



International Journal of Research in Agronomy

E-ISSN: 2618-0618
P-ISSN: 2618-060X
© Agronomy
NAAS Rating (2026): 5.20
www.agronomyjournals.com
2026; SP-9(4): 501-509
Received: 15-01-2026
Accepted: 18-02-2026

Dr. Niren Majumdar
Assistant Professor (Plant Pathology),
Department of Plant Pathology, College of
Agriculture, Tripura, India

Dr. Ramaraju Manasa
Research Fellow, Groundnut Pathology,
ICRISAT, Patancheru, Hyderabad,
Telangana, India

Dr. M Ramasamy
Assistant Professor, (Veterinary and
Animal Sciences), ICAR- Krishi Vigyan
Kendra of TNAU, Vellore, K. V. Kuppam
Taluk, Kothamangalam, Tamil Nadu,
India

Dr. Neha Singh
Assistant Professor, Harsh Vidya Mandir
(P.G.) College, Raisi, Haridwar,
Uttarakhand (Affiliated to Sri Dev Suman
Uttarakhand University), Badshahithol,
Tehri (Garhwal), Uttarakhand, India

Krish Jamanbhai Nariya
M.Sc. (Agri.) Plant Pathology, Department
of Plant Pathology, College of Agriculture,
NAU, Waghai, Gujarat, India

Kedar Vijaykumar Swami
Research Associate, Regional Fruit
Research Station, Vengurla, Sindhudurg,
Maharashtra, India

Dr. Prem Shanker
Scientist (Plant Protection), Krishi Vigyan
Kendra -Basti, Acharya Narendra Deva
University of Agriculture & Technology
Kumarganj, Ayodhya, Uttar Pradesh,
India

Dr. NA Musmade
Scientist (Plant Pathology), Pulse
Improvement Project, Mahatma Phule
Krishi Vidyapeeth Rahuri, Ahilyanagar,
India

Dr. Shalini Aryan
Assistant professor, Ph.D. Agricultural
Entomology, Mata Gujri College,
Fatehgarh Sahib, Sirhand, Punjab, India

Ektedar
M.Sc. Plant Pathology, Department of
Plant Protection, Chaudhary Charan Singh
University, Meerut, Uttar Pradesh, India

Corresponding Author:
Dr. Ramaraju Manasa
Research Fellow, Groundnut Pathology,
ICRISAT, Patancheru, Hyderabad,
Telangana, India

Advancing disease management in agriculture: A review of plant pathology techniques

Niren Majumdar, Ramaraju Manasa, M Ramasamy, Neha Singh, Krish Jamanbhai Nariya, Kedar Vijaykumar Swami, Prem Shanker, NA Musmade, Shalini Aryan and Ektedar

DOI: <https://www.doi.org/10.33545/2618060X.2026.v9.i4Sf.5450>

Abstract

Plant diseases caused by fungi, bacteria, viruses, nematodes and other pathogens substantially threaten crop productivity worldwide. The science of plant pathology has grown from 19th-century germ theory to incorporate molecular biology and big data analytics. This review provides a comprehensive overview of disease management techniques in agriculture. We cover traditional and molecular diagnostic methods, disease epidemiology and modelling and preventive cultural practices. We examine breeding methods for host resistance, chemical pesticide modes and resistance management and biological control agents and their formulations. Integrated Disease Management (IDM) frameworks and the rise of precision agriculture (using sensors, UAVs, machine learning) are described as the synthesis of these strategies. Regulatory, safety and economic considerations such as pesticide regulation, trade impacts and cost-benefit analysis are reviewed. Crop-specific case studies (e.g. wheat rust, rice blast, banana wilt, citrus greening, potato blight) illustrate real-world application of these principles. Finally, challenges such as climate change, pathogen evolution and technology adoption are identified and future research directions (CRISPR, microbiome engineering, advanced diagnostics) are suggested. Through an integrated lens, we outline how plant pathology remains central to sustainable agriculture.

Keywords: Plant pathology, disease diagnosis, epidemiology, cultural practices, resistant varieties, chemical control, biological control, integrated management, precision agriculture, regulatory policy, crop protection, case studies, future directions.

Introduction

Plant diseases remain a significant threat to agriculture, reducing yields and quality across most crop systems. Since the advent of germ theory in the 19th century, plant scientists have steadily advanced tools to identify and mitigate pathogens [38]. Today, plant pathology encompasses microscopy, culturing, molecular biology and digital technologies to detect and study pathogens. Control measures range from age-old practices (crop rotation, sanitation) to modern interventions (transgenic resistance, precision spraying) [13]. This review examines the full spectrum of disease management methods organizing them from diagnosis to prevention and from lab science to field practices. The goal is to provide a thorough, integrated view of how plant diseases are managed in contemporary agriculture and point towards emerging innovations.

The understanding of plant diseases evolved gradually from observation to experimental science. Pre-1800s, farmers knew diseases occurred but lacked scientific explanations. In 1853, Heinrich Anton de Bary demonstrated that the fungus *Phytophthora infestans* causes potato blight, proving microbes can be plant pathogens. Shortly thereafter, Louis Pasteur formalized germ theory (~1858) and Robert Koch developed postulates (1881–84) applying them to plant pathogens [51, 103]. In 1885, Pierre-Marie-Alexis Millardet introduced Bordeaux mixture (copper sulfate + lime) to control grape downy mildew, marking the first chemical disease control. The early 20th century saw the rise of specialized plant pathology. Erwin F. Smith (USDA) established bacteria as plant disease agents (crown gall) in 1911 [66]. The first academic departments (e.g. Stakman at Minnesota) produced key figures like Elvin C. Stakman, who

characterized cereal rust fungi. Harold H. Flor (1940s) formulated the gene-for-gene model in flax rust, showing how host resistance genes correspond to pathogen avirulence genes. Norman Borlaug (mid-20th c.) integrated disease resistance into high-yielding wheat, launching the Green Revolution^[81, 90]. Later 20th century advances included the first fungal R genes cloned (tobacco N gene in 1992) and the dawn of genetic engineering (virus-resistant papaya, Bt crops). Biocontrol principles matured (Cook & Baker's work on disease-suppressive microbes). In recent decades, genome sequencing of pathogens, marker-assisted selection and biotechnology (CRISPR) have accelerated resistance breeding. Environmental and digital sciences now converge: remote sensing, weather modelling and big data analytics guide current management^[52, 102].

Disease Diagnosis and Detection

Accurate and timely diagnosis is the first step in managing plant diseases. Diagnostics range from simple field observations to high-tech lab assays:

- **Visual inspection:** Scouting fields to spot symptoms (spots, blight, wilting) and signs (mold, ooze) is fundamental. Farmers use disease identification keys and extension guides. While immediate and inexpensive, visual diagnosis is subjective and may confuse abiotic stress with biotic disease^[39].
- **Microscopy:** Light or electron microscopy can reveal pathogen structures (fungal spores, bacterial cells, nematode feeding sites). This allows confirmatory identification (e.g. rust urediniospores under microscope). Microscopy requires trained personnel and cannot diagnose viruses directly^[14].
- **Culture/Isolation:** Growing pathogens on selective media (agar plates, bouillon) is a classical method. Fungi often grow on potato dextrose agar, bacteria on nutrient or selective agar. Isolation can confirm identity and pure culture allows pathogenicity tests. Limitations: slow (days to weeks), some pathogens can't be cultured (e.g. viruses, obligate rusts) and results depend on lab expertise^[67].
- **Serological assays:** Tests like ELISA use antibodies to detect pathogen proteins in plant extracts. Commercial ELISA kits exist for many viruses and bacteria. They are relatively quick (hours), specific and moderately sensitive. Lateral flow immunostrips (dipsticks) provide rapid field testing (results in minutes). Drawbacks include cross-reactivity, limited shelf life of antibodies and the need for known targets^[82].
- **Molecular diagnostics:** Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) and its variants have revolutionized pathogen detection. Conventional PCR amplifies DNA/RNA sequences unique to a pathogen. Real-time qPCR adds quantification and greater sensitivity (can detect single-digit spore equivalents). Multiplex PCR can test several pathogens at once. Loop-mediated isothermal amplification (LAMP) and recombinase polymerase amplification (RPA) are isothermal methods suited for field use (no thermocycler needed)^[91]. Next-generation sequencing (NGS) allows metagenomic analysis: by sequencing all DNA/RNA from a sample, unknown or unexpected pathogens can be identified. CRISPR-based assays (SHERLOCK, DETECTR) are emerging as portable, fast tests that give visual readouts (e.g. lateral-flow format for DNA)^[11].
- **Biosensors and portable devices:** Handheld PCR machines and smartphone-enabled microscopes are making sophisticated tests field-accessible. Electronic noses can

detect volatile organic compounds emitted by infected plants. Although still developmental, such tools point to future on-farm diagnostics^[83, 104].

- **Remote sensing:** Aerial (drone or satellite) and proximal sensors capture spectral data from crops. Diseases often alter plant color, moisture or thermal signature before visible symptoms. Multispectral imagery (e.g. NDVI, thermal cameras) can flag patches of stress. Machine learning models analyze patterns to differentiate nutrient deficiencies from disease. This method shines for large-scale surveillance but is indirect and must be ground-truthed^[26, 15].

Epidemiology

Plant disease epidemiology examines how disease spreads and progresses. The classic disease triangle model emphasizes that disease occurs when a susceptible host, a virulent pathogen and favorable environment coincide^[53]. Epidemiologists study each corner of this triangle:

- **Pathogen factors:** Inoculum density (spore load in the field) and aggressiveness (rate of infection and reproduction) are quantified. Some pathogens have complex life cycles (e.g. polycyclic rusts spread multiple times per season). Epidemiologists measure parameters like infection efficiency and latent period to model disease progress curves^[40].
- **Host factors:** Host age and variety matter. Some plants are susceptible only at specific growth stages. Varieties differ in resistance levels (immune, partial or susceptible). Spatial distribution matters: dense plantings may allow rapid spread, whereas isolated fields slow it^[68].
- **Environmental factors:** Weather drives many diseases. Temperature, humidity, leaf wetness and wind all play roles. For instance, potato late blight requires extended leaf wetness to germinate *P. infestans* spores, the length of dew determines whether an epidemic takes off. Epidemiologists use empirical or mechanistic models to relate weather data to infection risk. Geographic Information Systems (GIS) map disease occurrences against climate, soil and topography to identify hotspots or predict spread corridors^[27].

Epidemiology informs forecasting and surveillance. Forecasting uses weather stations and models (like the WSU model for wheat stripe rust or the TOM-CAST model for potato blight) to predict when conditions are right for disease and advise optimal spray timing. Early warning systems integrate field scouting, trap data and models to alert authorities and farmers (e.g. RustWatch platforms). Spatial epidemiology tracks spread across landscapes^[2]. For airborne pathogens (rusts, mildews), spore traps and sampling networks can detect new incursions. Mathematical modeling (often using R) calculates epidemic growth (often sigmoidal) and thresholds. The basic reproduction number (R_0) concept, borrowed from human epidemiology, has been applied to plant pathogens to estimate outbreak potential^[16].

Cultural Practices

Cultural practices modify the farm environment and crop to reduce disease pressure. They are often the first line of defence, being relatively low-cost and sustainable^[92]. Major strategies include:

- **Crop rotation:** Growing non-host crops in sequence prevents build-up of host-specific pathogens. For soilborne

diseases (e.g. *Verticillium*, *Fusarium*, nematodes) rotating to cereals or other non-susceptible crops for a few seasons can drastically cut pathogen levels. Rotation must be planned for several years and can conflict with market demands (e.g. cash crop availability). Broadly, it is highly effective against narrow-host pathogens, but less so if pathogen has many hosts ^[54].

- **Sanitation:** Removal or destruction of infected plant debris and volunteer plants removes inoculum sources. For example, burning infected residue after harvest helps kill fungal spores. Cleaning tools and machinery between fields prevents mechanical transmission of pathogens. Sanitization is especially important for glasshouse operations and storage (steaming soil, disinfecting greenhouses) ^[69].
- **Resistant or disease-free seed/stock:** Using certified pathogen-free seed potatoes, bulbs or seedlings ensures starting with a clean slate. Many viruses, bacteria and nematodes are seed- or vegetative-propagule-borne. Certification programs (e.g. for potatoes, strawberries) drastically reduce incidence of common pathogens ^[41].
- **Planting date and density adjustments:** Timing sowing or transplanting to avoid peak disease periods can help. For instance, planting maize early in spring might help avoid a late-summer outbreak of northern leaf blight. Adjusting row spacing and thinning overcrowded fields improves air circulation, reducing humidity on leaves and lowering disease incidence (important for mildews, blights) ^[28].
- **Irrigation and drainage:** Reducing leaf wetness is critical. Drip irrigation delivers water to soil, not foliage, preventing

conditions favorable to many foliar pathogens. Proper drainage avoids waterlogging that can exacerbate root rots and nematode problems ^[84].

- **Soil management:** Practices like tillage and organic amendments influence disease. Deep plowing can bury residue (reducing surface inoculum) but may also disrupt soil structure. Adding compost or biochar can improve beneficial microbial communities that compete with pathogens. Maintaining optimal soil pH can suppress certain diseases (e.g. raising soil pH against clubroot of brassicas) ^[17].
- **Intercropping and cover cropping:** Growing a secondary crop or cover crop alongside the main crop can interrupt pathogen cycles or attract beneficial organisms. For example, mustard cover crops release bio-fumigant compounds. Non-host or trap crops may be used (e.g. sudangrass for nematode suppression, marigolds against root-knot nematodes). Intercropping can make it harder for pathogens to spread in a monoculture, though care must be taken to avoid creating alternate hosts ^[3].
- **Crop residue management:** Burying or removing residues after harvest (through tillage or removal) prevents pathogens from overwintering in the field. For instance, burying wheat stubble can reduce fusarium head blight inoculum for the next year ^[85].
- **Host removal (roguing):** Quickly removing diseased plants from the field (especially in orchards or perennial systems) prevents spread. This is often done for viral diseases or persistent bacterial spots on high-value crops ^[42].

Table 1: Major Plant Pathogens and Diseases ^[55, 29, 18]

Pathogen	Type	Host(s)	Disease
<i>Puccinia graminis</i> f. sp. <i>tritici</i>	Fungus	Wheat	Stem rust
<i>Puccinia triticina</i>	Fungus	Wheat	Leaf rust
<i>Magnaporthe oryzae</i>	Fungus	Rice	Rice blast
<i>Rhizoctonia solani</i>	Fungus	Many crops	Damping-off, root rot
<i>Sclerotinia sclerotiorum</i>	Fungus	Soybean, sunflower	White mold
<i>Botrytis cinerea</i>	Fungus	Grapevine, tomato, strawberry	Gray mold
<i>Fusarium oxysporum</i> (various formae speciales)	Fungus	Tomato, banana, others	Fusarium wilt
<i>Phytophthora infestans</i>	Oomycete	Potato, tomato	Late blight
<i>Phytophthora sojae</i>	Oomycete	Soybean	Root/stem rot
<i>Xanthomonas oryzae</i> pv. <i>oryzae</i>	Bacterium	Rice	Bacterial blight
<i>Erwinia amylovora</i>	Bacterium	Apple, pear	Fire blight
<i>Ralstonia solanacearum</i>	Bacterium	Potato, tomato, eggplant	Bacterial wilt
<i>Agrobacterium tumefaciens</i>	Bacterium	Many plants	Crown gall
<i>Pseudomonas syringae</i> pv. <i>tomato</i>	Bacterium	Tomato	Bacterial speck
Potato virus Y (PVY)	Virus	Potato, tomato	Mosaic disease
Rice tungro bacilliform virus	Virus	Rice	Tungro disease

Table 2: Disease Management Strategies ^[86, 43, 4, 70]

Strategy	Effectiveness	Constraints
Crop rotation to non-hosts	High for narrow-host pathogens	Requires planning, wide-host pathogens may persist
Sanitation (removing infected debris)	Moderate to high	Labor-intensive, limited effect on soil-borne spores
Certified disease-free seed/stock	High	Availability varies by region
Resistant cultivars	High	Resistance breakdown possible
Optimized planting time	Moderate	Weather unpredictability
Plant spacing and pruning	Moderate	Labor-intensive
Irrigation management	High for splash diseases	Infrastructure required
Balanced fertilization	Moderate	Requires proper soil testing
Cover cropping/intercropping	Moderate	Complex management

Resistant Varieties and Breeding Methods

- Breeding disease-resistant crop varieties is a proactive control strategy. Resistance can be qualitative (major R

genes conferring immunity or near-immunity, often race-specific) or quantitative (polygenic partial resistance reducing severity) ^[87]. Traditional breeding starts by

crossing resistant donor lines with elite cultivars and selecting offspring with both agronomic merit and disease resistance. Marker-assisted selection expedites this by tracking resistance genes with DNA markers, greatly shortening breeding cycles [56].

- The gene-for-gene concept (Flor) guides breeders: resistance works when a plant R-gene recognizes a matching pathogen Avr-gene, triggering defence. When pathogens mutate or shuffle Avr genes (as with fungal rusts), resistance can fail. Therefore, modern programs pyramid multiple R-genes in one cultivar to broaden durability. For example, wheat varieties now combine several rust-resistance genes [19].
- Biotechnology adds new tools. Transgenic approaches have transferred resistance across species (e.g. inserting virus coat protein genes to block virus infection, as in papaya ringspot-resistant papayas). CRISPR and other genome-editing tools allow precise edits of resistance genes or even direct knockout of susceptibility genes in plants, potentially creating faster, non-transgenic resistance. However, regulatory and public acceptance varies globally [44].
- Wild relatives of crops provide novel resistance genes. For instance, wild potatoes have been used to introgress blight resistance and wild rice for blast resistance. International germplasm banks (IRRI for rice, CIMMYT for wheat) maintain diverse collections screened for disease resistance. Once a promising gene is found, breeders backcross it into commercial lines through multiple generations [30].
- Overall, host resistance is cost-effective and environmentally safe (no chemical inputs), but it requires ongoing breeding efforts as pathogens evolve. Combining classical and molecular breeding is crucial to stay ahead of emerging pathogen races [71].

Chemical Control

When cultural and biological methods are insufficient, chemical pesticides provide effective short-term disease suppression [93]. Key categories include:

- **Fungicides:** The most widely used category. Multi-site fungicides (copper compounds, sulfur, dithiocarbamates) attack multiple cellular targets, reducing resistance risk, their action may include protein denaturation or metabolic disruption. Systemic or single-site fungicides (triazoles, strobilurins, carboxamides) are highly effective at low doses by blocking specific fungal enzymes (e.g. sterol synthesis, respiration) [5]. While potent, single-site fungicides face resistance development: numerous cases of resistant strains (e.g. *Zymoseptoria tritici* resistant to azoles, *Plasmopara* to strobils) highlight this risk. Mode of application matters (protectant vs curative action) [57].
- **Bactericides:** Fewer approved chemicals exist for bacteria. Copper salts (copper hydroxide, copper oxychloride) are broadly used for bacterial blights and fungal diseases, however, some bacteria (e.g. *Pseudomonas syringae*) quickly develop copper tolerance. Antibiotics like streptomycin and oxytetracycline can control fire blight or peach bark canker, but their use is limited (resistance and human health concerns restrict agricultural antibiotic use in many countries) [20].
- **Nematicides:** Soil-applied chemicals (fumigants like metam sodium, 1, 3-dichloropropene or non-fumigants like oxamyl) control nematode pests. They can dramatically reduce nematode populations pre-planting. Due to toxicity,

many are being banned or restricted. Non-chemical alternatives (cover crops, resistant rootstocks) are gaining focus [72].

- **Insecticides:** While primarily for insect control, their use can indirectly control vector-borne diseases (e.g. aphid control reduces virus spread, psyllid control lowers huanglongbing incidence). Integrated disease programs may include targeted insecticide use at critical times [88].

Chemical application requires careful timing and dosage. Overuse not only causes environmental harm (polluting waterways, harming non-target organisms) but also selects for resistant pathogen strains [94]. Resistance management strategies include rotating fungicides with different modes of action (FRAC code rotation), tank-mixing multiple fungicides and using chemicals as a last resort in an IDM framework [45]. Precision application (spot-spraying or targeted irrigation injection) is increasingly employed to minimize total use. Economically, synthetic pesticides represent significant input costs for farmers. In high-value crops (fruits, vegetables), intensive chemical programs are standard [58]. For staple grains, marginal cost-benefit analysis may limit fungicide to severe epidemics only. Regulatory pressure is increasing: many active ingredients are delisted due to environmental or health risks and consumer demand for residue-free produce grows. This drives interest in lower-toxicity alternatives and integrated approaches that rely less on chemicals [6].

Biological Control

Biological control (biocontrol) harnesses living organisms or natural products to suppress diseases. Unlike chemicals, bioagents are often self-replicating and ecologically being [73]. Main categories include:

- Beneficial microbes:** Species of *Bacillus*, *Pseudomonas*, *Streptomyces*, *Trichoderma* and others are mass-produced and formulated as biopesticides [100, 46]. These microbes act via competition, antibiosis or hyperparasitism. For example:
 - *Bacillus subtilis* strains (e.g. commercial Serenade) produce antibiotics and induce plant defenses, controlling foliar diseases like powdery mildew and blights [74].
 - *Trichoderma harzianum* (RootShield) colonizes roots and parasitizes soil fungi like *Rhizoctonia* and *Pythium*, reducing damping-off [59].
 - *Streptomyces lydicus* (Actinovate) produces natural antibiotics against root rot pathogens [31].
 - *Pseudomonas fluorescens* and *chlororaphis* strains produce siderophores and hydrogen cyanide, limiting soilborne pathogens [47].
- Bacteriophages:** Phage formulations target bacterial diseases. For instance, phages specific to *Erwinia amylovora* (fire blight) or *Ralstonia* species can be sprayed to infect and lyse the bacteria. Phages multiply where the target host exists, naturally amplifying their effect [7].
- Fungal hyperparasites and saprophytes:** Some fungi parasitize plant pathogens. *Ampelomyces quisqualis* attacks powdery mildew hyphae directly. *Coniothyrium minitans* infests the sclerotia of *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum*, reducing white mold pressure. Mycorrhizal fungi (e.g. *Glomus* species) improve plant health and nutrient uptake, indirectly bolstering disease tolerance (though not a direct biocontrol) [21].
- Botanical extracts and compounds:** Plant-derived substances (neem oil, garlic extract, chitosan) can inhibit

pathogens or deter vectors. Neem (azadirachtin) disrupts insect pests and has some antifungal properties. Such compounds are often approved for organic use ^[32].

- e. **Biological agents' formulations:** These are delivered as liquids, powders, granules or seed coatings. Shelf life and

consistency remain challenges, typically, refrigerated or specially formulated products are needed. Field efficacy of biocontrols is often moderate: research shows disease suppression typically in the range of 40–80%, depending on conditions ^[60].

Table 3: Biological Control Agents ^[8, 33, 75]

Agent	Target Pathogen/Disease	Mode of Action
<i>Bacillus subtilis</i> (strain QST 713)	Powdery mildew, gray mold, bacterial spot	Produces antifungal lipopeptides, colonizes plant surfaces
<i>Bacillus amyloliquefaciens</i>	Fusarium wilt, Rhizoctonia	Produces antibiotics (iturins), stimulates plant immunity
<i>Bacillus pumilus</i>	Soil fungi (e.g., <i>Fusarium</i>)	Produces pumilacidin antibiotic
<i>Pseudomonas fluorescens</i>	Take-all (<i>Gaeumannomyces</i>), Pythium damping-off	Produces siderophores, competes for niches, produces HCN
<i>Pseudomonas chlororaphis</i>	Fusarium, Rhizoctonia	Produces phenazine antibiotics
<i>Streptomyces lydicus</i>	Pythium, Rhizoctonia, Fusarium	Produces streptomycin-like antibiotics
<i>Ampelomyces quisqualis</i>	Powdery mildews	Mycoparasite of mildew fungi
<i>Coniothyrium minitans</i>	<i>Sclerotinia sclerotiorum</i>	Mycoparasite attacking sclerotia
<i>Gliocladium catenulatum</i>	Root rots (<i>Fusarium</i> , Rhizoctonia)	Mycoparasitism and competition
<i>Glomus intraradices</i> (AM fungi)	Indirect (nutrient uptake improvement)	Enhances root health and tolerance

Integrated Disease Management (IDM)

Integrated Disease Management (IDM or ICM) is the synthesis of all available tactics into a coherent strategy, emphasizing efficacy and sustainability ^[76]. Key aspects of IDM include:

- **Combination of tactics:** Rather than relying on one method, IDM uses cultural controls, host resistance, biologicals and judicious chemical use together. For instance, an IDM program for a fungal disease might include rotating crops, using resistant varieties, applying biocontrol at planting and only spraying fungicides when disease thresholds are reached ^[22].
- **Monitoring and thresholds:** IDM relies on regular field scouting, traps (for insects/vector diseases) and weather-based forecasts. Actions are triggered by thresholds (e.g., “spray when 10% of leaves show infection” or “act when four days of high humidity are forecast”). This avoids unnecessary interventions, saving cost and slowing resistance development ^[101, 48].
- **Decision support systems:** Modern IDM often uses decision-support software integrating data (weather, crop stage, previous infections) to recommend actions. These tools can suggest the optimal timing and choice of control (for example, selecting a fungicide mode of action based on recent usage patterns) ^[34].
- **Resistance management:** IDM explicitly includes rotating chemical classes and integrating non-chemical methods to delay resistance. For example, rotating chlorothalonil (multi-site) with a systemic strobilurin helps preserve both ^[61].
- **Scaling up:** Diseases often transcend individual fields. IDM promotes area-wide management: coordinating planting dates or sanitation across farms in a region. For example, avoiding volunteer grain (“green bridges”) between growing seasons in a region reduces rust carryover ^[9, 95].
- **Environmental and economic balance:** IDM aims to minimize environmental harm and cost. By reducing redundant pesticide use and enhancing natural controls, IDM can be both economically and ecologically efficient. Public programs often support IDM through farmer training, cost-sharing and policies favouring integrated approaches ^[77].

Precision Agriculture and Digital Tools

The rise of digital technologies is transforming disease management into a data-driven discipline. Precision

agriculture applies inputs at the right place and time, reducing waste and improving efficacy ^[96]. Emerging tools include:

- **Remote sensing (drones, satellites):** Multispectral and hyperspectral cameras detect crop stress across fields. These tools reveal areas with altered light reflectance or temperature before visible symptoms appear. For example, drones flying over vineyards can spot early downy mildew patches by elevated infrared reflectance. Satellite services (Sentinel-2, Planet) offer weekly imagery useful for tracking large-scale outbreaks (e.g. monitoring yellow rust spread in wheat fields) ^[23].
- **Field sensors and IoT:** Soil moisture sensors, leaf wetness sensors and weather stations provide real-time data to disease models. Continuous data (e.g. hours of leaf wetness at night) can feed decision-support systems predicting blight risk. Wireless sensor networks enable remote monitoring of microclimate, guiding irrigations and sprays precisely ^[35].
- **AI and machine learning:** Image recognition algorithms have been trained on thousands of plant disease images. Smartphone apps (PlantVillage Nuru, CropDoctor, etc.) allow farmers to photograph leaves and receive an instant diagnosis. Datasets linking weather, soil and historical outbreaks enable predictive analytics: machine-learning models can identify patterns unseen by humans, improving early warning ^[62, 10].
- **Robotics and automation:** Agricultural robots (ground-based or aerial) can scout or spray autonomously. For instance, BoniRob (a research robot) navigates row crops using cameras and selectively sprays weeds, similar systems are being adapted for disease (spot-spraying fungicides only on infected clusters). Autonomous tractors and smart sprayers vary application rates within fields, targeting hotspots mapped via sensors or scouting reports ^[49].
- **Data platforms:** Cloud-based farm management systems integrate all information (satellite imagery, sensor data, lab tests) and deliver actionable insights. Collaborative platforms allow extension agents and researchers to upload field data, enabling community science ^[63].

Regulatory, Safety and Economic Considerations

Disease management practices are shaped by regulations, safety standards and economic realities:

- **Pesticide regulation:** Governments (EPA, EU EFSA, etc.) rigorously evaluate new chemicals for human and environmental safety. Active ingredient approvals and

maximum residue limits (MRLs) on produce influence what farmers can use. For example, strict MRLs on strawberries may prohibit certain fungicides, forcing use of alternatives or lower doses. Resistance management regulations may limit how often or at what dosage chemicals can be applied [24].

- **Biocontrol regulation:** Live microbial pesticides and transgenic crops face regulatory review. Biocontrol strains must be assessed for non-target effects (e.g. a bacterium that might harm beneficial insects). GMOs undergo lengthy biosafety evaluations, public acceptance varies. In some places, gene-edited crops with no foreign DNA may face lighter regulation, which could accelerate uptake of edited resistances [78].
- **Worker and consumer safety:** Protective equipment and training are mandatory for many pesticides, raising labor costs. The health risks of some older chemicals (organophosphates, methyl bromide) have led to phase-outs. Organically grown produce generally commands premium prices, affecting management decisions [11].
- **Economic trade-offs:** Farmers calculate input costs (seeds, chemicals, labor) versus expected yield benefits. Crop insurance and subsidies can influence risk-taking. For example, if insurance covers drought damage but not disease loss, a farmer might skimp on fungicides, increasing vulnerability to epidemics. Conversely, subsidies for integrated pest management or resistant seed can encourage adoption of best practices [36].
- **Trade and quarantine:** Country import/export rules often include phytosanitary standards. Outbreaks of pests like wheat rust or citrus canker trigger embargoes. This incentivizes strict on-farm controls and surveillance. Conversely, losing export markets (e.g. due to disease contamination) can make farmers invest more in management [79].
- **Research and extension funding:** Government and industry funding priorities shape what technologies become available. In some regions, smallholder farmers rely heavily on government extension programs for disease management guidance, highlighting the importance of policy support for plant health education [64].

Challenges and Future Directions

Plant disease management is an evolving battle. Ongoing challenges include:

- **Climate change:** Altered weather patterns expand disease ranges. Warmer winters allow survival of tropical pests in new areas. Increased humidity and extreme weather events create conditions for unusual outbreaks. Pathogens may produce more spores faster, shortening disease cycles [50].
- **Pathogen evolution:** The arms race continues. Resistance genes are rendered ineffective by new pathogen races (as seen with many R-genes). Fungicide and antibiotic resistance is accelerating. Monitoring and breeding must keep pace [88].
- **Intensive agriculture:** High-yield systems often amplify disease pressure (monocultures, high nitrogen). Balancing productivity with resilience is crucial [97].
- **Data gaps and complexity:** While digital tools abound, integration remains a hurdle. Standardizing data, ensuring models work across regions and providing user-friendly systems to smallholders are unsolved issues [98].
- **Biological control consistency:** Making biocontrol as

reliable as chemicals remains a research goal. Formulation stability, field efficacy and understanding microbe-environment interactions are areas for improvement [25].

- **Socioeconomic factors:** In developing countries, lack of access to resistant seed, diagnostics or safe pesticides limits management options. Extension services and education are needed [99].
- **Next-generation diagnostics:** Portable DNA sequencers, CRISPR-based sensors and advanced imaging will make pathogen detection instantaneous and decentralized [89].
- **Microbiome engineering:** Research into the plant microbiome may yield probiotic treatments or soil amendments that bolster plant defences naturally [80].
- **Gene editing:** CRISPR holds potential for creating durable resistance by knocking out susceptibility genes or stacking multiple R genes rapidly. New editing techniques (prime editing, base editing) may broaden possibilities [12].
- **Precision interventions:** Real-time data streams may enable automatic disease control: e.g. UAVs that detect and spray single infected plants before they spread [65].
- **Collaborative networks:** Global early warning systems, where data on new pathogen strains are shared instantly, can help mobilize defenses before local epidemics escalate [37].

Conclusion

Plant pathology provides a diverse arsenal against crop diseases, rooted in historical insights and enriched by modern science. Effective disease management integrates early and accurate diagnosis, epidemiological forecasting, preventive cultural practices, host resistance breeding, strategic pesticide use and biological controls. The advent of precision agriculture and digital technologies promises more timely and localized interventions, optimizing resource use. Key crop case studies show how combining tactics can save harvests even under severe disease pressure. However, dynamic challenges like climate change and pathogen adaptation require continuous innovation and vigilance. Ultimately, safeguarding crop health is crucial for food security. By applying a holistic, integrated approach informed by plant pathology research, agriculture can meet present and future disease challenges while minimizing environmental impact. The synergy of historical knowledge and cutting-edge tools paves the way for resilient, productive agrosystems.

References

1. Agrios GN. Plant pathology. 5th ed. New York: Academic Press; 2005.
2. Almeida NF, Yan S, Cai R, Liu H. Genome sequencing and molecular studies of plant-pathogenic bacteria. Annual Review of Phytopathology. 2017;55:459-482.
3. Anderson PK, Cunningham AA, Patel NG, Morales FJ, Epstein PR, Daszak P. Emerging infectious diseases of plants: Pathogen pollution, climate change and agrotechnology drivers. Trends in Ecology and Evolution. 2004;19(10):535-544.
4. Aqeel-Ur-Rehman, Abbasi AZ, Islam N, Shaikh ZA. A review of wireless sensors and networks applications in agriculture. Computer Standards and Interfaces. 2014;36(2):263-270.
5. Sharma A, Dongre P, Harsha, Ausari PK, Devendra. Horticulture horizons: An exploring advanced technologies in plant cultivation. Plant Science Archives. 8(2):13-14.
6. Barbedo JGA. Plant disease identification from individual lesions and spots using deep learning. Biosystems

- Engineering. 2019;180:96-107.
7. Baulcombe D. RNA silencing in plants. *Nature*. 2004;431(7006):356-363.
 8. Bochtis DD, Sørensen CGC, Busato P. Advances in agricultural machinery management: A review. *Biosystems Engineering*. 2014;126:69-81.
 9. Borlaug NE. The Green Revolution: Peace and humanity. Nobel Prize Lecture. 1970.
 10. Borrelli VMG, Brambilla V, Rogowsky P, Marocco A, Lanubile A. The enhancement of plant disease resistance using CRISPR/Cas9 technology. *Frontiers in Plant Science*. 2018;9:1245-1245.
 11. Buja I, Sabella E, Monteduro AG, Chiriaco MS, De Bellis L, Luvisi A, Maruccio G. Advances in plant disease detection and monitoring: From traditional assays to in-field diagnostics. *Sensors*. 2021;21(6):2129-2129.
 12. Carlos MOJ, María HCL, Veronica BF. Detection of significant wavelengths for identifying and classifying *Fusarium oxysporum* during the incubation period and water stress in *Solanum lycopersicum* plants using reflectance spectroscopy. *Journal of Plant Protection Research*. 2019;59:244-244.
 13. Prajval V, Krishnamoorthi A, Thakur R, Ruchitha T, Kapoor M, Singh A, *et al.* From code to crop: How bioinformatics is transforming crop genomics in modern agriculture and bettering environment. *Journal of Advances in Biology and Biotechnology*. 2024;27(4):27-49.
 14. Anushi SS, Krishnamoorthi A, Kumar S, Pareta P, Kalaiselvi P, Sinha G, *et al.* Biotech bounty on verge: GM crops and the science of sustainable agriculture and horticulture.
 15. Anushi M, Jain S, Sharma R, Thapliyal V. The horticulture encyclopedia.
 16. Anushi FD, Krishnamoorthi A, Singh V. Enhancing sustainable food systems through the cultivation of nutrient-rich crops: Millets.
 17. Chen CJ, Huang YY, Li YS, Chang CY, Huang YM. An AIoT based smart agricultural system for pests detection. *IEEE Access*. 2020;8:180750-180761.
 18. Chisholm ST, Coaker G, Day B, Staskawicz BJ. Host-microbe interactions: Shaping the evolution of the plant immune response. *Cell*. 2006;124(4):803-814.
 19. Choi J, Cho Y, Shim E, Woo H, Kim S. Real-time surveillance and prediction of infectious disease outbreaks using digital epidemiology. *Journal of Medical Internet Research*. 2021;23(6):e25542.
 20. Chouhan SS, Uday, Singh P, Jain S. Applications of computer vision in plant pathology: A survey. *Archives of Computational Methods in Engineering*. 2020;27:611-632.
 21. Chung H, Ottea J. Sustainable agricultural practices for disease management. *Sustainability*. 2018;10(11):3965-3965.
 22. Cooke DEL, Cobb AC. Late blight of potatoes and tomatoes: An overview. *Plant Pathology*. 2012;61(4):10-18.
 23. Das K, Ayim BY, Borodynko-Filas N, Das SC, Aminuzzaman F. Genome editing (CRISPR/Cas9) in plant disease management: Challenges and future prospects. *Journal of Plant Protection Research*. 2023;63(2):159-172.
 24. Ding WG, Taylor G. Automatic moth detection from trap images for pest management. *Computers and Electronics in Agriculture*. 2016;123:17-17.
 25. Eberlein J, Davenport B, Nguyen TT, Victorino F, Jhun K, van der Heide V, *et al.* Chemokine signatures of pathogen-specific T cells I: Effector T cells. *Journal of Immunology*. 2020;205:2169-2187.
 26. Elad Y, Pertot I, Cotes Prado AM, Stewart A. Plant disease management in the post-chemical agricultural world. *Annual Review of Phytopathology*. 2020;58:1-25.
 27. Elijah O, Rahman TA, Orikumhi I, Leow CY, Nour Hindia MHD. An overview of Internet of Things and data analytics in agriculture: Benefits and challenges. *IEEE Internet of Things Journal*. 2018;5(5):3758-3773.
 28. Eliopoulos PA, Potamitis I, Kontodimas DC, Givropoulou EG. Detection of adult beetles inside the stored wheat mass based on their acoustic emissions. *Journal of Economic Entomology*. 2015;108:2808-2808.
 29. Erwin DC, Ribeiro OK. *Phytophthora diseases worldwide*. St. Paul: American Phytopathological Society; 1996.
 30. Fantin E, Raj I, Appadurai M, Athiappan K. Precision farming in modern agriculture. In: Choudhury A, Biswas A, Singh TP, Ghosh SK, editors. *Smart agriculture automation using advanced technologies*. Singapore: Springer; 2021. p.61-88.
 31. Farooq MS, Riaz S, Abid A, Abid K, Naeem MA. A survey on the role of IoT in agriculture for the implementation of smart farming. *IEEE Access*. 2019;7:156237-156271.
 32. Ferentinos KP. Deep learning models for plant disease detection and diagnosis. *Computers and Electronics in Agriculture*. 2018;145:311-318.
 33. Fry WE, Goodwin SB. Late blight and the movement of pathogens in the 19th century. *Plant Disease*. 2015;99(2):152-160.
 34. Furlanetto RH, Nanni MR, Mizuno MS, Crusiol LGT, da Silva CR. Identification and classification of Asian soybean rust using leaf-based hyperspectral reflectance. *International Journal of Remote Sensing*. 2021;42:4177-4198.
 35. Singh A, Labanya GSR. Exploring the role of hydrogen in agriculture for machinery, biomass and reducing carbon footprints. *Plant Archives*. 2025;25(1):2852-2863.
 36. Debnath P, Raj R. Optimizing functional genomics and application of root wounding and immersion for enhanced virus-induced gene silencing in plants: A comprehensive review. *Plant Archives*. 2025;25(1):3115-3126.
 37. Krishnamoorthi A, Chaurasia J, Baidya BK, Singh A, Kumar V, Singh A. A comprehensive review on evolution, challenges of models, opportunities in urban farming practices in the world. *Journal of Scientific Research and Reports*. 2024;30(6):136-156.
 38. Raghuvanshi HR, Kalaiselvi P, Ravindra NR, Kumar V. Biotechnological breakthroughs: Paving the path to cleaner and more productive agriculture through bioremediation. *Journal of Advances in Biology and Biotechnology*. 2024;27(4):119-142.
 39. Bhardwaj R, Kumar M, Kaushal N, Kamboj AD, Krishnamoorthi A, Singh A, *et al.* From lab to bouquet: The biotechnological frontier in modern floriculture for sustainable and resilient flower farming. *Journal of Advances in Biology and Biotechnology*. 2024;27(2):119-137.
 40. Jain S, Ranganna G, Jadhav PS, Shrivastava U, Sood A, Prasad L, *et al.* Cultivating tomorrow: Harnessing gene editing and silencing for precision horticulture. *Journal of Advances in Biology and Biotechnology*. 2024;27(1):147-160.
 41. Krishnamoorthi A, Salam NK, Kalaiselvi D, KB C, Kumar S, Kumar V, *et al.* Digital sequencing information in agricultural crops: A comprehensive review. *International Journal*. 2024;8(8):1404-1412.

42. García-Mas J, López M. Bridging the gap between plant pathology research and practical application: A review. *Agricultural Systems*. 2017;156:100-112.
43. Garrett KA, Dendy SP, Frank EE, Rouse MN, Travers SE. Climate change effects on plant disease: Genomes to ecosystems. *Annual Review of Phytopathology*. 2006;44:489-509.
44. Garry S, Ali F. Fusarium wilt and its impact on banana production: A global perspective. *International Journal of Pest Management*. 2020;66(2):174-181.
45. Gebbers R, Adamchuk VI. Precision agriculture and food security. *Science*. 2010;327(5967):828-831.
46. Geiger H. Ethical concerns in plant genetic modification: Public perception and ecological risks. *Environmental Ethics in Agriculture*. 2019;26(2):55-68.
47. Gibson D, White J, Yuen S. GIS applications in plant disease management. *Plant Disease*. 2017;101(9):1692-1703.
48. Golob A, Kavčič J, Stibilj V, Gabersčik A, Vogel-Mikuš K, Germ M. The effect of selenium and UV radiation on leaf traits and biomass production in *Triticum aestivum* L. *Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety*. 2017;136:142-149.
49. Gomes JFS, Leta FR. Applications of computer vision techniques in the agriculture and food industry: A review. *European Food Research and Technology*. 2012;235(6):989-1000.
50. Huang W, Lamb DW, Niu Z, Zhang Y, Liu L, Wang J. Identification of yellow rust in wheat using in-situ spectral reflectance measurements and airborne hyperspectral imaging. *Precision Agriculture*. 2007;8:187-197.
51. Huang HC, Liang SY. Mycoparasitism in biocontrol. *Microbial Pathogenesis*. 2008;44(3):200-205.
52. Hunter M, Smith R, Schipanski M, Atwood L, Mortensen D. Agriculture in 2050: Recalibrating targets for sustainable intensification. *Bioscience*. 2017;67(4):386-391.
53. Immitzer M, Atzberger C. Early detection of bark beetle infestation in Norway spruce (*Picea abies* L.) using WorldView-2. *Photogrammetrie Fernerkundung Geoinformation*. 2014;5(5):351-367.
54. Jaramillo C, Behnke R. Bio-control agents in agriculture: Ecological considerations and future prospects. *Plant Pathology Journal*. 2018;34(4):143-152.
55. Ji R, Xie BY, Li DM, Li Z, Zhang X. Use of MODIS data to monitor the oriental migratory locust plague. *Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment*. 2004;104:615-620.
56. Jones JDG, Dangl JL. The plant immune system. *Nature*. 2006;444(7117):323-329.
57. Jones R, McRoberts N, Thompson D. Fungicide decision support system for wheat rust management. *Crop Protection*. 2014;62:17-25.
58. Melian JM, Jimenez A, Díaz M, Morales A, Horstrand P, Guerra R, *et al.* Real-time hyperspectral data transmission for UAV-based acquisition platforms. *Remote Sensing*. 2021;13(5):850-850.
59. Juroszek P, von Tiedemann A. Climate change and potential future risks through wheat diseases: A review. *European Journal of Plant Pathology*. 2013;136(1):21-33.
60. Katan J. Solar heating (solarization) of soil for control of soilborne pests. *Annual Review of Phytopathology*. 1981;19:211-236.
61. Kellner MJ, Koob JG, Gootenberg JS, Abudayyeh OO, Zhang F. SHERLOCK: Nucleic acid detection with CRISPR nucleases. *Nature Protocols*. 2019;14(10):2986-3012.
62. Khan MI, Ali S, Iqbal M. Gold nanoparticle-based diagnostic sensors for plant disease detection. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*. 2019;67(50):13959-13967.
63. Leeuw DJ, Vrieling A, Shee A, Atzberger C, Hadgu KM, Biradar CM, *et al.* The potential and uptake of remote sensing in insurance: A review. *Remote Sensing*. 2014;6:10888-10912.
64. Liakos KG, Busato P, Moshou D, Pearson S, Bochtis D. Machine learning in agriculture: A review. *Sensors*. 2018;18(8):1-29.
65. Liang Q, Xiang S, Hu Y, Coppola G, Zhang D, Sun W. PD2SE-Net: Computer-assisted plant disease diagnosis and severity estimation network. *Computers and Electronics in Agriculture*. 2019;157:518-529.
66. Lindow SE, Brandl MT. Microbiology of the phyllosphere. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*. 2003;69(4):1875-1883.
67. Lopez MM, Rosello M, Llop P. Advances in the detection of plant pathogenic bacteria and diagnosis of bacterial diseases of plants. *Plant Pathology*. 2003;52(3):271-285.
68. Luck J, Spackman M, Freeman A, Trebicki P, Griffiths W, Finlay K, *et al.* Climate change and diseases of food crops. *Plant Pathology*. 2011;60(1):113-121.
69. Ma H, Pang X. Research and analysis of sport medical data processing algorithms based on deep learning and internet of things. *IEEE Access*. 2019;7:118839-118849.
70. Maduranga MWP, Abeysekera R. Machine learning applications in IoT based agriculture and smart farming: A review. *International Journal of Engineering Applied Sciences and Technology*. 2020;4(12):24-27.
71. Mahlein A, Rumpf T, Welke P, Dehne H, Plümer L, Steiner U, *et al.* Development of spectral indices for detecting and identifying plant diseases. *Remote Sensing of Environment*. 2013;128:21-30.
72. Mahlein AK. Plant disease detection by imaging sensors: Paradigms and perspectives. *Annual Review of Phytopathology*. 2016;54:689-711.
73. Mendes J, Pinho TM, dos Santos FN, Sousa JJ, Peres E, Boaventura-Cunha J, *et al.* Smartphone applications targeting precision agriculture practices: A systematic review. *Agronomy*. 2020;10:855-855.
74. Prado Ribeiro L, Klock ALS, Filho JAW, Tramontin MA, Trapp MA, Nansen C. Hyperspectral imaging to characterize plant-plant communication in response to insect herbivory. *Plant Methods*. 2018;14:54-54.
75. Rehman TU, Mahmud MS, Chang YK, Jin J, Shin J. Current and future applications of statistical machine learning algorithms for agricultural machine vision systems. *Computers and Electronics in Agriculture*. 2019;156:585-605.
76. Ristaino JB, *et al.* The persistent threat of emerging plant disease pandemics to global food security. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*. 2020;117(49):31738-31746.
77. Santos E, Rabelo G, Mendes A. AI and machine learning in plant disease forecasting: New frontiers. *Phytopathology Reviews*. 2020;32(5):1034-1044.
78. Rimpika, Anushi, Manasa S, Anusha KN, Sharma S, Thakur A, *et al.* An overview of precision farming. *International Journal of Environment and Climate Change*. 2023;13(12):441-456.
79. Jain S, Bhujel S, Shrivastava U, Mohapatra A, Mishra G.

- Advancements in drone technology for fruit crop management: A comprehensive review. 2023.
80. Anushi AK, Ghosh PK. From seed to succulence: Mastering dragon fruit propagation techniques. *Journal of Plant Biota*. 2024.
 81. Anushi BPS, Sachan K. Bioformulation: A new frontier in horticulture for eco-friendly crop management. *Journal of Plant Biota*. 2024;28-28.
 82. Anushi VK, Shukla P. Influence of biostimulants and organic mulch on soil microbial population in strawberry (*Fragaria × ananassa* Duch.).
 83. Anushi RM, Deshmukh RN, Sharma R. From DNA to deliciousness: A journey into molecular markers in fruits.
 84. Savary S, Willocquet L, Pethybridge SJ, Esker P, McRoberts N, Nelson A. The global burden of pathogens and pests on major food crops. *Nature Ecology and Evolution*. 2019;3(3):430-439.
 85. Scholthof KBG. Tobacco mosaic virus: A model system for plant biology. *Annual Review of Phytopathology*. 2004;42:13-34.
 86. Schumann GL, D'Arcy CJ. Late blight of potato and tomato. *Plant Health Instructor*. 2010.
 87. Shaibu AS, Li B, Zhang S, Sun J. Soybean cyst nematode resistance: Gene identification and breeding strategies. *The Crop Journal*. 2020;8(6):892-904.
 88. Shakti M. Emerging technologies: Principles and applications in precision agriculture. In: Reddy GPO, Raval MS, Adinarayana J, Chaudhary S, editors. *Data science in agriculture and natural resource management*. Singapore: Springer; 2021. p.31-54.
 89. Shoaib M, *et al.* An advanced deep learning models-based plant disease detection: A review of recent research. *Frontiers in Plant Science*. 2023;14:1158933-1158933.
 90. Shores M, Harman GE, Mastouri F. Trichoderma applications: Biological control, plant growth stimulation and postharvest disease control. *Phytopathology*. 2010;100(2):80-90.
 91. Singh N, Soni P, Misra S. Biological control of plant pathogens using beneficial microorganisms. *Microbial Pathogenesis*. 2021;158:105016-105016.
 92. Singh RP, Hodson DP, Huerta-Espino J, Jin Y, Bhavani S, Njau P, Herrera-Foessel S, Singh PK, Singh S, Govindan V, Lan C. The emergence of Ug99 races of the stem rust fungus is a threat to world wheat production. *Annual Review of Phytopathology*. 2011;49:465-481.
 93. Supriya J, Gopal R. IoT-enabled smart farming: Challenges and opportunities. In: *Smart agriculture automation using advanced technologies*. Singapore: Springer; 2021. p.123-139.
 94. Susovan J, Ranjan P, Bijan S. Detection of rotten fruits and vegetables using deep learning. In: *Computer vision and machine learning in agriculture*. Singapore: Springer; 2021. p.31-41.
 95. Pattoo TA. Flora to nano: Sustainable synthesis of nanoparticles via plant-mediated green chemistry. *Plant Science Archives*. 8(1):12-17.
 96. Tucker CJ. Red and photographic infrared linear combinations for monitoring vegetation. *Remote Sensing of Environment*. 1979;8(2):127-150.
 97. van Klink R, August T, Bas Y, Bodesheim P, Bonn A, Fossøy F, *et al.* Emerging technologies revolutionise insect ecology and monitoring. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution*. 2022;37(10):872-885.
 98. Vishnoi VK, Kumar K, Kumar B. Plant disease detection using computational intelligence and image processing. *Journal of Plant Diseases and Protection*. 2021;128(1):19-53.
 99. Vurukonda SSK, Vardharajula S, Shrivastava M, Kavi Kishor PB. Plant growth promoting rhizobacteria: A critical review. *Biological Control*. 2016;98:63-84.
 100. Walter A, Finger R, Huber R, Buchmann N. Smart farming is key to developing sustainable agriculture. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*. 2017;114(24):6148-6150.
 101. Wang L, Zhang Y, Zhang Y, Zhu X, Zhang X. Machine learning-based prediction of infectious disease outbreaks: A review. *PLoS Pathogens*. 2020;16(10):e1008982.
 102. Wang Y, Cheng X, Shan Q, Zhang Y, Liu J, Gao C, Qiu JL. Simultaneous editing of three homoeoalleles in hexaploid bread wheat confers heritable resistance to powdery mildew. *Nature Biotechnology*. 2014;32(9):947-951.
 103. Ward E, Foster SJ, Fraaije BA, McCartney HA. Plant pathogen diagnostics: Immunological and nucleic acid-based approaches. *Annals of Applied Biology*. 2004;145(1):1-16.
 104. Wu X, Guo J, Han M, Chen G. An overview of arable land use for the world economy: From source to sink via the global supply chain. *Land Use Policy*. 2018;76:201-214.