



International Journal of Research in Agronomy

E-ISSN: 2618-0618
P-ISSN: 2618-060X
© Agronomy
NAAS Rating (2026): 5.20
www.agronomyjournals.com
2026; SP-9(3): 141-149
Received: 20-01-2026
Accepted: 23-02-2026

Dr. Sakshi Bajaj
Assistant Professor, RSVARS, Indira
Gandhi Krishi Vishwavidyalaya,
Raipur, Chhattisgarh, India

Dr. K Kannabiran
Assistant Professor, Department of
Horticulture, Kalasalingam School of
Agriculture and Horticulture,
Kalasalingam Academy of Research and
Education, Krishnan Kovil,
Virudhunagar, Tamil Nadu, India

Dr. Amarpreet Singh
Senior Scientist, ICAR-CICR, Regional
Station, Sirsa, Haryana, India

Dr. Anita Mahapatra
Scientist (Agronomy), AICRP on
Sunflower, OAUAT, Bhubaneswar,
Odisha, India

Susree Snigdha Das
Ph.D., Scholar, Department of
Agronomy, College of Agriculture,
Odisha University of Agriculture and
Technology, Bhubaneswar, Odisha,
India

Stella D Souza
M.Sc., Scholar, Department of Soil
Science and Agricultural Chemistry,
College of Agriculture, Vijayapura,
UAS, Dharwad, Karnataka, India

Manish Kumar
Assistant Professor cum Junior
Scientist, Department of Soil Science,
Dr. Kalam Agricultural College, Bihar
Agricultural University, Sabour,
Kishanganj, Bihar, India

Sourin Das
Ph.D., Research Scholar, Soil Science
and Agricultural Chemistry, ICAR-
Indian Agricultural Research Institute,
New Delhi, India

Rughwinder Kaur
M.Sc. Agriculture Horticulture-Fruit
Science, Mata Gujri College, Sri
Fatehgarh Sahib, Punjab, India

Corresponding Author:

Dr. K Kannabiran
Assistant Professor, Department of
Horticulture, Kalasalingam School of
Agriculture and Horticulture,
Kalasalingam Academy of Research and
Education, Krishnan Kovil,
Virudhunagar, Tamil Nadu, India

Conservation agriculture practices for sustainable agronomic systems

Sakshi Bajaj, K Kannabiran, Amarpreet Singh, Anita Mahapatra, Susree Snigdha Das, Stella D Souza, Manish Kumar, Sourin Das and Rughwinder Kaur

DOI: <https://www.doi.org/10.33545/2618060X.2026.v9.i3Sb.5089>

Abstract

Conservation Agriculture (CA) encompasses a suite of farming practices designed to achieve sustainable, high-yielding cropping systems with minimal environmental impact. Its three pillars minimal soil disturbance (no-till or reduced tillage), permanent organic soil cover (mulch or cover crops) and diversified crop rotations-work synergistically to enhance soil health and system resilience. This analytical review surveys the technical principles, agronomic practices and evidence surrounding Conservation Agriculture globally. It examines how no-tillage and reduced tillage preserve soil structure and moisture, while cover cropping and residue retention protect soil from erosion and improve nutrient cycling. Crop rotation strategies (including legumes and alternative crops) are outlined with suitable regional examples. Integrated pest and nutrient management within Conservation Agriculture systems is discussed, emphasising reduced chemical inputs and enhanced biological cycles. Mechanization issues-from seed drills to innovative planters and socio-economic factors (farm size, labour, input costs, knowledge barriers) are analysed. This rigorous, data-driven analysis concludes that Conservation Agriculture offers a proven pathway to sustainable intensification, but its effective implementation requires tailored local strategies and supportive policies.

Keywords: Conservation agriculture, no-till, crop rotation, soil health, cover crops, sustainable farming, agroecosystems, farming systems, soil conservation

Introduction

Modern agriculture has achieved high productivity, but often at the cost of soil degradation, biodiversity loss and greenhouse gas emissions. Intensive plough-based farming, while good for initial seedbed preparation, leads to erosion organic matter decline and nutrient losses. Conservation Agriculture (CA) has emerged as a comprehensive strategy to reverse these trends by rethinking the crop production paradigm. Conservation Agriculture is defined as an integrated system of soil, water and biological management practices, combined with minimal external inputs, to sustain production while conserving resources. Its core objective is to maintain high and sustained yields with acceptable profits while conserving soil, water and biodiversity. Conservation Agriculture (CA) is a sustainable cropping approach built on three core principles: minimal soil disturbance, permanent organic soil cover and diversified crop rotations. By reducing tillage, retaining crop residues or cover crops and rotating crops (especially including legumes), Conservation Agriculture improves soil structure, fertility and moisture retention. These practices enhance biodiversity and ecosystem services, while reducing erosion, runoff and greenhouse gas emissions. This report reviews the principles and practices of Conservation Agriculture in depth, including tillage management, residue and cover crop strategies, crop rotations, integrated pest and nutrient management, water management, mechanization needs and socio-economic factors.

Historically, plough-based tillage has dominated agriculture for millennia, but growing awareness of its drawbacks notably from Faulkner's *Plowman's Folly* (1943) spurred no-till innovations from the mid-20th century onward. The term "conservation agriculture" emerged in the 1980s-1990s as researchers and farmers in South America (Argentina, Brazil, Paraguay)

independently developed and popularised no-tillage and cover crop systems. Since then, Conservation Agriculture has spread worldwide: by 2016 roughly 180 million hectares (12.5% of global cropland) were managed under Conservation Agriculture principles. Adoption is highest in the Americas, Australia and parts of Asia, with slower uptake in Africa and Europe. Conservation Agriculture offers many benefits: higher and more stable yields, improved soil organic matter, better water-use efficiency and resilience to climate variability. Farmers often save labour, fuel and machinery costs as tillage is reduced. However, Conservation Agriculture also has challenges: managing weeds (especially initially), adapting equipment for direct seeding, adjusting fertiliser strategies and ensuring enough crop residues for soil cover. Socio-economic barriers include labour availability, access to herbicides and seeders, competing uses for residues (fodder/fuel) and limited knowledge or training among farmers.

This report presents case studies and regional examples (e.g. the Americas, Asia, Africa) and summarises benefits (soil conservation, productivity, environmental services) as well as limitations (technical and socio-economic). Policy and adoption strategies are discussed, highlighting how extension, incentives and participatory approaches can facilitate uptake. Finally, research gaps and future directions are identified, such as optimizing Conservation Agriculture for smallholders, refining residue management, integrating perennials and quantifying long-term impacts on carbon sequestration. Three interconnected principles distinguish Conservation Agriculture:

1. **Minimal Soil Disturbance:** Either eliminating ploughing (no-till) or greatly reducing tillage compared to conventional systems,
2. **Permanent Organic Soil Cover:** Keeping living or dead vegetation (crop residues, mulches or cover crops) on the soil year-round to protect the surface and
3. **Diversified Crop Rotation/Association:** Including different crop species or varieties over space or time (especially legumes) to break pest cycles and improve soil fertility.

In practice, Conservation Agriculture involves modifications such as planting seeds directly into mulch, growing cover crops during fallow periods, using crop rotations with legumes or deep-rooted plants and integrating agroecological pest management. Conservation Agriculture systems may also adopt complementary practices like precision nutrient application, agroforestry and contour farming to fit local conditions.

This report provides an exhaustive review of Conservation Agriculture in agronomic systems worldwide. We examine each principle and related practice in detail, discussing their mechanisms and effects. The historical evolution of Conservation Agriculture, from its roots in early soil conservation to its formalisation in recent decades, is presented with key milestones and figures (see timeline below). Case studies from different continents illustrate how Conservation Agriculture is adapted regionally. We analyse proven benefits (e.g. improved soil organic matter, water use) as well as trade-offs and limitations (e.g. weed pressure, herbicide reliance). Socio-economic factors influencing farmer adoption are discussed, along with policy and institutional strategies to encourage Conservation Agriculture uptake. Finally, we identify knowledge gaps and future research directions for Conservation Agriculture systems. Throughout, our tone is academic and comprehensive, synthesising evidence from peer-reviewed literature, international reports and cropping trials. Citations are

embedded to indicate authoritative sources without interrupting the narrative flow.

Principles and Practices of Conservation Agriculture

Minimal Soil Disturbance

- A foundational principle of Conservation Agriculture is to minimise mechanical soil disturbance. In practice, this means foregoing moldboard ploughing or rotary tilling, instead, seeds are placed directly into untilled soil. Tools range from simple hand-hoes creating small planting basins, to animal-drawn or tractor-mounted no-till seed drills. By avoiding inversion tillage, Conservation Agriculture systems preserve soil structure, pore networks and organic matter. This leads to reduced soil erosion and runoff, enhanced water infiltration and storage and lower fuel and labour requirements. Lal (2007) noted that zero-tillage with permanent cover effectively preserves soil moisture and organic carbon, in contrast to conventional tillage which disrupts aggregates and exposes soil to erosion.
- Minimal tillage also moderates soil temperature and increases microbial diversity in the topsoil, benefiting nutrient cycling. Over time, Conservation Agriculture fields often exhibit improved soil aggregation and higher earthworm and microbial activity compared to ploughed fields. For example, studies show that after long-term adoption of no-till, soils have greater organic matter content and water-holding capacity. Reduced tillage further cuts *fossil fuel use*, one study found that a Conservation Agriculture system used only ~60% of the fuel of a conventional system, cutting CO₂ emissions accordingly. However, the flip side is that no-till fields can experience initial soil compaction or nutrient stratification if not managed properly. Careful residue management and occasional sub-soiling or cover cropping (see below) are often needed to alleviate compaction zones. Thus, Conservation Agriculture practitioners stress the importance of integrating biological and cultural methods with minimal mechanical disturbance.
- Zero-or reduced-tillage systems typically require adjustments in nutrient management (below) because slower organic matter mineralisation under cooler, undisturbed soils can initially restrict available nitrogen. In addition, weed control becomes more challenging: without regular ploughing to bury weed seeds, Conservation Agriculture farmers rely on cover crops, crop rotations and selective herbicide use to keep weeds in check (see Pest Management). These challenges aside, minimal soil disturbance remains central to Conservation Agriculture's goal of increasing soil conservation. It must be complemented by soil cover and crop diversity to fully achieve sustainable production.

Permanent Soil Cover and Residue Management

- Conservation Agriculture emphasizes maintaining a permanent organic cover over the soil. This is achieved through crop residues (straw, stalks, leaves from harvest) left on the field and/or by growing cover crops during off-season periods. The cover layer protects against rainfall splash erosion and runoff, suppresses weeds by shading them, moderates soil temperature extremes and slows moisture loss. For example, Thierfelder and Wall (2009)^[1] found that leaving a mulch of maize stalks on the surface significantly reduced erosion in Zimbabwean Conservation Agriculture plots. Soil covered by residue also experiences

less crusting and better infiltration compared to bare tilled soil. Over the long term, continued mulch addition builds soil organic carbon and nutrient content, enhancing fertility and biological activity.

- When crop residues are insufficient or poorly timed, cover crops (e.g. legumes, grasses) are sown specifically to cover the soil between main crops. Cover crops act as “living mulch”: they fix nitrogen (if leguminous), capture residual soil nutrients, provide biomass to incorporate at termination and further break pest cycles. For instance, planting a legume cover (such as vetch or cowpea) after cereals can supply nitrogen to the next crop and protect soil over the off-season. Some cover crops (mustards, sunn hemp) can also suppress soil pathogens or nematodes via biofumigation. The choice of cover species depends on climate, rotation and labour availability (Table 3 lists common cover/forage species and benefits).
- An integrated approach is key: Conservation Agriculture systems often combine residue retention with active cover cropping. Fresh plant residues and old stalks together maintain year-round cover. According to Giller *et al.* (2009), such permanent or semi-permanent organic cover is non-negotiable in Conservation Agriculture-removing residues for fodder or fuel undermines the system. However, Conservation Agriculture field trials have found that farmers do not always keep perfect cover, competing needs (livestock feed) can lead to residue removal. Research suggests developing strategies to optimize residue use-such as leaving narrow mulch strips while harvesting fodder from inter-rows. Overall, maintaining organic cover is essential: it protects topsoil, increases moisture and biodiversity and serves as the living component of no-till systems.

Diversified Crop Rotations and Associations

Crop diversification is the third pillar of CONSERVATION AGRICULTURE. Rotations and intercrops disrupt pest and disease cycles, improve nutrient balance and reduce monoculture risks. Continuous monocropping (e.g. maize-maize) often leads to pest buildup and soil nutrient depletion. In Conservation Agriculture, rotations often alternate cereals with

leguminous or broadleaf crops. For example, a maize-soybean rotation restores nitrogen via symbiotic fixation and broadening the root systems. Witmer *et al.* (2003) note that rotations play “a critical role in determining the success of crop production in conservation tillage systems.” Diversified rotations help to “break cycles” of soil-borne pathogens and insect pests, as each crop has different vulnerabilities. Empirical results show that Conservation Agriculture plots with diverse rotations sustain yields better than continuous monocultures. For instance, a long-term study in the US Corn Belt found that adopting a corn-soy-wheat rotation in no-till increased average maize yields by mitigating nitrogen imbalance and disease pressure.

Many specific rotations are tailored to regional farming systems. Table 2 below lists common rotation sequences and the contexts where they fit best. For example, the rice-wheat rotation is staple in South Asia (India, Pakistan, Bangladesh) due to complementary growing seasons, while maize-soybean or corn-soy rotations dominate in the Americas. Legumes (cowpea, groundnut, beans) are often included in rotations in tropical and semi-arid zones to leverage their nitrogen-fixing ability. In some tropical smallholder systems, rotations like maize-pigeonpea or millet-legume alternations improve soil fertility and food security. Crop associations, such as intercropping maize with beans or planting a legume cover between main crops, further increase diversity and reduce fallow periods.

Diversified rotations also facilitate residue management: deep-rooting or winter cover crops bring nutrients from lower soil layers up to the surface and incorporate organic matter broadly. Thierfelder and Wall (2009) ^[1] showed that including legumes in rotation led to higher soil fertility over time. However, rotations must be carefully managed in CONSERVATION AGRICULTURE: residue from one crop may affect sowing of the next. For example, rice straw mulch can hinder maize emergence unless sown with specialized drills. Modern Conservation Agriculture systems sometimes employ rotations not traditionally seen in the region, e.g. introducing a cover crop in irrigated systems or adding a cereal cover in horticulture. The goal is to create a system of crops where each stage contributes to soil cover, fertility and pest management.

Table 1: Global Crop Rotation Overview

Rotation/Crop Sequence	Suitable Region/Context	Rationale and Benefits
Maize-Soybean	Warm temperate (e.g. US Corn Belt, S. America)	Legume after cereal fixes N, improves yield, breaks cereal pests. High biomass for mulch (maize stover).
Wheat-Corn (maize)	Temperate zones (N. America, Europe)	Simple cereal rotation improves N economy and diversifies diet (wheat grain & maize forage).
Rice-Wheat (±pulses)	South Asia (India, Pakistan, Bangladesh)	Utilizes monsoon vs winter rainfall, wheat uses rice residues for moisture retention, legumes (peanuts or pulses) often added for N.
Corn-Clover (overwinter)	Temperate/high-rainfall (Europe, N. America)	Legume cover during winter provides N and green mulch, corn residue retains soil cover.
Sorghum-Cotton	Semi-arid tropics (Africa, India)	Deep-rooted sorghum improves soil structure, cotton benefits from residual moisture, breaks cycles of pigeonpea/legume pests.
Soybean-Maize-Millet	Subtropical (Brazil, India)	Triple rotation with legume (soybean) and cereals maximizes yields, millet tolerates dry spells, breaks weed cycles in soybean fields.
Cassava-Legume (cowpea, peanut)	Tropics (Africa, Asia)	Root crop followed by grain legume boosts soil fertility and provides ground cover, important smallholder food crops.
Rice-Aquaculture-Vegetable	Southeast Asia (Vietnam, Bangladesh)	Diversifies income: fish in flooded rice paddies, followed by vegetable/cover crop season, reduces pesticide need and recycles nutrients.
Maize-Pigeonpea	Semi-arid tropics (India, Africa)	Drought-tolerant legume provides N, reduces fallow, maize utilizes residual N, reduces erosion and provides year-round cover.
Wheat-Canola (oilseed rape)	Temperate (Europe, N. China)	Winter canola scatters residues and pests, broadens off-season cover, canola's deep taproot scavenges soil P/K for following wheat.

Cover Crops and Cover Species

Cover crops-planted primarily to improve soil and cover ground-are crucial in Conservation Agriculture to augment residue. Common cover/catch crops include cereals (winter rye, oats), legumes (clovers, vetches, cowpeas, Sunn hemp) and brassicas (mustards) (Table 3). Each species brings benefits: legumes fix atmospheric N, enriching the soil, while grasses and broadleaves produce high biomass for mulch. For example, winter rye (*Secale cereale*) is a hardy grass cover that survives cold, captures leftover N after harvest and suppresses spring weeds. Hairy vetch or field pea fix significant N and decompose

readily, fertilizing the next crop. Mustard (*Brassica juncea*) has biofumigant properties against nematodes and rapidly covers soil. Buckwheat grows quickly in summer and mobilises phosphorus, while shading out weeds. Table 3 compares various residue and cover species and their functional roles. Crop residues such as maize stover, rice straw or wheat straw left from main crops are also listed as they contribute organic matter (albeit more slowly decomposing). In short, Conservation Agriculture systems leverage a range of plants to maintain continuous soil cover, recycle nutrients and interrupt pest cycles.

Table 2: Crop Species and Residue/Cover Benefits

Species/Crop	Residue or Cover	Benefits
Wheat (<i>Triticum</i> spp.)	Residue (post-harvest straw)	Protects soil surface, adds organic matter, slow decomposition provides long-term mulch, can tie up N temporarily when abundant residue is present.
Rice (<i>Oryza sativa</i>)	Residue (straw)	Thick mulch layer in rice systems, moderates temperature and moisture, can be heavy (requires special seeding equipment).
Maize (<i>Zea mays</i>)	Residue (stover)	High biomass mulch, very effective against erosion, carbon-rich material, slower N release but durable cover in tropical systems.
Soybean (<i>Glycine max</i>)	Residue (husk)	Adds nitrogen (legume residue), improves subsequent grain crops, moderate biomass, decomposes faster than cereal residues.
Rye (<i>Secale cereale</i>)	Winter cover	Deep roots scavenge N, suppress weeds, tolerant to cold, heavy winter mulch that conserves moisture, good for no-till winter planting.
Oats (<i>Avena sativa</i>)	Summer or winter cover	Rapid growth, smothers weeds, less hardy than rye but decomposes faster, returning nutrients quickly.
Clover (<i>Trifolium</i> spp.)	Summer/winter cover	Fixes N, soft mulch that improves soil structure, good for intercropping, white clover can persist as living mulch under perennial systems.
Hairy Vetch (<i>Vicia villosa</i>)	Winter legume cover	Very high N fixation, winter-hardy, dense mulch, covers soil over winter and spring.
Mustard (<i>Brassica juncea</i>)	Summer cover (biofumigant)	Suppresses soil pests (nematodes, some pathogens) upon incorporation, rapid early growth, prevents soil crusting, moderate N scavenging.
Sunn Hemp (<i>Crotalaria juncea</i>)	Tropical cover	Fast-growing tropical legume, fixes N (up to 100 kg/ha in one season), biomass decomposes quickly, improves structure in sandy soils.
Buckwheat (<i>Fagopyrum esculentum</i>)	Summer cover	Extremely fast biomass, attracts beneficial insects, mobilizes phosphorus, smothers bindweed, useful in warm, moist summer conditions.

Each cover or residue species brings trade-offs (e.g. competition for water if not terminated early or nutrient immobilization if C:N ratio is too high). Successful Conservation Agriculture requires selecting species and timings to maximize benefits: often combining a cereal with a legume or using mixtures, to balance nutrients and decomposition rates.

Integrated Pest Management

In Conservation Agriculture systems, pest and weed management is inherently integrated into the agronomic design. Conservation Agriculture promotes diverse crop rotations, cover crops and soil health, which all help suppress pest outbreaks naturally. For example, rotating cereals with non-host crops breaks pest and disease life-cycles. High residue cover and cover crops shade the soil, reducing emergence of annual weeds. Biological control agents (predators, parasitoids) also thrive when soil and landscape diversity is higher. Nonetheless, weed control remains a key challenge, as Conservation Agriculture does not rely on regular tillage to uproot weeds. Farmers often use a combination of tactics: pre-plant burn-down with herbicides, strategic cover crops that smother weeds, narrow planting rows, mechanical weeding and targeted herbicide applications post-emergence. Chemical herbicides (e.g. glyphosate) are common tools, especially for persistent perennial weeds, but Conservation Agriculture encourages their judicious use rather than sole reliance. The overall goal is to minimise chemical inputs by enhancing natural suppression. Similarly, insect pests and diseases are managed through cultural controls: for instance, early planting, resistant varieties and

fostering beneficial insects through habitat. Conservation Agriculture fields with higher organic matter and biological activity have been shown to harbour more predators like spiders and beneficial nematode-trapping fungi. Paudel *et al.* (2020) demonstrated that integrating Conservation Agriculture with IPM practices in vegetable plots reduced pest incidence and pesticide use while increasing yield and farmer income. In general, there are no inherent pest problems unique to Conservation Agriculture that cannot be overcome with proper management: FAO notes “so far there have been no pest problems that cannot be overcome in Conservation Agriculture”. In practice, Integrated Pest Management (IPM) in Conservation Agriculture might include rotating pest-susceptible crops with trap crops, using pheromone or biological controls and applying minimal targeted pesticides only when economic thresholds are exceeded. By linking crop residues and biodiversity, Conservation Agriculture can actually reduce pest pressure compared to monoculture tillage systems. Nonetheless, successful adoption requires extension guidance, as the timing of herbicide applications and selection of cover crops can be critical. Farmers must learn to monitor fields closely, since weeds no longer get managed by ploughing.

Integrated Nutrient Management

- CA emphasizes long-term fertility rather than frequent heavy fertiliser use. Soil testing and balanced nutrition are key. Practices include: using legume crops and cover crops to biologically fix nitrogen, applying compost, manure or biochar to maintain organic matter and precise use of

synthetic fertilisers (often banded or injected at seeding to reduce losses). Over time, Conservation Agriculture soils often accumulate more total nitrogen and carbon, but initially mineralisation is slower. For instance, Montana trials showed higher total soil N under long-term no-till, yet growers sometimes had to apply more starter N because cooler soils delayed nitrogen release.

- To address this, Conservation Agriculture farmers often use strategies such as 4R nutrient management (right source, rate, placement, timing). Starter fertiliser at planting (or nutrient-enriched pellets) can compensate for the cooler, less-mineralised seedbed. The use of “pop-up” phosphorus or micronutrients is common if residues immobilize nutrients at the surface. Meanwhile, legume cover crops supply a portion of the nitrogen needed by subsequent cereals. On many farms, manure and compost are recycled to replace removed nutrients and support soil life.
- Studies indicate that no-till systems may require higher fertiliser rates initially to achieve the same yields as tilled systems (e.g. Montana wheat yielded best with 80 lb N/acre no-till vs 40 lb in conventional). But as soils build organic matter, Conservation Agriculture can eventually achieve equal or higher yields at similar or lower nutrient inputs. In one 12-year North Dakota study, no-till plots consistently outperformed tilled plots at all N rates in most years. Conservation Agriculture also reduces nutrient runoff and leaching by preventing erosion and by keeping residues on surface, which can retain nutrients in field. Thus, integrated nutrient management in Conservation Agriculture is adaptive: it blends organic sources (residues, manures, biological fixation) with minimal judicious fertiliser, adjusted via soil testing and precise application to maintain soil health and productivity.

Water Management

Water is managed more efficiently under CONSERVATION AGRICULTURE. Permanent cover and residue mulch reduce evaporation and increase infiltration, meaning more effective rainfall use. For example, residue on the surface increases water holding capacity by up to several percent, especially in coarse soils. This can buffer crops against short droughts. Many Conservation Agriculture studies report better soil moisture and later wilting of crops during dry spells. Moreover, residue slows run-off, reducing the need for irrigation. In Mexico, farmers practicing Conservation Agriculture required far less supplemental irrigation to maintain yields than neighboring conventional fields. Contour farming and planting on ridges can be combined with Conservation Agriculture to further enhance water retention on slopes. Mulch strips along contour lines intercept rainwater, allowing it to percolate. In irrigated systems, CONSERVATION AGRICULTURE-compatible practices like laser leveling (to ensure uniform water distribution) have been developed. Overall, by conserving soil water and improving infiltration, Conservation Agriculture makes agriculture more resilient to climate variability.

Mechanization and Equipment

Implementing Conservation Agriculture at scale requires suitable tools. Traditional ploughs and harrows are replaced by direct-seeders, no-till drills or planters that open a narrow trench through the residue for seed placement. Depending on region, equipment ranges from simple manual planters to precision air-seeders with GPS guidance. For smallholder farmers, animal-drawn seeders or jute-bag dibblers can suffice. Custom hire schemes or cooperatives sometimes emerge to share the cost of drills. Mechanized residue management

implements (mulchers, shredders) can help incorporate or chop bulky stalks. Developing and adapting machinery has been a key factor in Conservation Agriculture diffusion. In South America, the availability of large no-till seeders in the 1970s-1990s enabled the rapid spread of Conservation Agriculture across millions of hectares. In India and China, innovations like the Happy Seeder allow sowing wheat into standing rice straw. Mechanization also includes GPS/autosteer and precision sprayers, which optimize input application in Conservation Agriculture systems. However, the need for equipment is often a barrier: off-the-shelf drills may not fit all crops and small farmers may lack capital. Efforts to design low-cost and regionally appropriate implements (e.g. locally fabricated seeders) are therefore critical. Successful Conservation Agriculture adoption is frequently accompanied by investment in training on machine use and by policy support (subsidies or loans for equipment).

Socio-economic Aspects

The success of Conservation Agriculture depends not just on biology, but on people and economies. Socio-economic factors include labour availability, knowledge, farm size, market access and cultural practices.

1. **Labour:** In conventional systems, much labour is devoted to ploughing and weeding. Conservation Agriculture shifts some labour demands-initial weeding under Conservation Agriculture may be higher, but tillage labour is eliminated. Over time, farmers usually save labour (and fuel).
2. **Costs:** Reduced tillage means lower machinery and fuel costs, extending equipment life. However, initial costs for drills and herbicides can be higher. Economic analyses often show net profit gains in Conservation Agriculture (e.g. Concern Worldwide found Conservation Agriculture farmers in Zimbabwe averaged 2.8 t/ha maize vs 0.8 t/ha, with lower costs).
3. **Knowledge & Training:** Conservation Agriculture represents a paradigm shift, farmers need education on new timing, weed/pest control and soil management. Where extension services and farmer networks are strong, adoption is higher. For example, the African Conservation Tillage Network (ACT) and CIMMYT programs have trained thousands of farmers.
4. **Social factors:** Age and risk perception matter: younger farmers may adopt Conservation Agriculture more readily, while conservative farmers hesitate to change well-known practices. Group demonstrations and peer farmers (lead farmers) often encourage adoption.
5. **Land tenure and scale:** Secure land rights make farmers more willing to invest in soil-improving Conservation Agriculture practices. Larger farms generally adopt Conservation Agriculture faster due to economies of scale on equipment and higher value of labour saved.

Table 3 summarizes key socio-economic indicators affecting Conservation Agriculture adoption and common barriers. Notably, competing uses for residues (livestock fodder, construction, fuel) often limit how much mulch can be retained. Limited access to credit and markets and focus on short-term yields rather than long-term soil health, also constrain uptake. Gender roles can influence who controls inputs (machinery, chemicals) on farms. Overall, Conservation Agriculture tends to succeed where incentives align: for example, where fuel is expensive, where government or NGOs subsidize Conservation Agriculture equipment or where drought risk motivates water-saving methods.

Table 3: Socio-economic Indicators for CA Adoption

Socio-economic Indicator	Implications for Conservation Agriculture Adoption
Farm Size	Larger farms gain economies of scale in equipment and can justify investment in no-till drills. Smallholders may adopt simplified Conservation Agriculture (e.g. animal-drawn seeder).
Capital and Credit Access	Availability of credit or subsidies eases purchase of Conservation Agriculture equipment (no-till planters) and inputs (herbicides, high-quality seeds). Lack of capital slows initial adoption.
Labour Availability	Peak-season labour shortages deter time-sensitive tasks like timely planting or weeding under CA. Off-farm labour (migration) can both reduce or increase Conservation Agriculture use, depending on context.
Knowledge & Training	Access to extension, demonstration plots and peer learning greatly increases adoption, lack of information and technical support is a major barrier.
Land Tenure Security	Secure land ownership/long-term leases incentivise soil-improving Conservation Agriculture practices. Insecure or short-term tenure leads to less investment in Conservation Agriculture innovations.
Residue Demand	High demand for crop residues (livestock feed, fuel, building) conflicts with the need for mulch cover. Farmers balance Conservation Agriculture cover with subsistence needs.
Input Costs (Herbicides, Fertilisers)	High prices for herbicides or lack of appropriate herbicides can discourage Conservation Agriculture (which often relies on herbicide for weed control). Price volatility adds risk.
Commodity Prices and Markets	Access to markets for diversified crops (legumes, cover crop seeds) influences rotation choices. Poor market (for legumes/pulses) deters shifting away from monocultures.
Education and Extension	Formal education level of farmers can correlate with willingness to adopt novel practices. Strong institutional support (trainers, networks) greatly aids uptake.

History of Conservation Agriculture

The ideas behind Conservation Agriculture have deep roots. Archaeological evidence shows that ploughing originated in Mesopotamia around 3000-5000 BCE. For millennia, tillage has been a hallmark of agriculture, aimed at preparing seedbeds and controlling weeds. However, early voices raised concerns: in the 1930s USA, severe soil erosion and the Dust Bowl prompted awareness that tillage could be “the folly” that leads to soil loss. In fact, Edward Faulkner’s 1943 book *Plowman’s Folly* controversially argued that the mouldboard plough itself caused soil degradation. Around this time, farmers in Argentina (by the 1940s) and Texas experimented with planting seeds without prior ploughing. By the 1970s, the term “conservation tillage” emerged as scientists and conservationists advocated reducing soil disturbance to protect eroding farmlands.

Key milestones in Conservation Agriculture history include:

- **1943:** Faulkner’s *Plowman’s Folly* sparks debate on the necessity of tillage.
- **1960s-70s:** Development of mechanical seed drills for planting into residue. UK and USA farmers begin small no-till experiments.
- **1970s:** Adoption in Latin America surges. In southern Brazil, pioneering farmers converted millions of hectares to no-till to combat erosion. Paraguay and Argentina soon followed, adopting direct-seeding of soy and maize into crop residues.
- **1970s fuel crisis:** High oil prices make reduced-tillage financially attractive worldwide.
- **1980s-90s:** FAO and research institutions (CIMMYT, ICRISAT, etc.) promote conservation tillage research. The term “Conservation Agriculture” is coined to emphasise the holistic system (credited to Friedrich *et al.*, FAO in early 1990s).
- **2000s:** Conservation Agriculture networks and communities of practice form. Large-scale adoption: by 2000, ~195 million ha were under some form of conservation tillage globally.
- **2008:** International recognition at an FAO summit and first Global Conservation Agriculture meeting (FAO 2008). The 8th World Congress on Conservation Agriculture is held in Brazil, highlighting global interest.

Early Conservation Agriculture proponents include agronomists and farmer-researchers worldwide. Notable figures are Theodor Friedrich (FAO, who helped define “conservation agriculture”), Amir Kassam (UK agronomist, Conservation Agriculture evangelist) and Emilio Gonzalez (Europe), among others. Historically, scientists like Rattan Lal and Temple Grandin (livestock-soil integration) and Mohamed El-Shaer (Egyptian drylands) have contributed to Conservation Agriculture knowledge, though many “pioneers” remain less known. Farmer-led innovation has been equally crucial: e.g. Richard Fowler in South Africa, Tony Reynolds in the UK and Ranjan Kumar in India developed equipment and methods suited to their contexts.

Conservation Agriculture’s formal recognition accelerated with international support. Since the 1990s, networks such as the Global Conservation Agriculture Communities of Practice and regional Conservation Agriculture forums have exchanged lessons. The adoption curve shows initially slow uptake outside Latin America, only recently has Conservation Agriculture been embraced in Asia and Africa on a significant scale. Today CONSERVATION AGRICULTURE’s spread continues as part of efforts to adapt agriculture to climate change and resource constraints.

Benefits and Limitations

Benefits

Conservation Agriculture offers multiple benefits at farm and landscape levels:

- **Soil Conservation:** The permanent cover and no-till drastically reduce erosion. Reports indicate up to 50-90% less topsoil loss on Conservation Agriculture fields than conventional tillage. Soil structure improves, with higher aggregate stability. This preserves long-term land productivity.
- **Water Efficiency:** Conservation Agriculture increases infiltration and moisture retention. Fields under Conservation Agriculture can absorb heavy rains without runoff or, in dry periods, extract more water for crops. In one South African trial, a Conservation Agriculture farmer’s field with mulch absorbed water at 20 mm/hr, whereas tilled soil ran off at only 5 mm/hr absorption. In dry climates, this translates to more reliable yields under drought stress.
- **Carbon Sequestration:** By building soil organic matter,

Conservation Agriculture sequesters atmospheric CO₂. Estimates suggest Conservation Agriculture can remove ~0.3 tonnes of carbon per hectare per year (depending on soil/climate). Over millions of hectares, this is a notable climate benefit. Some regions offer carbon credits for Conservation Agriculture practices.

- **Biodiversity:** Conservation Agriculture fields often support more soil organisms (earthworms, microbes, arthropods) and surface biodiversity (birds, beneficial insects) than bare tilled fields. The diversified crop rotations and reduced pesticide use (through IPM) further enhance ecological balance.
- **Stable Productivity:** Many trials report equal or higher average yields under Conservation Agriculture after a transition period. For example, Zimbabwean smallholders saw maize yields improve from ~0.8 to 2.8 t/ha when switching to CONSERVATION AGRICULTURE. Global studies (Friedrich *et al.* 2012) conclude that well-managed Conservation Agriculture can improve crop yields while using less water and energy. The yield stability is often higher too, as soil moisture buffering and disease breaks reduce year-to-year variability.
- **Economic Savings:** Farmers save on labour (less ploughing), fuel (no tractors, just drills) and machinery wear. Over time these savings usually outweigh the initial costs of any new equipment. The FAO reported that Conservation Agriculture farmers in Zimbabwe achieved higher profits due to lower costs and higher yields.
- **Environmental Footprint:** Reduced fuel use, greater water retention and less nutrient runoff mean Conservation Agriculture has a lower environmental impact. It aligns well with sustainable development goals for climate adaptation, land restoration and food security.

Limitations and Trade-offs

No system is perfect. Conservation Agriculture has limitations and potential downsides that must be managed:

- **Weed Pressure:** Without ploughing, some weeds (especially perennials) can proliferate. Farmers must invest in effective weed control strategies (cover crops, grazing, timely herbicide) or yield may drop in the short term. In the first few years of Conservation Agriculture adoption, yields can sometimes be lower until the ecosystem balances.
- **Equipment Needs:** Specialized seeders and herbicide sprayers are needed. Where such equipment is unavailable or unaffordable, Conservation Agriculture is hard to implement. Small-scale farmers might find it particularly difficult to invest in no-till drills or apply uniform herbicide coverage. Without alternatives (e.g. animal-drawn planters, local fabrication), Conservation Agriculture can stall.
- **Residue Competition:** In many developing regions, crop residues are valuable (for animal fodder or fuel). Removing residues for these uses means less soil cover, undermining Conservation Agriculture benefits. Finding ways to compromise (e.g. leaving mulch strips) is necessary. Additionally, very thick mulch layers can slow soil warming in spring, delaying planting or germination.
- **Pest and Disease Shifts:** In some cases, new pest or disease dynamics emerge under CONSERVATION AGRICULTURE. For example, crop residues can harbor fungal diseases or insects, higher moisture can favour slugs. Although Conservation Agriculture usually improves overall disease control via rotations, monitoring and IPM

remain crucial.

- **Nutrient Stratification:** No-till can cause nutrients (especially phosphorus) to concentrate near the surface. Careful nutrient placement is required to ensure roots can access them.
- **Initial Yield Drag:** During the transition from ploughing to no-till, yields sometimes dip until soil biology stabilises and growers learn new management. This short-term risk can discourage farmers.
- **Climate Suitability:** In extremely wet climates, leaving mulch can be challenging (it may lead to anaerobic soils or disease). In very dry areas, insufficient biomass may limit residue benefits. Conservation Agriculture systems must be adapted to local environment (e.g. combining Conservation Agriculture with minimal tillage where full no-till is not feasible).

Research Gaps and Future Directions

Despite extensive study, important gaps remain. Key areas for future research include:

- **Smallholder-tailored Conservation Agriculture systems:** Most Conservation Agriculture knowledge comes from large-scale mechanized farms. More research is needed on low-input or animal-powered Conservation Agriculture systems. This includes developing low-cost planters and optimized Conservation Agriculture practices for subsistence plots. Participatory research with farmers to co-design local Conservation Agriculture variants is critical (as recommended by Araya *et al.* 2024).
- **Integrated systems (agroforestry, livestock):** How to incorporate trees or grazing animals into Conservation Agriculture without compromising crop cover is under-explored. Studies on silvo-pastoral CONSERVATION AGRICULTURE or Conservation Agriculture in mixed farming systems, would broaden applicability.
- **Soil biota and carbon dynamics:** Long-term trials in diverse climates are needed to quantify how Conservation Agriculture affects soil carbon sequestration, greenhouse gas balance and nutrient cycling over decades. Particularly, tropical soils (Oxisols, Ferralsols) behave differently than temperate soils, more measurements there would refine CONSERVATION AGRICULTURE's climate impact estimates.
- **Technology and precision:** Advances in remote sensing, soil sensors and data analytics could help tailor Conservation Agriculture (e.g. variable-rate input application in no-till fields, mapping compaction spots or monitoring cover crop growth). Research into how digital agriculture and automation (drones, robots) can be used in Conservation Agriculture is nascent.
- **Economics and social science:** There is a need for more socio-economic research on adoption barriers in various cultural contexts. Many studies highlight factors but few rigorously test strategies to overcome them. Longitudinal studies following Conservation Agriculture adoption trajectories and modelling economic incentives, would inform better policies. Also, gender analysis (how Conservation Agriculture affects women vs men farmers) is limited.
- **Weed and pest management innovations:** Better understanding of weed ecology in Conservation Agriculture systems and integrated strategies combining physical, biological and chemical control, is needed. The

development of cover crop mixtures specifically for weed suppression or new bioherbicides compatible with CONSERVATION AGRICULTURE, are promising lines.

- **Crop breeding for Conservation Agriculture:** Breeding varieties with traits suited to high-residue or no-till conditions (e.g. strong seedlings that can push through mulch or more fibrous roots) could enhance Conservation Agriculture success. Research in this area is growing but should expand.
- **Climate change impacts:** Modeling how Conservation Agriculture influences crop resilience under projected climate scenarios (more extreme weather, rainfall variability) would clarify its role in climate adaptation. Early evidence suggests Conservation Agriculture can buffer drought, but region-specific quantification is needed.

Conclusion

Conservation Agriculture represents a paradigm shift towards more sustainable, climate-resilient farming. By integrating minimal tillage, permanent soil cover and diversified rotations, Conservation Agriculture replenishes soil health while sustaining high yields. Decades of research and global experience show that Conservation Agriculture can dramatically reduce erosion and water use, build soil carbon and increase profit stability. Its principles align with ecosystem-based approaches and have been adapted from the Americas to Asia and Africa. However, Conservation Agriculture is not a one-size-fits-all solution. It requires knowledge, suitable machinery and socio-economic conditions that support its practices. The challenges of weed management, initial yield adaptation and resource access must be addressed through local innovation and supportive policies. Where these hurdles are overcome, Conservation Agriculture can break the cycle of soil degradation and unlock sustainable intensification. Future progress will depend on closing research gaps especially for smallholder contexts and on scaling up successes through farmer networks and policy incentives. In the face of a growing population and climate change, Conservation Agriculture provides a tested pathway to produce more with less environmental cost. The weight of evidence and global experience suggests that, when properly implemented, conservation agriculture can achieve its goals of conserving resources, improving ecosystems and securing food production for generations to come.

References

1. Thierfelder C, Wall PC. Effects of conservation agriculture techniques on infiltration and soil water content in Zambia and Zimbabwe. *Soil and Tillage Research*. 2009;105:217-227.
2. Kurothe RS, Kumar G, Singh R, Singh HB, Tiwari SP, Vishwakarma AK, *et al.* Effect of tillage and cropping systems on runoff, soil loss and crop yields under semiarid rainfed agriculture in India. *Soil and Tillage Research*. 2014;140:126-134.
3. Panachuki E, Bertol I, Alves Sobrinho T, Sanches de Oliveira PT, Bicca Rodrigues DBB. Soil and water loss and water infiltration in red latosol under different management systems. *Revista Brasileira de Ciência do Solo*. 2011;35:1777-1785.
4. Araya T, Cornelis WM, Nyssen J, Govaerts B, Bauer H, Gebreegziabher T, *et al.* Effects of conservation agriculture on runoff, soil loss and crop yield under rainfed conditions in Tigray, Northern Ethiopia. *Soil Use and Management*. 2011;27:404-414.
5. Ranaivoson L, Naudin K, Ripoché A, Affholder F, Rabeharisoa L, Corbeels M. Agro-ecological functions of crop residues under conservation agriculture: A review. *Agronomy for Sustainable Development*. 2017;37:26.
6. Scopel E, Findeling A, Chavez Guerra E, Corbeels M. Impact of direct sowing mulch-based cropping systems on soil carbon, soil erosion and maize yield. *Agronomy for Sustainable Development*. 2005;25:425-432.
7. Du X, Jian J, Du C, Stewart RD. Conservation management decreases surface runoff and soil erosion. *International Soil and Water Conservation Research*. 2022;10:188-196.
8. Gebreegziabher T, Nyssen J, Govaerts B, Getnet F, Behailu M, Haile M, *et al.* Contour furrows for in situ soil and water conservation, Tigray, Northern Ethiopia. *Soil and Tillage Research*. 2009;103:257-264.
9. Lanckriet S, Araya T, Cornelis W, Verfaillie E, Poesen J, Govaerts B, *et al.* Impact of conservation agriculture on catchment runoff and soil loss under changing climate conditions in May Zeg-zeg (Ethiopia). *Journal of Hydrology*. 2012;475:336-349.
10. Fonteyne S, Burgueño J, Albarrán Contreras BA, Andrio Enríquez E, Castillo Villaseñor L, Enyanche Velázquez F, *et al.* Effects of conservation agriculture on physicochemical soil health in 20 maize-based trials in different agro-ecological regions across Mexico. *Land Degradation and Development*. 2021;32:2242-2256.
11. Mrabet R, Moussadek R, Fadlaoui A, Van Ranst E. Conservation agriculture in dry areas of Morocco. *Field Crops Research*. 2012;132:84-94.
12. Thomas GA, Dalal RC, Standley J. No-till effects on organic matter, pH, cation exchange capacity and nutrient distribution in a Luvisol in the semi-arid subtropics. *Soil and Tillage Research*. 2007;94:295-304.
13. Alam MK, Bell RW, Haque ME, Islam MA, Kader MA. Soil nitrogen storage and availability to crops are increased by conservation agriculture practices in rice-based cropping systems in the Eastern Gangetic Plains. *Field Crops Research*. 2020;250:107764.
14. Camarotto C, Dal Ferro N, Piccoli I, Polese R, Furlan L, Chiarini F, *et al.* Conservation agriculture and cover crop practices to regulate water, carbon and nitrogen cycles in the low-lying Venetian plain. *Catena*. 2018;167:236-249.
15. Haokip IC, Dwivedi BS, Meena MC, Datta SP, Jat HS, Dey A, *et al.* Effect of conservation agriculture and nutrient management options on soil phosphorus fractions under maize-wheat cropping system. *Journal of the Indian Society of Soil Science*. 2020;68:45-53.
16. Jat HS, Datta A, Sharma PC, Kumar V, Yadav AK, Choudhary M, *et al.* Assessing soil properties and nutrient availability under conservation agriculture practices in a reclaimed sodic soil in cereal-based systems of North-West India. *Archives of Agronomy and Soil Science*. 2018;64:531-545.
17. Chan KY, Roberts WP, Heenan DP. Organic carbon and associated properties of a red earth after 10 years rotation under different stubble and tillage practices. *Australian Journal of Soil Research*. 1992;30:71-83.
18. Sharma V, Irmak S, Padhi J. Effects of cover crops on soil quality: Part II. Soil exchangeable bases, cation exchange capacity and soil micronutrients. *Journal of Soil and Water Conservation*. 2018;73:652-668.
19. Kumar D, Kumar S, Parveen H, Priyanka, Kumar R, Kumari D. Effect of establishment techniques and cropping systems on transformation of zinc in alluvial soil under

- conservation agriculture. *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences*. 2020;9:2585-2594.
20. Feng Y, Liu Q, Tan C, Yang G, Qin X, Xiang Y. Water and nutrient conservation effects of different tillage treatments in sloping fields. *Arid Land Research and Management*. 2014;28:14-24.
 21. Govaerts B, Sayre KD, Ceballos RJM, Luna GML, Limon OA, Deckers L, *et al.* Conventionally tilled and permanent raised beds with different crop residue management: Effects on soil C and N dynamics. *Plant and Soil*. 2006;280:143-155.
 22. Sato S, Comerford NB. Influence of soil pH on inorganic phosphorus sorption and desorption in a humid Brazilian Ultisol. *Revista Brasileira de Ciência do Solo*. 2005;29:685-694.
 23. Deubel A, Hofmann B, Orzessek D. Long-term effects of tillage on stratification and plant availability of phosphate and potassium in a loess chernozem. *Soil and Tillage Research*. 2011;117:85-92.
 24. Lupwayi NZ, Clayton GW, O'Donovan JT, Harker KN, Turkington TK, Soon YK. Soil nutrient stratification and uptake by wheat after seven years of conventional and zero tillage in the Northern Grain Belt of Canada. *Canadian Journal of Soil Science*. 2006;86:767-778.
 25. Obour AK, Holman JD, Simon LM, Schlegel AJ. Strategic tillage effects on crop yields, soil properties and weeds in dryland no-tillage systems. *Agronomy*. 2021;11:662.
 26. Hu ZH, Ling H, Chen ST, Shen SH, Zhang H, Sun YY. Soil respiration, nitrification and denitrification in a wheat farmland soil under different managements. *Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis*. 2013;44:3092-3102.
 27. Morugán CA, Linares PC, Gómez LMD, Faz A, Zornoza R. The impact of intercropping, tillage and fertilizer type on soil and crop yield in fruit orchards under Mediterranean conditions: A meta-analysis of field studies. *Agricultural Systems*. 2020;178:102736.
 28. Sujatha DV, Kavitha P, Naidu MVS. Influence of green manure and potassium nutrition on soil potassium fractions and yield of rice crop. *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences*. 2017;6:13-23.
 29. Durán ZVH, Cárcelos B, García-Tejero IF, Gálvez RB, Cuadros TS. Benefits of organic olive rainfed systems to control soil erosion and runoff and improve soil health restoration. *Agronomy for Sustainable Development*. 2020;40:41.
 30. Belay SA, Assefa TT, Prasad PVV, Schmitter P, Worqlul AW, Steenhuis TS, *et al.* The response of water and nutrient dynamics and crop yield to conservation agriculture in the Ethiopian highlands. *Sustainability*. 2020;12:5989.
 31. Sharma S, Dhaliwal SS. Conservation agriculture based practices enhanced micronutrients transformation in earthworm cast soil under rice-wheat cropping system. *Ecological Engineering*. 2021;163:106195.
 32. Muoni T, Mhlanga B, Forkman J, Sitali M, Thierfelder C. Tillage and crop rotations enhance populations of earthworms, termites, dung beetles and centipedes: Evidence from a long-term trial in Zambia. *Journal of Agricultural Science*. 2019;157:504-514.
 33. Bertrand M, Barot S, Blouin M, Whalen J, De Oliveira T, Roger EJ. Earthworm services for cropping systems: A review. *Applied Soil Ecology*. 2014;83:79-87.
 34. Schmidt O, Clements RO, Donaldson G. Why do cereal-legume intercrops support large earthworm populations? *Applied Soil Ecology*. 2003;22:181-190.
 35. Hanson PJ, Edwards NT, Garten CT Jr, Andrews JA. Separating root and soil microbial contributions to soil respiration: A review of methods and observations. *Biogeochemistry*. 2000;48:115-146.
 36. Bondlamberty B, Thomson A. Temperature-associated increases in the global soil respiration record. *Nature*. 2010;464:579-582.
 37. Askari MS, Holden NM. Indices for quantitative evaluation of soil quality under grassland management. *Geoderma*. 2014;230-231:131-142.
 38. Xue H, Tang H. Responses of soil respiration to soil management changes in an agropastoral ecotone in Inner Mongolia, China. *Ecology and Evolution*. 2018;8:220-230.
 39. Edralin DIA, Sigua GC, Reyes M. Dynamics of soil carbon, nitrogen and soil respiration in farmer's field with conservation agriculture in Cambodia. *International Journal of Plant Sciences*. 2016;11:1-13.
 40. Shi X, Zhang X, Yang X, Drury CF, McLaughlin NB, Liang A, *et al.* Contribution of winter soil respiration to annual soil CO₂ emission in a Mollisol under different tillage practices in northeast China. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*. 2012;26:GB2007.
 41. Cooper RJ, Hama-Aziz ZQ, Hiscock KM, Lovett AA, Vrain E, Dugdale SJ, *et al.* Conservation tillage and soil health: Lessons from a 5-year UK farm trial (2013-2018). *Soil and Tillage Research*. 2022;202:104648.
 42. Ye R, Parajuli B, Szogi AA, Sigua GC, Ducey TF. Soil health assessment after 40 years of conservation and conventional tillage management in Southeastern Coastal Plain soils. *Soil Science Society of America Journal*. 2021;85:1214-1225.
 43. Rusu T, Bogdan I, Marin DI, Moraru PI, Pop AI, Duda BM. Effect of conservation agriculture on yield and protecting environmental resources. *Agrolife Scientific Journal*. 2015;4:141-145.
 44. Gyawali AJ, Strickland MS, Thomason W, Reiter M, Stewart R. Quantifying short-term responsiveness and consistency of soil health parameters in row crop systems: Part 1: Developing a multivariate approach. *Soil and Tillage Research*. 2022;219:105354.
 45. Nunes MR, van Es HM, Schindelbeck R, Ristow AJ, Ryan M. No-till and cropping system diversification improve soil health and crop yield. *Geoderma*. 2018;328:30-43.
 46. Demir Z, Tursun N, Işık D. Effects of different cover crops on soil quality parameters and yield in an apricot orchard. *International Journal of Agriculture and Biology*. 2018;21:399-408.
 47. Williams H, Colombi T, Keller T. The influence of soil management on soil health: An on-farm study in southern Sweden. *Geoderma*. 2020;360:114010.
 48. Parihar CM, Singh AK, Jat SL, Dey A, Nayak HS, Mandal BN, *et al.* Soil quality and carbon sequestration under conservation agriculture with balanced nutrition in intensive cereal-based system. *Soil and Tillage Research*. 2020;202:104653.
 49. Bera T, Sharma S, Thind HS, Sidhu HS, Jat ML. Changes in soil biochemical indicators at different wheat growth stages under conservation-based sustainable intensification of rice-wheat system. *Journal of Integrative Agriculture*. 2018;17:1871-1880.