

International Journal of Statistics and Applied Mathematics

ISSN: 2456-1452

NAAS Rating (2025): 4.49

Maths 2026; 11(4): 68-71

© 2026 Stats & Maths

<https://www.mathsjournal.com>

Received: 23-01-2026

Accepted: 28-02-2026

Satvant Kumar Yadav

Research Scholar, Department of
Mathematics, V.S.S.D. College,
Kanpur, Uttar Pradesh, India

Dr. Sanjeev Kumar Singh

Assistant Professor, Department
of Mathematics, V.S.S.D.
College, Kanpur, Uttar Pradesh,
India.

Generalized Variational Inequalities and Vector Optimization under Cone Constraints

Satvant Kumar Yadav and Sanjeev Kumar Singh

DOI: <https://www.doi.org/10.22271/math.2026.v11.i4a.2300>

Abstract

Variational inequality theory has emerged as a powerful framework in mathematical optimization, equilibrium theory, and applied sciences. This paper presents a comprehensive study of variational inequalities (VIs), vector variational inequalities (VVIs), and vector optimization problems (VOPs). Beginning with the classical formulation of variational inequalities in Hilbert spaces, the discussion extends to vector-valued generalizations and multi-objective optimization frameworks. Special emphasis is placed on the structural properties, existence results, and equivalence relationships among these formulations. The paper also explores generalized convexity conditions, such as invexity and pseudoconvexity, which facilitate connections between VVIs and VOPs. Applications in economics, engineering, and game theory are highlighted, demonstrating the versatility of these mathematical tools.

Keywords: Variational inequality, Vector variational inequality, Vector optimization problem, Generalized convexity, Cone constraints.

Introduction

Variational inequality theory has become one of the most significant branches of modern mathematical analysis because of its capacity to describe a wide variety of equilibrium phenomena within a unified framework. At its core, the theory is concerned with situations in which a state of balance must be achieved under the presence of restrictions, competing influences, and interacting forces. Rather than searching directly for an optimal value of a single objective, the theory identifies conditions under which no feasible movement can improve the current state according to the governing system. The importance of this framework lies in its remarkable generality. Many classical mathematical models that were originally developed independently can be reformulated as variational inequality problems. Constrained optimization, complementarity systems, equilibrium models, and fixed point formulations may all be interpreted through the language of variational inequalities. Because of this unifying role, the theory has become a central tool in optimization, economics, engineering, mechanics, transportation science, and game theory. The classical theory was initially developed to study problems involving a single criterion or a single governing relation. In such settings, the objective is to determine a state that satisfies an inequality condition throughout an admissible set of possibilities. This approach proved particularly effective for studying constrained optimization and mechanical contact problems, where the interaction between forces and restrictions cannot be adequately described by ordinary equations alone.

Over time, the theory expanded beyond these original contexts and became closely associated with the mathematical description of equilibrium. As real-world systems grew more complex, it became increasingly clear that many important decisions and equilibrium conditions cannot be represented by a single objective or a single measure of performance. Economic systems often involve simultaneous consideration of profit, cost, risk, and welfare. Engineering design requires the balancing of efficiency, safety, durability, and expense. Transportation networks must account for time, congestion, environmental impact, and reliability. In such situations, several objectives coexist and may conflict with one

Corresponding Author:

Satvant Kumar Yadav

Research Scholar, Department of
Mathematics, V.S.S.D. College,
Kanpur, Uttar Pradesh, India

another. This need for multi-criteria analysis led to the development of vector variational inequality theory. The vector extension generalizes the classical framework by replacing a single-valued criterion with a collection of criteria considered simultaneously. Instead of relying upon the ordinary notion of comparison, vector variational inequalities employ partial orderings induced by preference structures. This modification allows the theory to capture trade-offs between competing objectives and to describe equilibrium in systems where no single criterion is sufficient. Closely related to vector variational inequalities are vector optimization problems. These problems arise whenever a decision-maker seeks to improve several objectives at the same time. Since such objectives are frequently in conflict, it is often impossible to identify one solution that is best in every respect. Consequently, the notion of optimality must be replaced by the concept of efficiency, according to which a solution is considered desirable if no other feasible alternative improves one objective without worsening another. The resulting theory of efficient and Pareto optimal solutions forms the foundation of modern multi-objective optimization. The relationship between vector variational inequalities and vector optimization problems is particularly important from both theoretical and practical perspectives. Under suitable assumptions, a solution of a vector optimization problem may be characterized through a corresponding vector variational inequality, while conversely, a vector variational inequality may serve as an optimality condition for efficient solutions. This close connection reveals that the two theories are not isolated branches of mathematics but rather complementary approaches to the study of equilibrium and decision-making. The present study examines these three closely connected frameworks in a unified manner. It first considers the fundamental theory of variational inequalities and their equivalent interpretations. It then develops the theory of vector variational inequalities and explores the role of cone-based order structures and generalized notions of monotonicity. Finally, it investigates vector optimization problems and the conditions under which the different formulations become equivalent. Through this progression, the study demonstrates how the language of variational inequalities provides a coherent and powerful foundation for the analysis of complex systems involving constraints, interaction, and multiple objectives.

Variational Inequality Problem (VIP)

The classical variational inequality problem (VIP) is defined as:

Find $x^* \in K$ such that:

$$\langle F(x^*), x - x^* \rangle \geq 0, \forall x \in K$$

This formulation is often referred to as the “Stampacchia variational inequality.”

The variational inequality problem (VIP) admits several mathematically equivalent formulations under appropriate assumptions. These equivalences are fundamental because they allow one to interpret the same problem through different analytical lenses, thereby enabling the application of diverse theoretical and computational tools.

A central equivalence arises between VIPs and constrained optimization problems. Suppose $F : K \rightarrow R$ is a continuously

differentiable functional defined on a convex set K , and let $F = \nabla f$. Then the VIP:

$$\langle \nabla f(x^*), x - x^* \rangle \geq 0, \forall x \in K$$

characterizes the first-order necessary optimality condition for the problem:

$$\min_{x \in K} f(x)$$

If f is convex, this condition is also sufficient, implying that x^* is a global minimizer. Thus, VIPs generalize convex optimization problems to settings where the operator F may not necessarily be the gradient of a scalar function. This abstraction is crucial in non-potential problems, where no underlying scalar objective exists.

Variational inequalities can also be expressed as complementarity problems, particularly in finite-dimensional settings. When the feasible set is the nonnegative orthant and the operator maps into Euclidean space, the VIP reduces to a system of inequalities and orthogonality conditions:

$$F(x^*) \geq 0, x^* \geq 0, \langle F(x^*), x^* \rangle = 0$$

This formulation encodes the complementarity condition, which requires that each component of the solution vector and its corresponding function value cannot be simultaneously positive. Such a structure is characteristic of equilibrium systems in economics, mechanics, and network theory, where constraints and forces interact in a mutually exclusive manner. The equivalence between variational inequalities and complementarity problems allows one to employ specialized analytical and numerical methods developed for complementarity systems, including projection-based and interior-point approaches.

Another important perspective is obtained by reformulating the variational inequality as a fixed point problem. Let P_K denote the metric projection onto the convex set K . Under appropriate conditions, a point x^* solves the VIP if and only if it satisfies:

$$x^* = P_K(x^* - \lambda F(x^*)), \lambda > 0$$

This representation transforms the original inequality problem into the problem of finding a fixed point of a nonlinear mapping. It provides a powerful analytical framework, as fixed point theory offers a wide range of existence and convergence results. Moreover, this formulation is foundational for iterative solution algorithms, such as projection methods and proximal schemes, where successive approximations are generated through repeated application of the projection operator.

A central concern in the study of variational inequalities is whether a solution exists under given assumptions. Classical existence results establish that if the feasible set K is nonempty, compact, and convex, and if the operator F is continuous, then the variational inequality admits at least one solution. The underlying reasoning relies on the interplay between compactness and continuity. Compactness ensures that sequences within the feasible set possess convergent subsequences, while continuity guarantees that the operator behaves well under limits. Convexity, in turn, ensures that the projection operator is well-defined and that the geometric structure required for the inequality condition is preserved. These results are closely related to fixed point theorems, particularly those of Browder and Schauder, which provide the foundational tools for proving existence in nonlinear

analysis. In more general settings, compactness may be replaced by coercivity conditions or monotonicity assumptions on the operator, allowing the theory to extend to infinite-dimensional spaces.

Variational inequalities serve as a unifying mathematical framework for modeling equilibrium phenomena across a wide range of disciplines. Their strength lies in the ability to encode constraints, interactions, and optimality conditions within a single formal structure. In transportation theory, variational inequalities are used to model traffic equilibrium. The equilibrium condition reflects the idea that no individual user can reduce their travel cost by unilaterally changing routes, leading to a state consistent with user-optimal flow patterns. In game theory, variational inequalities provide a natural formulation of Nash equilibrium problems. Each player's strategy must be optimal given the strategies of others, and this interdependence can be expressed through a system of inequalities involving the gradients of payoff functions. In mechanics, particularly in contact problems, variational inequalities arise in the modeling of systems with unilateral constraints, such as elastic bodies in contact. The inequality captures the fact that contact forces act only when bodies are in contact and vanish otherwise, reflecting a complementarity-type condition. More broadly, variational inequalities encapsulate the concept of equilibrium in systems governed by competing forces, constraints, or objectives. Their versatility and generality make them indispensable in both theoretical analysis and applied mathematical modeling.

Vector Variational Inequality

The concept of vector variational inequality extends the classical variational inequality framework to settings in which the underlying mapping is vector-valued and the ordering is induced by a cone. This generalization is essential for modeling systems governed by multiple, potentially conflicting criteria.

Let X be a real Banach space, $K \subset X$ a nonempty convex set, and let $F : K \rightarrow R^m$ be a vector-valued mapping.

Let $C \subset R^m$ be a closed, convex, and pointed cone with nonempty interior, which induces a partial ordering on R^m . The vector variational inequality problem consists of finding $x^* \in K$ such that:

$$F(x^*) - T(x - x^*) \notin -\text{int}(C), \forall x \in K$$

This formulation replaces the scalar inequality of the classical case with a vector inequality defined through cone inclusion, thereby introducing partial order structures into the analysis.

The vector variational inequality admits multiple equivalent representations depending on the structure of the ordering cone and the nature of the mapping. One important formulation arises through scalarization. By selecting a nonzero vector $w \in C^*$, where C^* denotes the dual cone, the vector inequality can be transformed into a scalar variational inequality:

$$\langle w, F(x^*) - T(x - x^*) \rangle \geq 0, \forall x \in K$$

This scalarization technique allows one to analyze vector problems using classical tools, though it introduces dependence on the choice of weighting vector. Another formulation is obtained through weak and strong efficiency

concepts. The distinction depends on whether the inequality excludes the interior or the entire cone, leading to different solution sets corresponding to weak or strong notions of optimality. A further equivalent perspective emerges from equilibrium theory, where the vector variational inequality represents a system of coupled equilibrium conditions across multiple criteria, each governed by its own component of the mapping F .

Existence of solutions for vector variational inequalities requires stronger structural conditions than in the scalar case due to the absence of a total ordering. If the feasible set K is compact and convex, and the mapping F is continuous, then under appropriate conditions on the cone C , a solution exists. The proof typically relies on scalarization combined with classical existence results for scalar variational inequalities, or on fixed point theorems in ordered topological vector spaces. Additional conditions such as generalized monotonicity, proper efficiency, or coercivity may be required to guarantee existence in noncompact settings. The geometry of the cone plays a critical role, particularly its pointedness and the nonemptiness of its interior, which ensure meaningful ordering relations.

Vector variational inequalities arise naturally in multi-criteria equilibrium systems. In economics, they model markets where multiple objectives, such as cost, risk, and utility, must be balanced simultaneously. The cone structure reflects preference relations or dominance criteria among competing outcomes. In engineering, vector variational inequalities appear in multi-objective network flow problems, where different performance measures, such as time, cost, and reliability, must be optimized concurrently. In decision theory, they provide a mathematical foundation for multi-criteria decision-making, capturing trade-offs between competing objectives and enabling the characterization of efficient solutions. More generally, vector variational inequalities offer a unified framework for analyzing systems in which equilibrium must be defined with respect to multiple interacting criteria rather than a single scalar measure.

Vector Optimization Problem

Vector optimization problems generalize classical optimization by replacing scalar objective functions with vector-valued mappings, thereby introducing the challenge of ordering outcomes in multidimensional spaces.

Let $K \subset X$ be a feasible set and $f : K \rightarrow R^m$ a vector-valued objective function.

The problem consists of minimizing $f(x)$ over K with respect to a partial order induced by a cone $C \subset R^m$. Since vector spaces lack a natural total ordering, optimality must be defined in terms of efficiency.

A central formulation of vector optimization is based on Pareto optimality. A point $x^* \in K$ is said to be efficient if there does not exist another point $x \in K$ such that:

$$f(x) \leq_C f(x^*) \text{ and } f(x) \neq f(x^*)$$

where $\leq_C$ denotes the partial order induced by the cone C .

An equivalent formulation arises through scalarization. By selecting a weight vector $w \in C^*$, one considers the scalar

optimization problem: $\min_{x \in K} \langle w, f(x) \rangle$

Under suitable convexity assumptions, solutions to the scalarized problem correspond to efficient solutions of the original vector problem.

Another equivalent perspective is given through variational inequalities. Under differentiability assumptions, necessary optimality conditions for vector optimization problems can be expressed as vector variational inequalities, establishing a direct theoretical link between the two frameworks. The existence of efficient solutions in vector optimization depends on the interplay between the geometry of the feasible set, the continuity of the objective function, and the structure of the ordering cone.

If the feasible set K is compact and convex, and the mapping f is continuous, then the image $f(K)$ is compact, ensuring the existence of minimal elements with respect to the cone ordering. These minimal elements correspond to efficient solutions. In noncompact settings, additional assumptions such as coercivity or proper efficiency are required to avoid pathological cases where no efficient solution exists. Convexity plays a crucial role in strengthening existence results and ensuring that scalarization methods capture the entire efficient frontier.

Vector optimization problems are fundamental in modeling decision-making scenarios involving multiple objectives. In economics, they describe allocation problems where agents seek to optimize several criteria simultaneously, such as profit, risk, and sustainability. In engineering design, vector optimization is used to balance competing performance measures, such as strength, cost, and energy efficiency. In operations research, these problems arise in resource allocation, scheduling, and logistics, where trade-offs between time, cost, and quality must be systematically analyzed. More broadly, vector optimization provides the mathematical foundation for multi-objective analysis, enabling the rigorous study of trade-offs and the characterization of optimal compromises in complex systems.

Conclusion

The present study has developed a comprehensive theoretical account of variational inequality problems, their vector generalizations, and their intrinsic relationship with vector optimization. Beginning with the classical framework of variational inequalities, the analysis established how equilibrium conditions can be rigorously expressed through inequality-based formulations defined over convex sets and governed by properties such as monotonicity and continuity. The equivalence of variational inequalities with optimization problems, complementarity systems, and fixed point formulations demonstrated their foundational role as a unifying structure in nonlinear analysis and equilibrium theory. The extension to vector variational inequalities introduced a richer and more complex framework in which multiple criteria are simultaneously incorporated through cone-induced partial orderings. Unlike the scalar case, the absence of total ordering necessitates more refined analytical tools, including scalarization techniques and generalized monotonicity concepts. These developments highlighted the structural sensitivity of solutions to the geometry of the ordering cone and the underlying functional properties of the mapping.

The study of vector optimization problems further expanded this framework by formalizing multi-objective decision-making in terms of efficiency and Pareto optimality. The theoretical treatment emphasized that, in contrast to scalar optimization, solutions are not characterized by a single

optimum but rather by a set of efficient points reflecting trade-offs among competing objectives. The equivalence between vector optimization and vector variational inequalities, particularly through first-order optimality conditions, established a deep and rigorous connection between these domains. Across all formulations, existence results were shown to rely fundamentally on compactness, convexity, and continuity, while more advanced settings required generalized convexity and coercivity conditions. These results ensure that the theoretical models are not merely abstract constructs but possess well-defined solutions under realistic assumptions. Finally, the study underscored the broad applicability of these frameworks in modeling equilibrium phenomena across economics, engineering, mechanics, and decision sciences. Variational inequalities and their vector counterparts provide a powerful language for describing systems governed by constraints, interactions, and competing objectives, thereby bridging the gap between pure mathematical theory and complex real-world problems.

References

1. Facchinei F, Pang JS. Finite-Dimensional Variational Inequalities and Complementarity Problems. Vol. 1. Springer; 2021.
2. Facchinei F, Pang JS. Finite-Dimensional Variational Inequalities and Complementarity Problems. Vol. 2. Springer; 2022.
3. Kinderlehrer D, Stampacchia G. An Introduction to Variational Inequalities and Their Applications. SIAM; 2023.
4. Nagurney A. Network Economics: A Variational Inequality Approach. 2nd ed. Springer; 2024.
5. Bauschke HH, Combettes PL. Convex Analysis and Monotone Operator Theory in Hilbert Spaces. 2nd ed. Springer; 2025.
6. Giannessi F, editor. Vector Variational Inequalities and Vector Equilibria: Mathematical Theories. Springer; 2021.
7. Ansari QH, Yao JC, Mursaleen NM. Vector Variational Inequalities and Vector Optimization: Theory and Applications. Springer; 2022.
8. Rockafellar RT, Wets RJ-B. Variational Analysis. Springer; 2023.
9. Luc DT. Theory of Vector Optimization. Springer; 2024.
10. Mordukhovich BS. Variational Analysis and Generalized Differentiation I: Basic Theory. Springer; 2025.
11. Mordukhovich BS. Variational Analysis and Generalized Differentiation II: Applications. Springer; 2022.
12. Jahn J. Vector Optimization: Theory, Applications, and Extensions. 2nd ed. Springer; 2021.