiers in Nutrition*. 2024;11:Article 1516968. Available from: <https://DOI.org/10.3389/fnut.2024.1516968>
  34. Di Bene C, Gómez-López MD, Francaviglia R, Farina R, Blasi E, Martínez-Granados D, Calatrava J. Barriers and opportunities for sustainable farming practices and crop diversification strategies in Mediterranean cereal-based systems. *Frontiers in Environmental Science*. 2022;10:861225. Available from: <https://DOI.org/10.3389/fenvs.2022.861225>
  35. Eder, *et al.* The impact of cereal crop diversification on farm labor productivity under changing climatic conditions. *Ecological Economics*. 2024;223:108241.
  36. Esquivel-Marín N, Alegre J. Multifunctionality in neglected and underutilized crop species. *Peruvian Journal of Agronomy*. 2024;8(1):77-89.
  37. Gawdiya S, Sharma RK, Singh H, *et al.* Crop diversification as a cornerstone for sustainable agroecosystems: tackling biodiversity loss and global food system challenges. *Discover Applied Sciences*. 2025;7:373. Available from: <https://DOI.org/10.1007/s42452-025-06855-z>
  38. Grahmann K, Reckling M, Hernández-Ochoa I, Donat M, Bellingrath-Kimura S, Ewert F. Co-designing a landscape experiment to investigate diversified cropping systems. *Agricultural Systems*. 2024;217:103950.
  39. Guarnaccia P, Timpanaro G, Incardona S, Foti VT, Cammarata M. Innovation in crop rotations for sustainable integrated crop-livestock systems: The case of a typical semi-arid Mediterranean area. *Cleaner Environmental Systems*. 2024;13:100182.
  40. Guerra J, Blesh J, Schmitt Filho AL, Wittman H. Pathways to agroecological management through mediated markets in Santa Catarina, Brazil. *Elementa: Science of the Anthropocene*. 2017;5:Article 67.
  41. Hassan MU. Management strategies to mitigate N<sub>2</sub>O emissions in agriculture. *Life*. 2022;12(3):Article 439.
  42. Hufnagel J, Reckling M, Ewert F. Diverse approaches to crop diversification in agricultural research. A review. *Agronomy for Sustainable Development*. 2020;40(2):14.
  43. Huss CP. Benefits and risks of intercropping for crop resilience and pest management. *Journal of Economic Entomology*. 2022;115(5):1350-1362.
  44. Iqbal B. Sustainable food systems transformation in the face of climate change: Strategies, challenges, and policy implications. *Food Science and Biotechnology*. 2024;34:871-883. Available from: <https://DOI.org/10.1007/s10068-024-01712-y>
  45. Jahangir MMR, Aguilera E, Ferdous J, Mahjabin F, Asif AA, Hossan M, Ahmad H, Bauer M, Sanz-Cobena A, Müller C, Zaman M. Carbon footprint and greenhouse gas emissions of different rice-based cropping systems using life cycle assessment. *Scientific Reports*. 2025;15:10214. Available from: <https://DOI.org/10.1038/s41598-025-90157-2>
  46. Jiao Y, Zhang W, Li Y, Wang J, Chen X. Response of soil organic carbon fractions to legume incorporation into cropping systems and the factors affecting it: A global meta-analysis. *Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment*. 2023;342:108231. Available from: <https://DOI.org/10.1016/j.agee.2022.108231>
  47. Jinger D. Degraded land rehabilitation through agroforestry in India. *Frontiers in Ecology and Evolution*. 2023;11:Article 69.
  48. Jinger D. Nature-based solutions for enhancing soil health and CO<sub>2</sub> sequestration. *Journal of Environmental Management*. 2025;380:124904.
  49. Kongsager R. Linking climate change adaptation and mitigation. *Land*. 2018;7(4):158.
  50. Liu Y, Zhao X, Wang Z, colleagues. How does intercropping contribute to soil organic carbon accumulation? A global synthesis. *Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment*. 2024;374:109173. Available from: <https://DOI.org/10.1016/j.agee.2024.109173>
  51. Machado JM. Strategies to mitigate the emission of methane in pastures. *Australian Journal of Crop Science*. 16(6):682-690.
  52. Manaye A. Smallholder farmers' vulnerability to climate change in Tigray, Ethiopia. *Sustainable Environment*. 2024;10(1).
  53. Mango N. The role of crop diversification in improving

- household food security. *Agriculture & Food Security*. 2018;7:16.
54. Muhie SH. Novel approaches and practices to sustainable agriculture. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Research*. 2022;10:100446.
  55. Mulwa CK, Visser M. Farm diversification as an adaptation strategy. *World Development*. 2020;129:104906.
  56. Murthy IK. Carbon sequestration potential of agroforestry systems in India. *Journal of Earth Science and Climate Change*. 2013;4:131.
  57. Niggli U, Sonneveldt M, Kummer S. Pathways to advance agroecology for a successful transformation to sustainable food systems. In: von Braun J, editor. *Science and innovations for food systems transformation*. Springer; 2023. p. 341-359.
  58. Oelbermann M. Carbon sequestration in tropical and temperate agroforestry systems. *Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment*. 2024;104(3):359-377.
  59. Pathak H. Impact, adaptation, and mitigation of climate change in Indian agriculture. *Environmental Monitoring and Assessment*. 2023;195:52.
  60. Peterson CA. Resilience of an integrated crop-livestock system. *Frontiers in Sustainable Food Systems*. 2020;4:604099.
  61. Piedra-Bonilla EB. Crop-livestock integration as climate adaptation. *Mitigation and Adaptation Strategies for Global Change*. 2024;29:48.
  62. Piedra-Bonilla EB. Climate variability and crop diversification in Brazil. *Journal of Cleaner Production*. 2020;256:120252.
  63. Priyadarshana TS, Martin EA, Sirami C, Woodcock BA, Goodale E, Martínez-Núñez C, Slade EM. Crop and landscape heterogeneity increase biodiversity in agricultural landscapes: a global review and meta-analysis. *Ecology Letters*. 2024;27(3):e14412.
  64. Rathore SS. Diversification for restoration of ecosystems and sustainable livelihood. In: *Sustainable agriculture systems and technologies*. Wiley; 2022. p. 21-36.
  65. Rathod S, *et al.* Diverse fields for stronger yields: Crop diversification strategies for sustainable agriculture and climate-resilient ecosystems. *Frontiers in Agronomy*. 2026. Available from: <https://DOI.org/10.3389/fagro.2025.1746895>
  66. Rising J, Devineni N. Crop switching reduces agricultural losses from climate change. *Nature Communications*. 2020. Available from: <https://DOI.org/10.1038/s41467-020-18725-w>
  67. Rolo V, *et al.* Mixtures of forest and agroforestry alleviate trade-offs. *Ecosystem Services*. 2021.
  68. Sfaw S. Heterogeneous impact of livelihood diversification on household welfare. *World Development*. 2019;117:278-295.
  69. Sher A. Discover sustainability importance of regenerative agriculture: Climate, soil health, biodiversity and its socioecological impact. *Discover Sustainability*. 2024;1:Article 662. Available from: <https://DOI.org/10.1007/s43621-024-00662-z>
  70. Sikka AK. Climate-smart land and water management for sustainable agriculture. *Irrigation and Drainage*. 2018;67:72-81.
  71. Silva JAG, Habermann E, de Pinho Costa KA, da Silva LM, da Costa Severiano E, Costa AC, Martinez CA. Integrating crop-livestock system increases the sustainability of soybean cultivation through improved soil health and plant physiology. *Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment*. 2024;359:108770.
  72. Singh AK. Precision agriculture in India-Opportunities and challenges. *Indian Journal of Fertilisers*. 2022;18(4):308-331.
  73. Talucder MSA, Ruba UB, Robi MAS. Potentiality of Neglected and Underutilized Species (NUS) as a future resilient food: A systematic review. *Journal of Agriculture and Food Research*. 2024:101116.
  74. Vernooy R. Does crop diversification lead to climate-related resilience? *Agroecology and Sustainable Food Systems*. 2022;46(6):877-901.
  75. Viguier L, Cavan N, Bockstaller C, Cadoux S, Corre-Hellou G, Dubois S, Angevin F. Combining diversification practices to enhance the sustainability of conventional cropping systems. *European Journal of Agronomy*. 2021;127:126279.
  76. Yasin G. Agroforestry status, services, and its role in climate change mitigation. *Trees, Forests and People*. 2024;17:100640.
  77. Yasin G, Nawaz MF. Role of traditional agroforestry systems in climate change mitigation. *Land*. 2023;12(2):513.
  78. Zheng H, Ma W, He Q. Climate-smart agricultural practices for enhanced farm productivity, income, resilience, and greenhouse gas mitigation: A comprehensive review. *Mitigation and Adaptation Strategies for Global Change*. 2024;29:Article 28. Available from: <https://DOI.org/10.1007/s11027-024-10124-6>
  79. Zhou X. Promoting banana farmers' adoption of climate-smart agricultural practices. *Climate and Development*. 2023.
  80. Zou Y, Liu Z, Chen Y, Wang Y, Feng S. Crop rotation and diversification in China: Enhancing sustainable agriculture and resilience. *Agriculture*. 2024;14(9):1465.
  81. Zuza EJ, Lambert K, Macmillan T, Chiyemura F, Araya Y, Bowskill V, Bhagwat S. Crop species diversity: A key strategy for sustainable food system transformation and climate resilience. *Food, Energy & Security*. 2024;13(3):e558.
  82. Deressa TC, Chalchissa FB, Gemedo DO. Teff farmers' climate change perception, adaptation strategies and their determinants and constraints in Horro Guduru Wallaga Zone, Ethiopia. *Discover Environment*. 2026 Mar 31;4(1):147.
  83. Birthal P, Negi A, Joshi PK. Understanding causes of volatility in onion prices in India. *Journal of Agribusiness in Developing and Emerging Economies*. 2019 Jun 25;9(3):255-75.
  84. Lithourgidis AS, Dordas CA, Damalas CA, Vlachostergios DO. Annual intercrops: an alternative pathway for sustainable agriculture. *Australian journal of crop science*. 2011 Apr 1;5(4):396-410.
  85. Jensen K, Friberg J, Hansen CR, Samsøe E, Johansen J, Andersen M, Smulders B, Andersen E, Nielsen MS, Eriksen JG, Petersen JB. The Danish head and neck cancer group (DAHANCA) 2020 radiotherapy guidelines. *Radiotherapy and Oncology*. 2020 Oct 1;151:149-51.
  86. Nair PR, Nair VD, Kumar BM, Haile SG. Soil carbon sequestration in tropical agroforestry systems: a feasibility appraisal. *Environmental Science & Policy*. 2009 Dec 1;12(8):1099-111.
  87. Mbow C, Smith P, Skole D, Duguma L, Bustamante M. Achieving mitigation and adaptation to climate change

- through sustainable agroforestry practices in Africa. *Current opinion in Environmental sustainability*. 2014 Feb 1;6:8-14.
88. Lal R. Restoring soil quality to mitigate soil degradation. *Sustainability*. 2015 May 13;7(5):5875-95.
89. Smith P, Martino D, Cai Z, Gwary D, Janzen H, Kumar P, McCarl B, Ogle S, O'Mara F, Rice C, Scholes B. Greenhouse gas mitigation in agriculture. *Philosophical transactions of the royal Society B: Biological Sciences*. 2008 Feb 27;363(1492):789-813.
90. Herrero M, Thornton PK, Notenbaert AM, Wood S, Msangi S, Freeman HA, Bossio D, Dixon J, Peters M, van de Steeg J, Lynam J. Smart investments in sustainable food production: revisiting mixed crop-livestock systems. *Science*. 2010 Feb 12;327(5967):822-5.
91. Thornton PK, Herrero M. Adapting to climate change in the mixed crop and livestock farming systems in sub-Saharan Africa. *Nature Climate Change*. 2015 Sep;5(9):830-6.
92. Poeplau C, Don A. Carbon sequestration in agricultural soils via cultivation of cover crops-A meta-analysis. *Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment*. 2015 Feb 1;200:33-41.
93. Blanco-Canqui H, Shaver TM, Lindquist JL, Shapiro CA, Elmore RW, Francis CA, Hergert GW. Cover crops and ecosystem services: Insights from studies in temperate soils. *Agronomy journal*. 2015 Nov;107(6):2449-74.
94. Kiær LP, Skovgaard IM, Østergård H. Grain yield increase in cereal variety mixtures: a meta-analysis of field trials. *Field Crops Research*. 2009 Dec 12;114(3):361-73.
95. Mundt CC. Use of multiline cultivars and cultivar mixtures for disease management. *Annual review of phytopathology*. 2002 Sep;40(1):381-410.
96. Obembe OO, Obadire TT, Tawari PP. Advancing Food Sustainability in Nigeria: Biotechnological Strategies amidst Climate Change Challenges. *Tropical Journal of Natural Product Research*. 2025 Apr 1;9(4).