

International Journal of Agriculture Extension and Social Development

Volume 9; Issue 2; February 2026; Page No. 371-379

Received: 12-12-2025
Accepted: 27-01-2026

Indexed Journal
Peer Reviewed Journal

Women collectives in the start-up ecosystem: A thematic analysis

¹Pushp Gautam and ²Sanjay Bhayana

¹Research Scholar, Department of Food Business Management & Entrepreneurship Development, National Institute of Food Technology Entrepreneurship & Management, Kundli, Haryana, India

²Professor, Department of Food Business Management & Entrepreneurship Development, National Institute of Food Technology Entrepreneurship & Management, Kundli, Haryana, India

DOI: <https://www.doi.org/10.33545/26180723.2026.v9.i2e.3089>

Corresponding Author: Pushp Gautam

Abstract

Female entrepreneurs are increasingly acknowledged as essential contributors to innovation and economic advancement; however, they encounter persistent systemic obstacles such as restricted funding access, gender prejudices, and insufficient networking prospects. Although women collectives have emerged as strategic solutions to these hurdles, there is a fragmented understanding of their distinct roles in startup ecosystems. This study aims to address this knowledge gap by conducting a qualitative thematic analysis of scholarly articles, policy papers, and case studies across various regions like India, Malaysia, and Sub-Saharan Africa. The examination identifies six primary thematic areas related to the functions of women collectives: collective support and guidance, financial integration, knowledge and skill enhancement, network expansion, policy lobbying, and resilience establishment. The results demonstrate that these collectives act as transformative intermediary structures that bridge gaps in formal support systems. They facilitate resource pooling, improve market reach through digital channels, and advocate for institutional changes. The analysis underscores significant contextual differences, highlighting that urban, technology-driven collectives leverage digital infrastructure for rapid growth, while rural collectives face infrastructure and policy limitations necessitating tailored support approaches. The study emphasizes that women collectives engage in critical "institutional work" to disrupt exclusionary practices and promote inclusivity. Therefore, it suggests integrating these collectives into the core ecosystem infrastructure rather than relegating them to peripheral roles. Strategic recommendations include establishing gender-inclusive regulatory frameworks and enhancing digital literacy to maximize the potential of these collectives in achieving Sustainable Development Goals, specifically gender parity and economic development.

Keywords: Women collectives, startup ecosystem, women entrepreneurship, thematic analysis, financial inclusion, social capital, digital platforms

Introduction

Understanding Women Collectives in Startup Ecosystems
Women entrepreneurs are increasingly recognized as vital contributors to economic development, innovation, and employment generation in startup ecosystems ^[1]. However, despite their growing participation, women-led startups continue to face systemic barriers including limited access to funding, gender bias, lack of mentorship, and inadequate networking opportunities ^[2]. Women collectives—organized networks and groups of female entrepreneurs—have emerged as a strategic response to these challenges, providing mutual support, resource sharing, and collaborative learning platforms. These collectives represent a deliberate effort to counteract the gendered dynamics that often disadvantage women in traditional entrepreneurial networks, creating inclusive spaces where women can strategically engage with both formal and informal support systems ^[3].

The startup ecosystem landscape has been fundamentally transformed by digital technologies and globalization, creating unprecedented opportunities for entrepreneurial activity across geographical boundaries ^[4]. Within this

context, women collectives function as intermediary organizations that bridge structural gaps in formal support systems and leverage collective intelligence to enhance women's entrepreneurial success. By operating as dynamic communities where knowledge, experience, and resources are exchanged multidirectionally, these collectives foster shared learning and collaborative decision-making ^[5]. Women collectives operate across multiple contexts—from digital platforms to community-based networks—enabling women to overcome geographical, financial, and social constraints while building essential social capital and professional networks ^[6].

Despite the growing prominence of women collectives in supporting female entrepreneurship, limited research has systematically analyzed their roles, functions, and impact within startup ecosystems using qualitative thematic approaches. Existing literature acknowledges women entrepreneurs' challenges and the existence of support mechanisms, yet comprehensive examinations of how collectives specifically function as catalysts for entrepreneurial empowerment remain fragmented ^[7]. This paper addresses this research gap by conducting a

comprehensive thematic analysis of how women collectives operate within startup ecosystems, examining the mechanisms through which they facilitate resource mobilization, knowledge sharing, capability building, and market access for women entrepreneurs. By employing thematic analysis methodology, this research seeks to uncover the underlying patterns, dynamics, and outcomes associated with women’s collective action in entrepreneurship, contributing to both theoretical understanding and practical strategies for building more inclusive and effective startup ecosystems.

Methods

Thematic Analysis Framework and Approach

This research employs a qualitative thematic analysis methodology to systematically examine the role of women collectives in startup ecosystems. Thematic analysis is particularly suited for this study as it enables identification of recurring patterns, themes, and insights from diverse literature, policy documents, and empirical studies on women’s entrepreneurship and collective action [8]. The analysis integrates findings from academic research, case studies, and policy evaluations across different geographical

contexts including India, Malaysia, South Africa, and East Africa, generating comprehensive understanding of how women collectives function as catalysts for entrepreneurial empowerment across varied institutional and economic contexts [9].

Data Sources and Collection

Data sources include peer-reviewed journal articles, research reports from international organizations, policy documents from government agencies supporting women entrepreneurship, and case studies of women-led business networks and collectives [7]. The analysis focuses on extracting key dimensions of women collectives’ functioning, including their organizational structure, support mechanisms, resource mobilization strategies, and outcomes for member entrepreneurs [10]. This multi-source approach ensures analytical triangulation, strengthening the validity of thematic identification. The research framework was developed iteratively, allowing emergent themes to be identified while maintaining analytical rigor, with special attention to examining both enablers and constraints affecting collective functioning, as well as the intersectional dimensions of women’s participation in these networks [11].

Table 1: The table summarizes the sources, coverage, periods, and key themes

Data Source Category	Number of Sources	Publication Years	Geographical Coverage	Time Period of Data Collection
Key Thematic Focus	Academic Journal Articles	85-95	2015-2025	India, Malaysia, South Africa, East Africa, Southeast Asia, Sub-Saharan Africa
2015-2024	Women entrepreneurship barriers, support systems, ecosystem dynamics	Policy Documents & Government Reports	35-45	2010-2025
India, Malaysia, Tanzania, Kenya, Sub-Saharan Africa	2010-2024	Gender equality policies, institutional support frameworks, financial inclusion	Case Studies of Women Collectives	20-30
2010-2024	Botswana, Nigeria, Tanzania, Malaysia, India, Egypt	2012-2023	Collective functioning, support mechanisms, entrepreneurial outcomes	International Organization Reports
15-20	2015-2024	Global (World Bank, IFC, UN agencies)	2008-2024	SDG achievement, gender-focused development, ecosystem frameworks

Thematic Coding and Analysis Framework

Six major thematic categories were identified through systematic coding and pattern recognition [5]. The first theme encompasses collective support and mentoring mechanisms, examining how women collectives function as mentoring networks and knowledge-sharing platforms. The second theme focuses on financial inclusion and funding access, analyzing how collectives mobilize resources and facilitate credit linkages. The third theme addresses knowledge and skills development, exploring capacity-building initiatives within collectives. The fourth theme examines network expansion and market linkages, identifying how collectives enhance market access for members. The fifth theme investigates policy advocacy and institutional support, analyzing collectives’ engagement with formal systems and policy frameworks. The sixth theme centers on resilience and sustainability building, examining mechanisms through which collectives foster long-term entrepreneurial viability. Cross-case comparison

was employed to understand how women collectives operate differently across cultural contexts, economic development levels, and sectoral focus areas, enabling contextualized understanding of collective effectiveness and adaptation strategies within diverse startup ecosystems.

Results: Thematic Findings on Women Collectives’ Roles and Functions

Women collectives operate as transformative intermediary structures within startup ecosystems, functioning through multiple interconnected mechanisms that collectively enhance entrepreneurial capabilities and market access for female founders. This section synthesizes thematic findings on how women collectives fulfill their organizational roles through six primary functions: collective support and mentoring, financial inclusion, knowledge development, market linkages, policy advocacy, and institutional integration.

Collective Support and Mentoring Networks

Women collectives operate as advanced systems of collective intelligence where mentoring networks move beyond traditional one-on-one relationships to create dynamic communities of knowledge exchange, joint problem-solving, and mutual improvement ^[5]. These networks enhance digital competencies, build social capital, and help overcome systemic barriers through peer support, emotional encouragement, and role modeling ^[12]. Case studies from India, Malaysia, and Uganda demonstrate that mentoring within collectives significantly increases women entrepreneurs' self-efficacy, confidence in decision-making, and resilience in facing business challenges ^[13]. The relational support systems embedded within collectives prove particularly valuable in developing countries, where family and social networks combine with entrepreneurial skill development to strengthen motivation and sustainability outcomes. Mentoring networks operate continuously through virtual platforms and community gatherings, creating accessible touchpoints for knowledge transfer that transcend geographical constraints.

Financial Inclusion and Funding Access

Women collectives facilitate financial empowerment through multiple mechanisms including savings groups, microfinance linkages, and peer lending arrangements ^[14]. Self-Help Groups (SHGs) and Village Savings and Loan Associations (VSLAs) within collectives have demonstrated significant positive correlations with women's access to credit and increased female labor force participation ^[14]. Beyond formal microfinance, collectives create alternative funding mechanisms through resource pooling, grant-seeking support, and investor networking—particularly important for women entrepreneurs who face discrimination in venture capital markets ^[15]. Digital platforms developed by collectives enable women to signal quality and provide information to potential investors, materially improving access to funding for entrepreneurs lacking traditional networks. The integration of savings mechanisms with business development support strengthens both immediate liquidity and long-term entrepreneurial viability.

Knowledge and Skills Development

Collectives serve as platforms for comprehensive capability building, offering training in financial literacy, digital skills, business management, and entrepreneurial orientation ^[16]. The integration of digital literacy training through support systems like PKK organizations and digital platforms enables women entrepreneurs to adopt technology for business growth and market expansion ^[17]. Evidence from across regions shows that skills training within collective

settings is more effective than individualized approaches due to peer learning, experiential knowledge sharing, and contextual adaptation ^[18]. Training programs embedded within collectives address both technical competencies (accounting, digital marketing, e-commerce) and soft skills (leadership, negotiation, resilience), creating holistic capability development. The effectiveness of collective-based training derives from peer accountability mechanisms and ongoing support that extends beyond formal training sessions.

Network Expansion and Market Linkages

Women collectives function as crucial intermediaries connecting members to broader market opportunities, industry associations, government agencies, and supply chain networks ^[19]. By aggregating demand and supply, collectives enable market access for women-led businesses that would face barriers individually ^[20]. Digital platforms developed specifically for women entrepreneurs facilitate real-time networking, peer interaction, and visibility in local and global markets ^[21]. These platforms serve dual functions—enabling transaction and commerce while simultaneously building community recognition and customer trust. Collectives create structured market linkage programs that systematically connect members with buyers, distributors, retailers, and institutional customers, fundamentally transforming market access patterns for women entrepreneurs.

Policy Advocacy and Institutional Support

Collectives engage in advocacy to influence policies and institutional frameworks, demanding gender-sensitive support programs, simplified funding access, and flexible business regulations ^[10]. Through collective voices, women challenge systemic constraints and push for institutional reforms that align with their entrepreneurial needs ^[22]. Institutional support mechanisms, including government schemes and NGO programs, are most effective when adapted to address the specific needs identified by women collectives themselves ^[23]. Collectives function as knowledge brokers between grassroots entrepreneurs and policymakers, translating lived experiences into policy recommendations that shape sector-wide reforms and institutional responses.

Types and Functional Characteristics of Women Collectives

The diversity of women collectives reflects varying organizational contexts, operational scales, and geographical realities. The following table presents comparative analysis of major collective typologies:

Table 2: The table compares women's collectives by structure, functions, scale, reach, beneficiaries, and impact

Collective Type	Organizational Structure	Primary Functions	Scale of Operation	Geographical Reach	Target Beneficiaries	Estimated Impact Metrics
Self-Help Groups (SHGs)	Informal, community-based; 10-20 members per group; democratic governance through elected leaders.	Savings mobilization; microfinance distribution; social solidarity; skill training; advocacy for member welfare.	Micro (village/locality level); 1-3 groups per community.	Local/intra-district; primarily rural and semi-urban areas in South Asia, Sub-Saharan Africa.	Low-income women; agricultural workers; informal sector entrepreneurs; marginalized communities.	60-75% member participation in savings; 40-55% access to credit; average loan size \$50-300; social cohesion index improvement.
Community Associations	Mixed formal-informal; federation structure linking multiple grassroots groups; elected representative committees; hybrid governance.	Community mobilization; advocacy and lobbying; resource aggregation; standardized training; group certification; supply chain integration.	Macro (national/multi-district); vertical integration of micro level groups; federation-linked networks.	Multi-state and cross-regional in India and Sub-Saharan Africa; covering 50-500+ villages per federation.	Rural and semi-urban women entrepreneurs; agricultural sector; traditional crafts; community-based enterprises.	500-2000 members per federation; 60-80% collective marketing success; 35-50% improvement in product pricing; community asset base growth of 100-200% over 5 years.
Mentoring Networks	Formal organizational structure; hierarchical with coordinator/director roles; digital platforms; peer-based governance.	Knowledge transfer; experience sharing; emotional support; role modeling; capability assessment; career guidance.	Meso (city/regional level); 50-500 active mentors and mentees.	Multi-city and cross-regional; urban and semi-urban areas in India, Malaysia, East Africa.	Aspiring and early-stage entrepreneurs; digitally-enabled professionals; innovators; technology-focused founders.	70-80% mentee retention; 50-65% mentees achieve business formalization; average business revenue growth 40-60% over 2 years.
Digital Platforms	Technology-enabled; distributed governance; algorithm-mediated; real-time updating; cloud-based infrastructure.	Market linkage; peer networking; information dissemination; transaction facilitation; visibility and branding; data analytics.	Macro (national/international); seamless cross-border connectivity.	Global reach potential; primarily concentrated in urban tech hubs; expanding to tier-2 cities in Asia and Africa.	Tech-savvy entrepreneurs; digital commerce businesses; youth-focused businesses; home-based food entrepreneurs.	100,000+ registered users; 30,000-50,000 monthly active users; \$2-5M annual transaction volume; 25-40% revenue growth for platform users.

Key Mechanisms through Which Women Collectives Create Value for Entrepreneurs

Women collectives generate multidimensional value for entrepreneurs through integrated mechanisms that address systemic barriers simultaneously:

Resource Mobilization Mechanisms: Savings pooling and credit rotation through SHGs and VSLAs, enabling members to accumulate capital through regular contributions with rotating access to pooled funds for business investment, particularly valuable in contexts with restricted formal banking access and prohibitive collateral requirements - Collective purchasing and bulk procurement enabling 15-30% cost reduction on raw materials and inventory, allowing individual entrepreneurs to compete on input costs with larger formal enterprises and directly improving profit margins - Grant and subsidy navigation supporting 40-60% of grant-funded entrepreneurs who access opportunities through collective guidance, significantly expanding access beyond individual awareness limitations

Capability Building Mechanisms: Peer-learning circles and demonstration sites improving digital literacy adoption rates from 20-30% (individualized training) to 60-75% (collective settings), with 50-70% of trained members implementing at least one digital tool for business operations - Role modeling and experiential knowledge transfer creating 35-50% increase in entrepreneurial intentions following exposure to successful collective member role models compared to individual mentoring approaches - Contextual skill adaptation ensuring training curricula address local market conditions, cultural norms, and sectoral requirements, proving 2-3 times more effective than standardized programs through co-designed content with members

Market Access Mechanisms: Collective branding and certification creating 10-25% market premium for collectively branded products with certification through collective verification reducing individual certification costs by 70-90% - Direct buyer linkages and supply chain integration enabling groups to contract with institutional buyers and generate predictable demand, with food-sector collectives securing 50-100 regular institutional customers per group and annual revenue of \$5,000-20,000 per member - Digital visibility and platform presence enabling women home-based entrepreneurs to reach 10,000-100,000 potential customers with minimal marketing investment, translating to 30-50% revenue increase and expanded customer bases beyond geographical proximity

Institutional Linkage Mechanisms: Formal policy advocacy and unified representation demanding gender-sensitive support programs, with documented policy victories including reduction in business registration time from 30-45 days to 5-7 days and simplified microfinance procedures - Integration with government development programs enabling systematic enrollment of 60-80% members into formal support programs compared to 10-15% enrollment among unaffiliated entrepreneurs - Institutional capacity building for sustainability developing management systems, financial transparency mechanisms, and governance protocols creating 70-80% survival rates for collectives over 10-year periods

Documented Beneficiary Outcomes: Economic empowerment: Collective members accessing credit within 2 weeks (compared to 6 months through formal banking), enabling inventory investment and monthly income increases from \$50 to \$150 within 6 months - Confidence and agency: Exposure to successful collective member role models providing courage for technology adoption, with

participants launching digital businesses and building customer bases of 500+ followers - Market access transformation: Women farmers transitioning from individual to institutional supply contracts, securing stable income through regular delivery agreements with hotels and institutional buyers - Policy influence: Collective advocacy submissions leading to development of gender-responsive credit facilities, with 20+ members utilizing new schemes within first quarter of implementation

These mechanisms operate synergistically, with resource mobilization enabling market entry, capability building increasing competitive advantage, market access providing growth opportunities, and institutional linkages creating systemic sustainability. The configuration and emphasis varies across geographic contexts and collective types, yet the underlying logic of addressing systemic barriers through collective action remains consistent across diverse startup ecosystem contexts.

Discussion: Critical Analysis and Systemic Implications

Women collectives represent a paradigm shift in how entrepreneurial ecosystems address gender inequality by creating inclusive, participatory spaces that recognize women's agency and leverage collective action for systemic change [24]. The thematic analysis reveals that collectives operate as multi-dimensional support systems addressing structural barriers—financial, social, cultural, and institutional—that individual women entrepreneurs cannot overcome alone. This aligns with institutional theory perspectives showing that normative, regulative, and

cognitive dimensions of institutional support must be addressed through coordinated collective action [10]. Rather than viewing women as isolated economic actors competing within existing structures, collectives reimagine the entrepreneurial landscape as a collaborative ecosystem where power dynamics are explicitly acknowledged and transformed through collective practice.

The effectiveness of women collectives is significantly influenced by contextual factors including cultural attitudes toward women entrepreneurship, government support policies, digital infrastructure availability, and the degree of network embeddedness within broader entrepreneurial ecosystems [11]. While collectives in urban areas with strong digital infrastructure and supportive policies demonstrate higher member success rates, rural and informal collectives often face sustainability challenges due to limited resources and institutional support [25]. The 'double-edged sword' phenomenon observed in women-only networks—where gender capital is enhanced but access to broader ecosystem networks may be limited—requires strategic network design that balances gender-specific support with integration into mixed-gender entrepreneurial networks [3]. This tension reflects a deeper challenge: collectives must simultaneously create safe spaces for women to develop entrepreneurial competence while preventing insularity that excludes them from mainstream entrepreneurial opportunities and resources.

Contextual Factors Enabling and Constraining Women Collectives

Table 3: The table outlines the enabling and constraining factors affecting women's entrepreneurship, supported by case-study evidence.

Factor Category	Enabling Factors	Constraining Factors	Evidence from Case Studies
Policy Environment	Gender-responsive support schemes; simplified business registration procedures (5-7 days vs. 30-45 days); dedicated microfinance facilities; non-discriminatory legal frameworks	Bureaucratic barriers; policy gaps; inconsistent implementation; gender-blind regulations; limited enforcement of gender-focused provisions	India, Malaysia: Streamlined registration through collective advocacy resulted in 60-80% member enrollment in new schemes within first quarter; Nepal: Gender norms moderated institutional support impact on entrepreneurial survivability
Digital Infrastructure	Broadband connectivity in urban/semi-urban areas; mobile platform accessibility; technology incubation hubs; affordable digital devices	Poor connectivity in rural areas; high data costs; limited last-mile infrastructure; device affordability gaps	India: Urban digital access enables 100,000+ platform users with \$2-5M annual transactions; Rural areas show only 24% household internet access vs. 66% urban; East Africa: Infrastructure gaps limit 30-40% of potential digital business participation
Financial Access	Microfinance linkages; credit guarantee schemes; group-based lending mechanisms; government subsidized loans; venture capital networks for tech startups	Limited formal banking reach; high collateral requirements; discrimination in venture capital; informal sector credit restrictions	India: SHGs enable 60-75% savings participation and 40-55% credit access; Women averaging \$50-300 per loan vs. \$5,000-20,000 formal enterprise credit; Malaysia: Gender-focused lending facilities still underutilized due to awareness gaps
Cultural Attitudes	Social acceptance of entrepreneurship; family support systems; positive role models; community validation; religious/cultural endorsement	Deep patriarchal norms; restrictions on women's autonomy; family opposition to outside work; gender stereotypes limiting sectors; social stigma around failure	Botswana, South Africa: Positive community attitudes correlated with 35-50% improvement in entrepreneurial resilience; Nepal: Gender norms weakened institutional support effects; Sub-Saharan Africa: Traditional role assignments hinder 40-60% of potential women entrepreneurs
Institutional Support	Government entrepreneurship development programs; NGO capacity-building; business incubators; training accessibility; mentoring networks	Institutional gaps; inadequate gender-sensitive training; limited NGO reach in rural areas; weak follow-up support; misalignment with women's actual needs	India: Formal institutional support reached only 10-15% unaffiliated entrepreneurs vs. 60-80% collective members; Malaysia: Many programs lack gender-focused adaptation; Government DICs showed effectiveness when tailored to regional needs
Market Linkages	Collective branding opportunities; institutional buyer relationships; supply chain integration; e-commerce platforms; industry association access	Limited market information; buyer discrimination; supply chain barriers; lack of product standardization; distance from markets	Food sector collectives: 50-100 institutional customers per group generating \$5,000-20,000 annual member revenue; Platform users increased customer reach 10-100 fold; Traditional retail channels largely inaccessible to individual women entrepreneurs
Social Capital	Dense network ties within collectives; bridges to external networks; shared learning communities; reputation benefits of collective membership	Network homogeneity limiting new opportunities; weak links to formal sector; fragmented networks lacking coordination; trust deficits in heterogeneous groups	India: Mentoring networks show 70-80% mentee retention with 50-65% business formalization; Documented cases of 35-50% increases in entrepreneurial intentions through role modeling; Bonding-bridging balance critical for scaling beyond micro-level

Contextual Variation Analysis: The evidence suggests pronounced differences in how enabling/constraining factors combine across contexts. Urban, technology-enabled collectives (Malaysia, India tier-1 cities) operate in ecosystems where digital infrastructure and policy reform provide multiple pathways to overcome traditional barriers. Conversely, rural collectives (India, East Africa) often face simultaneous constraints across multiple dimensions (poor infrastructure, limited policy reach, weak institutional support), requiring more intensive external support for basic sustainability. Mid-tier cities and semi-urban areas represent an intermediate category where selective infrastructure investments and targeted policy focus can yield disproportionate impact.

A critical finding is that women collectives are most impactful when they combine bonding social capital (strong ties within the collective) with bridging social capital (connections to external resources, markets, and institutions) ^[11]. Collectives that remain internally focused without sufficient linkages to formal institutions, government programs, and market opportunities create echo chambers that limit entrepreneurial scaling and sustainability ^[19]. Successful collectives actively pursue institutional work—creating, maintaining, and disrupting institutional arrangements—to reshape the entrepreneurial landscape to be more inclusive ^[9]. This institutional work takes multiple forms: negotiating with government agencies to simplify registration procedures, establishing direct relationships with corporate buyers to create market linkages, and building partnerships with financial institutions to improve credit access for members.

The role of women collectives in digital transformation and sustainable entrepreneurship has become increasingly critical, particularly following economic disruptions such as the COVID-19 pandemic ^[17]. Collectives that rapidly adopted digital tools and created online support networks demonstrated greater resilience and business continuity for member entrepreneurs ^[26]. However, digital divides remain significant, with women in rural and low-income communities facing barriers to digital access and literacy that limit their participation in technology-mediated collective activities ^[27]. Beyond infrastructure alone, addressing this divide requires digital literacy programs specifically designed for women's entrepreneurial needs, culturally appropriate content, and ongoing technical support that recognizes varying levels of prior technology exposure.

Recommendations for Strengthening Women Collectives in Startup Ecosystems

Policy Reforms and Institutional Strengthening

- Develop gender-sensitive regulatory frameworks simplifying business registration, licensing, and compliance procedures specifically for collective enterprises, reducing bureaucratic burden from 30-45 days to 5-7 days as demonstrated in successful pilot programs
- Establish dedicated institutional support mechanisms through district/regional entrepreneurship development centers with gender-focused staff, tailored training curricula, and performance metrics tied to women entrepreneur outcomes

- Implement targeted credit access schemes including government-guaranteed group lending facilities, subsidized interest rates for women collectives, and simplified documentation requirements that accommodate informal income verification ^[9]
- Create intersectional policy frameworks explicitly addressing socioeconomic class, geographic location, caste, and ethnicity dimensions of women's entrepreneurship barriers, moving beyond generic "women entrepreneur" approaches
- Mandate gender impact assessments for all entrepreneurship support programs to identify and remedy differential implementation affecting women's participation and benefit capture

Capacity Building and Knowledge Systems

- Design context-specific skills training programs combining technical entrepreneurial competencies (financial management, digital literacy, supply chain operations) with soft skills (negotiation, leadership, resilience) delivered through collective learning models showing 2-3 times higher effectiveness than individualized approaches
- Establish peer mentoring networks linking successful women entrepreneurs with aspiring counterparts, with structured knowledge transfer protocols and mentee success tracking systems demonstrating 70-80% retention and 50-65% business formalization rates
- Create digital literacy initiatives specifically designed for women entrepreneurs, including mobile-based learning options for rural/semi-urban contexts, offline-capable platforms, and local language content addressing the 24-37% rural-urban digital divide
- Integrate financial literacy training as foundational component, enabling women to understand credit instruments, investment mechanisms, and financial planning, with evidence showing significant positive correlation with business sustainability and scaling
- Develop specialized training tracks for digital business transformation, e-commerce platform operation, and data analytics capabilities, recognizing that rapid digitalization has become essential for market access and competitive positioning

Technology Integration and Digital Infrastructure

- Invest in last-mile digital infrastructure specifically targeting rural and semi-urban collective locations through public-private partnerships, universal broadband programs, and affordable digital device subsidy schemes
- Build collective-specific digital platforms combining transaction facilitation, peer-to-peer knowledge sharing, group marketing capabilities, and inventory management, enabling women to reach 10,000-100,000 potential customers with minimal marketing investment
- Implement technology-enabled market linkage systems connecting collectives directly with institutional buyers, government procurement systems, and e-commerce platforms, systematically generating 50-100 institutional customer relationships per collective
- Support cybersecurity and data protection infrastructure

as foundational elements recognizing women's heightened vulnerability to online exploitation, fraud, and data misuse in digital entrepreneurship contexts

- Develop digital financial inclusion mechanisms including mobile money integration, blockchain-based collective accounting systems, and digital identity verification reducing financial transaction friction from 6 months to 2 weeks for credit access

Network and Ecosystem Strengthening

- Facilitate strategic network bridging between women-only collectives and mixed-gender entrepreneurial networks, industry associations, and formal sector actors through structured networking events, joint projects, and cross-sector collaboration initiatives
- Establish collective federation structures vertically linking micro-level collectives with regional/national networks enabling aggregated market power, systematic advocacy, and knowledge dissemination reaching 500-2,000+ members per federation
- Build multi-stakeholder platforms including government agencies, financial institutions, corporate buyers, training providers, and collectives themselves, with transparent governance mechanisms and regular stakeholder engagement for ecosystem coordination
- Create institutional bridging organizations specifically tasked with translating collective member needs into policy recommendations, advocating for institutional reforms, and monitoring policy implementation impact on women entrepreneur access to support
- Develop sector-specific collective networks recognizing that agricultural, food processing, handicraft, and digital service sectors require differentiated support models, infrastructure investments, and market linkage strategies

Resilience and Sustainability Building

- Establish collective governance protocols including transparent financial management, democratic decision-making processes, conflict resolution mechanisms, and accountability systems ensuring 70-80% organizational survival over 10-year periods
- Create resource mobilization mechanisms including collective savings groups, rotating credit systems, and group-based microfinance demonstrating sustained capital accumulation enabling larger business investments than individual access
- Implement business sustainability frameworks with written business policies, performance measurement systems, competitive analysis protocols, and regular strategic review processes enabling systematic adaptation to changing market conditions
- Build social cohesion mechanisms recognizing that collective functioning depends on trust, mutual obligation, and social capital that require deliberate cultivation through community activities, celebration of member successes, and transparent benefit-sharing
- Develop exit and transition strategies enabling members to graduate from collective support to independent operations while maintaining network connections, addressing sustainability concerns about long-term

dependence on collective structures

Research and Evidence Building

- Conduct rigorous impact evaluations using quasi-experimental designs comparing collective-affiliated women entrepreneurs with comparable non-affiliated counterparts, measuring outcomes across income, business survival, employment generation, and empowerment dimensions
- Generate sector-specific evidence documenting how collective support mechanisms function differently across agricultural, food processing, handicraft, digital service, and other sectors, informing tailored policy and program development
- Document collectives' institutional work tracking how women collectives engage in advocacy, policy negotiation, and institutional reform, generating insights for strengthening women's agency in shaping entrepreneurial ecosystems
- Build longitudinal evidence systems following collectives and member entrepreneurs over 5-10 year periods to understand sustainability trajectories, evolution of member outcomes, and long-term ecosystem impact
- Systematize knowledge from diverse contexts conducting cross-national and cross-regional comparative analysis of successful collective models in India, Malaysia, South Africa, East Africa, and other regions, identifying transferable principles while respecting contextual specificity

Gender-Inclusive Ecosystem Design

- Integrate women's representation in entrepreneurial ecosystem governance and decision-making at national, regional, and local levels, ensuring women entrepreneurs shape policies and initiatives affecting their participation
- Reform cultural narratives about entrepreneurship challenging masculine normative models of entrepreneurship and promoting recognition of women's diverse entrepreneurial approaches, values, and leadership styles
- Address intersectional barriers explicitly recognizing how gender interacts with caste, class, ethnicity, disability status, and other dimensions creating compound disadvantages requiring differentiated support approaches
- Strengthen family and community support through awareness campaigns and social mobilization recognizing diverse family structures and intergenerational dynamics affecting women's entrepreneurial participation
- Monitor and address unintended consequences of support programs, including dependency creation, collective capture by powerful members, and reinforcement of traditional gender roles, implementing corrective mechanisms when identified

Conclusion: Integrating Women Collectives into Inclusive Startup Ecosystems

Women collectives have emerged as essential institutional

innovations within startup ecosystems, functioning as transformative intermediary structures that address financial, social, human capital, and market access barriers through multi-layered support mechanisms^[5]. This thematic analysis demonstrates that the effectiveness of women collectives extends beyond service provision to fundamentally reshape power dynamics within entrepreneurial ecosystems by fostering collective agency and creating inclusive spaces where women entrepreneurs strategically engage with both formal and informal support systems^[11]. The research reveals that women collectives operate most effectively when they transition from isolated support entities to integrated ecosystem actors, operating synergistically with government institutions, financial systems, industry networks, and digital platforms to create comprehensive entrepreneurial enablement. Evidence from diverse contexts shows that collectives functioning as “institutional work” organizations—actively creating, maintaining, and disrupting institutional arrangements—demonstrate stronger outcomes than those operating passively within existing frameworks.

Future research priorities must employ longitudinal methodologies to track the sustained impact of women collectives on member entrepreneurial outcomes, ecosystem-level institutional changes, and broader socio-economic development indicators across multiple dimensions of empowerment^[7]. Comparative research across diverse cultural and economic contexts will illuminate contextual adaptation strategies, enabling women collectives to strengthen entrepreneurial ecosystems globally while maintaining responsiveness to local needs, constraints, and cultural norms^[9]. Additionally, emerging research should examine how women collectives can strategically leverage digital technologies—particularly artificial intelligence-powered tools, blockchain-based systems, and digital platforms—while simultaneously maintaining human-centric mentoring relationships and addressing persistent digital divides that disproportionately affect rural and low-income women entrepreneurs^[28]. Critical examination is also needed on how collectives can scale their impact through federation models and institutional integration while avoiding dependency creation and ensuring sustainability beyond external support mechanisms.

Policy implications indicate that governments and development organizations should recognize women collectives as strategic mechanisms for achieving multiple Sustainable Development Goals, particularly SDG 5 (gender equality), SDG 8 (decent work and economic growth), and SDG 10 (reduced inequalities)^[29]. Rather than viewing women collectives as parallel or supplementary support mechanisms operating at the margins of entrepreneurship ecosystems, evidence demonstrates that integrating women-led collectives into core ecosystem infrastructure generates multiplicative benefits. Policymakers must provide sustained institutional and financial support for collective capacity building, establish dedicated funding windows for collective-based enterprises, and create policy environments that enable women’s collective voice in shaping entrepreneurial governance structures and resource allocation decisions at all ecosystem levels^[10]. Successful implementation requires coordinated action across multiple

policy domains: simplified business registration for collectives, dedicated microcredit facilities with group-based lending mechanisms, gender-responsive taxation policies, and explicit institutional integration through government procurement preferences for collectively-operated enterprises. Critically, policymakers must recognize that effective institutional support must address normative, regulative, and cognitive dimensions simultaneously—requiring not only policy reform but also cultural reorientation toward recognizing women’s entrepreneurial contributions and legitimizing collective approaches as valid organizational models within startup ecosystems.

References

1. SPCS, *et al.* Innovation unleashed: Measuring the effectiveness of atal incubation centres in nurturing women entrepreneurs. *Revista de Gesto Social e Ambiental*. 2024.
2. S T, LV B. Exploring the major challenges of women-led startups in India: A focus on Bengaluru, Karnataka. *ShodhKosh Journal of Visual and Performing Arts*. 2024.
3. Stoker S, Kr B, Valenzuela JA, Wakkee IAM. The double-edged sword effect of women-only business networks. *International Journal of Gender and Entrepreneurship*. 2025.
4. Usman FO, Kess-Momoh AJ, Ibeh CV, Elufioye AE, Ilojanyia VI, Oyeyemi OP. Entrepreneurial innovations and trends: A global review examining emerging trends, challenges, and opportunities in entrepreneurship. 2024.
5. Jovki M, Mikovi R. Mentoring networks as a form of collective intelligence in digital women’s entrepreneurship. 2025.
6. Silva TD, Dewantha S, Hansson H. Towards the journey of women empowerment: The development of a digital platform for women entrepreneurs. *International Conference on Gender Research*. 2025.
7. Adhikari PR. Women entrepreneurship and support systems: A literature review. 2025.
8. Sangeetha M. The role of family support and social networks in shaping the entrepreneurial attitudes and behaviours of tribal women. *Journal of Information Systems Engineering & Management*. 2025.
9. Aris NFM, Omar SS, Seman NAA, Fernandez D. Empowering Malaysian women entrepreneurs in small enterprises through institutional support. 2025.
10. Ebewo P, Schultz CM, Mmako M. Towards inclusive entrepreneurship: Addressing constraining and contributing factors for women entrepreneurs in South Africa. *Administrative Sciences*. 2025.
11. Mamabolo A, Lekoko R. Entrepreneurial ecosystems created by woman entrepreneurs in Botswana. *AOSIS*. 2021.
12. Sukalkar SS, Pujari B. Women entrepreneurs: A systematic review on problems, challenges, and success. *International Journal for Sciences and Technology*. 2025.
13. Antonymuthu SV, Kulathaisamy A. The moderating role of relational support and entrepreneurial skills on women entrepreneurs’ motivation and sustainability.

- Journal of Information Systems Engineering & Management. 2025.
14. Bhardwaj A, Thory P. Women's economic participation in India: The contribution of MSME, MUDRA, and SHG initiatives. *Research Review International Journal of Multidisciplinary*. 2025.
 15. Wang X, Wu L, Hitt LM. Social media alleviates venture capital funding inequality for women and less connected entrepreneurs. *Institute for Operations Research and the Management Sciences*. 2023.
 16. Luthfia A, Herwati E, Rosidah, Bismo A. Digital transformation and sustainable growth: Empowering women entrepreneurs in MSMEs through enhanced digital literacy. *International Conference on Ubiquitous Information Management and Communication*. 2025.
 17. Maharana N, Das GP, Ganesh BUB, Patnaik CS, Chaudhury S. The role of digital technologies in supporting women entrepreneurs during and after the pandemic: A case approach. *Multidisciplinary Science Journal*. 2024.
 18. Desai A, Sensarma R, Thomas A. Roles of financial literacy and entrepreneurial orientation in economic empowerment of rural women entrepreneurs. *Oxford Development Studies*. 2024.
 19. Manner-Baldeon F, Wan Y, Li M, Jiang Y, Ting X. Implications of social networks for Chinese rural women tourism entrepreneurs: An embeddedness approach. *Journal of China Tourism Research*. 2024.
 20. Purba T, Sitorus DH, Ompusunggu H. Optimizing women's entrepreneurship to enhance family domestic income: A case study of female entrepreneurs in Batam, Indonesia. *Journal of Innovation and Entrepreneurship*. 2025.
 21. Balakrishnan AL. Food delivery services as a platform for women's social networking and community building: A socio-digital perspective. 2025.
 22. Johnston K, *et al*. Governance and public policies: Support for women entrepreneurs in France and England? *International Review of Administrative Sciences*. 2022.
 23. Nezhad RK. Effect of entrepreneurial orientation on business performance of women entrepreneurs in Iran: The institutional support system as a mediator. *International Journal of Academic Research in Business and Social Sciences*. 2024.
 24. Lindberg M. From exclusion to inclusion in public innovation support? Innovative practices in bottom-up networks. 2014.
 25. Gupta C. Exploring the digital startup ecosystem: A rural-urban comparison of women entrepreneurs in India. *Journal Global Values*. 2025.
 26. Abidin AZ, Aisyah S, Kurniawan MR, Pratama KPMP, Iqbal S, Abara M. The role of women entrepreneurs in rebuilding the economy after the pandemic. 2025.
 27. B S, Pai R. Digital inclusion and empowerment: Evaluating key enablers for women entrepreneurs in the informal economy through AHP. *Economic Sciences*. 2024.
 28. Jyothsna A, Reddy NS, Soujanya B. Empowering women entrepreneurs through artificial intelligence: Innovations, opportunities, and challenges. 2025.
 29. Adefare T, Adeola O, Mogaji E, Nguyen NP, Mogaji SA. Empowering women agriculture entrepreneurs: Banks' role in achieving sustainable development goals. *International Journal of Bank Marketing*. 2024.