

International Journal of Agriculture Extension and Social Development

Volume 8; Issue 12; December 2025; Page No. 195-200

Received: 23-10-2025
Accepted: 25-11-2025

Indexed Journal
Peer Reviewed Journal

Role of Crop Diversification in Reducing Farm Risks: A Case Study from Haryana

¹Diksha Beniwal and ²Dr. Abhey Singh

Research Scholar Department of Economics Chaudhary Devi Lal University, Sirsa, Haryana, India

²Department of Economics Chaudhary Devi Lal University, Sirsa, Haryana, India

DOI: <https://www.doi.org/10.33545/26180723.2025.v8.i12c.2752>

Corresponding Author: Diksha Beniwal

Abstract

Agriculture is a key part of India's economy, and a large part of the rural population depends on farming for their living. Haryana is a state known for its productive farms, but farming is becoming riskier because of climate change, unpredictable weather, changing market prices, and rising costs of inputs. Farmers are now more vulnerable to both environmental and economic shocks because they mostly grow only wheat and rice. To tackle these issues, crop diversification has been suggested as a strategic method to mitigate farm risks and bolster the resilience of agricultural systems.

This study looks at how crop diversification can help lower farm risks, with a focus on Haryana, a state that has a lot of different agricultural problems to deal with. The study employs a qualitative research methodology to examine the effects of diversified cropping systems on farm risk management, income stability, soil health, and long-term sustainability. The study utilizes comprehensive interviews, focus group discussions, and case studies involving farmers, agricultural specialists, and extension officers to elucidate the practical effects of diversification on farming households.

The results show that growing different types of crops has many benefits, such as lowering risk by diversifying income, making it easier to adapt to changes in the weather, improving soil fertility, and making farms more sustainable. Farmers who grew a wider range of crops, such as horticultural crops, pulses, and oilseeds, saw their financial situation improve, their ability to deal with market changes improve, and the environment improve, with better soil health and less need for chemical inputs. However, obstacles to broader use of diversification practices included limited access to technical knowledge, poor market infrastructure, and money problems.

The research shows that Haryana needs specific policies and support systems to help farmers switch to more varied cropping systems. These include making it easier for farmers to get good seeds, making market connections stronger, giving them technical training, and giving them money to switch to more environmentally friendly farming methods. In general, the research shows how important it is to grow a variety of crops in order to lower farming risks and make sure that farming in Haryana can continue for a long time. Farmers can become more resilient to changes in the environment, improve their economic stability, and help the region's overall goal of sustainable agricultural development by embracing diversification.

Keywords: India's economy, farming, cropping systems, income stability, soil health, sustainable agricultural development, crop diversification

1. Introduction

Agriculture has been the most important part of India's economy, making a big difference in the GDP and the lives of millions of people. In states like Haryana, where farming is a big part of the rural economy, the sector is even more important. Haryana is known for having a lot of productive farms, especially for growing wheat and rice. This has helped keep the country's food supply safe. But even though the state's agriculture is doing well in terms of production, it is facing more and more problems that could make it less sustainable and hurt the farmers' economic health.

One of the biggest problems is that farming is very vulnerable to a lot of risks, such as climate change, unpredictable weather, changing market prices, and pest and disease outbreaks that happen at random. Farmers in Haryana are very vulnerable to these risks because they rely too much on a few staple crops, especially wheat and rice. This monoculture method has made farm families more vulnerable to price drops, crop failures, and environmental

stressors, even though it has helped the economy in the short term.

As these risks grow, crop diversification has become a possible way to make farms less vulnerable and more resilient overall. Crop diversification means growing different types of crops, either by switching them out every season or by growing them all at once. This lowers the risks that come with monoculture. Farmers can lower their risks by growing a variety of crops that are affected by different weather and market conditions. This leads to more stable incomes and better long-term health.

Crop diversification isn't a new idea, but it has gotten a lot of attention lately as a way to deal with the growing uncertainties in farming. Diversifying can make you less reliant on one crop, spread out the risks that come with market changes, improve soil health, and boost overall productivity. Even though crop diversification could be good for farmers, it has been slow to catch on because of a number of problems, such as not having enough access to

information, not having enough infrastructure, high initial costs, and market limitations.

This study investigates the function of crop diversification in mitigating agricultural risks in Haryana, emphasizing its effects on farm income, environmental sustainability, and long-term agricultural viability. The research seeks to evaluate the efficacy of diversification strategies in alleviating the risks encountered by farmers in Haryana, particularly amid climate change and economic volatility, by analyzing their experiences. The study employs qualitative methods, such as interviews and focus group discussions, to comprehend the practical ramifications of diversification on farm households, identify the challenges encountered by farmers, and propose strategies to encourage diversified farming systems.

The main objectives of this research are to:

1. Investigate how crop diversification affects risk management in Haryana's agricultural landscape.
2. Assess the economic, environmental, and social benefits of crop diversification for farmers.
3. Identify barriers to the adoption of diversified cropping systems and propose strategies to overcome these obstacles.
4. Provide insights into policy measures that can encourage the widespread adoption of crop diversification as a strategy for sustainable agriculture in Haryana.

2. Literature Review

A lot of agricultural research has talked about how crop diversification can help lower farm risks. Crop diversification is a key way to reduce risk, make farming more sustainable, and make it more resilient, especially in places like Haryana, where farming is becoming more uncertain in terms of both the environment and the economy. This part looks at the current research on crop diversification, including its pros and cons, and how it applies to Indian farming.

2.1. Theoretical Foundations of Crop Diversification

Crop diversification is based on risk management theory, which says that farmers can lower their risk by changing the way they grow things. Markowitz's Portfolio Theory (1952) says that putting money into a variety of investments can lower risk by spreading it out over assets that don't have a lot in common with each other. In the same way, farmers can reduce production risks by growing different types of crops that are affected by weather, pests, diseases, and changes in the market.

Diversification's role in lowering risk is well-known in the field of agricultural economics. The main risks in farming are production risks (like bad weather, pests, and diseases), price risks (like changing prices of goods), and financial risks (like not having enough money or credit). By spreading investments across many crops, diversification makes sure that a failure or downturn in one crop doesn't mean losing all of your money. This keeps farm income steady over time (Singh & Verma, 2016)^[18].

2.2. Crop Diversification and Risk Mitigation

Studies have consistently demonstrated that crop diversification can markedly mitigate the risks encountered

by farmers. Kumar *et al.* (2019)^[9] conducted a study that shows how diversification can help reduce production risks, especially when the weather changes. Farmers are less likely to be affected by extreme weather events like droughts or floods when they rotate crops that need different amounts of water and temperature. For instance, rice needs a lot of water, but wheat or pulses might be better at surviving dry spells, which reduces environmental risk.

Hazell and Wood (2008)^[6] have made the same point: that growing different crops reduces economic risks, especially by making income streams more stable. The authors say that farmers can sell their crops at different times of the year if they grow more than one type of crop. This makes them less reliant on one crop for cash flow. Being able to grow crops that are in demand on the market can also lower the risk of price swings.

Ghosh *et al.* (2015)^[5] also said that farmers can make more money by growing non-cereal crops like fruits and vegetables, which have higher market demand and shorter growing seasons. This can help them become more financially stable. This strategy of diversifying can also help keep household income steady during lean times when staple crops like wheat or rice might not bring in enough money.

2.3. Environmental and Soil Health Benefits of Crop Diversification

Crop diversification is good for the environment as well as reducing risk. Nambiar (2009)^[11] and Kumar *et al.* (2017)^[14]^[14] underscored that crop diversification, especially via the rotation of legumes and pulses, improves soil fertility by diminishing the reliance on synthetic fertilizers. Legumes, for example, add nitrogen to the soil, which makes it richer in nutrients and lowers the cost of chemical inputs for farmers.

Crop diversification also helps farms become more resilient to pests and diseases by increasing biodiversity. Altieri (1999)^[1] says that diversified farming systems lower pest pressure by making agricultural landscapes more complex and giving natural predators places to live. This is especially true in Haryana, where farmers have become too reliant on chemical pesticides because they only grow one crop. This has hurt the environment and farmers' health.

Rao and Joshi (2015)^[13] also say that farming systems that are more varied can better handle extreme weather events like heat waves, floods, and droughts. Farmers can protect their entire harvest from bad weather by growing crops that need different amounts of water and temperature.

2.4. Economic Implications of Crop Diversification in India

India has done a lot of research on the economic benefits of growing different types of crops. Sundaram and Mittal (2014) found that farmers can make more money by growing more than just rice and wheat, which are their main crops. This is especially true for crops like fruits, vegetables, and flowers, as well as high-value crops like oilseeds and pulses, which have more stable prices than wheat and rice, which can change quickly. But a number of studies also show that diversifying crops can be hard on the economy. For instance, Vyas (2017)^[21] says that diversification can make more money, but it also needs

better seeds, inputs, and technology. Additionally, farmers who want to grow niche crops face big problems with market access and price risk. In many rural areas of Haryana, the infrastructure for marketing and storage is not good enough. This leads to high post-harvest losses and price changes, which can make diversification less useful. Rao and Joshi (2015) ^[13] also say that the success of crop diversification depends a lot on the local context. In places like Haryana, where water is hard to come by, diversification strategies need to be made to fit the specific agro-climatic conditions of each district. Farmers need to know a lot and be trained in order to choose crops that can grow in areas with little water or that are resistant to drought.

2.5. Barriers to the Adoption of Crop Diversification

In many places, including Haryana, crop diversification is still not widely used, even though it has many benefits. The literature has identified a number of obstacles to widespread adoption. Sahai *et al.* (2017) ^[14] contend that a principal factor contributing to sluggish adoption is the deficiency of technical expertise and assistance. Farmers frequently lack knowledge regarding optimal crop selection, management practices, and strategies for addressing emerging pest and disease challenges.

Singh and Verma (2016) ^[18] also talk about the money problems that smallholder farmers have. Many farmers in Haryana can't afford the new equipment, seeds, and technology that are often needed for crop diversification. Also, many farmers are afraid to try new crops because they don't know how they will do and they don't want to take any risks.

Another big problem is getting into the market. For crops like vegetables, fruits, and pulses to be successful, they need reliable ways to market them, good places to store them, and quick transportation. But in many rural areas of Haryana, these services are either not good enough or don't exist at all. This leads to losses after harvest and makes it hard to sell crops at prices that are competitive. Ghosh *et al.* (2015) stress that diversification will not be a viable strategy for many farmers unless these problems with infrastructure and markets are fixed.

2.6. Policy Implications and Support for Crop Diversification

There have been a lot of suggestions for policies to encourage farmers to grow a wider range of crops. Vyas (2017) ^[21] says that the government should give money and other benefits to farmers who grow a variety of crops, especially those that are worth a lot of money and need less water. These could be things like help with buying irrigation equipment, crop insurance for systems that grow a lot of different kinds of crops, and loans for smallholder farmers.

Rao and Joshi (2015) ^[13] also say that agricultural extension services should be better so that farmers can learn how to diversify successfully. They say that extension services should teach farmers about the environmental and economic benefits of growing different crops and give them advice on how to choose and care for their crops.

Another important policy suggestion is to improve rural infrastructure, like storage and transportation. Farmers will be able to better deal with post-harvest losses and make sure

that their diverse crops get to market in good shape if these infrastructure problems are fixed. This will make them more profitable.

3. Research Methodology

This study employs a qualitative research methodology to investigate the function of crop diversification in mitigating agricultural risks in Haryana. Qualitative methods are particularly effective for comprehending the complexities and subjective experiences of farmers, especially in contexts where personal perceptions, local knowledge, and socio-economic factors are influential. The research seeks to obtain comprehensive insights into farmers' perceptions and implementations of crop diversification strategies, the challenges encountered, and the resultant outcomes.

3.1. Research Design

The study uses a case study method and looks at three districts in Haryana: Karnal, Hisar, and Ambala. These districts were chosen because they have a variety of agricultural systems, from areas where farmers mostly grow wheat and rice to areas where farmers have started to grow different types of crops. The case study method enables an in-depth analysis of farmers' experiences, challenges, and achievements regarding diversification within a particular geographical and cultural framework.

3.2. Study Area Selection

- **Karnal:** This district is known for its high agricultural productivity, especially when it comes to wheat and rice. However, there are also areas where farmers have started to grow other crops, such as vegetables, oilseeds, and pulses. The study in Karnal will look at how crop diversification affects farmers of all sizes.
- **Hisar:** A district that has had problems with not having enough water and changing weather, making it a good place to study how diversification can protect farmers from these environmental risks. Hisar also has a mix of old and new farming methods, with some being more varied than others.
- **Ambala:** A district where people are becoming more interested in horticulture and agroforestry. Ambala is a good example of a place in Haryana where more and more farmers are growing fruits, vegetables, and non-cereal crops, especially those who are more forward-thinking.

3.3. Sampling and Participant Selection

A purposive sampling method was used to select participants who could provide the most relevant and rich data for the study. Farmers were selected based on the following criteria:

- **Diversified Farmers:** Those who have adopted diversification strategies, such as rotating crops, growing vegetables, pulses, oilseeds, or engaging in mixed farming (agriculture combined with animal husbandry or agroforestry).
- **Monoculture Farmers:** Those who primarily grow wheat and rice, without significant diversification, to provide a comparative perspective on the benefits and challenges of diversification.

- **Agricultural Experts and Extension Officers:** Agricultural extension officers, researchers, and policymakers who have worked on or have knowledge of crop diversification initiatives in Haryana were also interviewed to provide insights into the broader policy and institutional factors influencing diversification practices.

In total, 40 farmers were selected for individual interviews, with 10 farmers from each of the three districts. The sample also includes 10 agricultural experts, who were interviewed separately to provide professional perspectives on crop diversification in Haryana.

3.4. Data Collection Methods

Data collection was carried out using semi-structured interviews and focus group discussions (FGDs). These methods allowed for flexibility, enabling the researcher to probe deeper into specific issues while still maintaining a structured approach.

3.5. Data Analysis

The data collected from interviews and FGDs were analyzed using thematic analysis. Thematic analysis is a qualitative method that involves identifying, analyzing, and reporting patterns (or "themes") within the data.

4. Results and Discussion

This part shows what we learned from talking to farmers, agricultural experts, and extension officers in Haryana through interviews and focus group discussions (FGDs). The results are examined to answer the research questions about how crop diversification can lower farm risks, with a focus on the economic benefits, environmental sustainability, and the problems that farmers face. The results are divided into five main themes: how people feel about crop diversification, how it affects the economy, how it helps the environment, the problems with adopting it, and suggestions for policies.

4.1. Perceptions of Farmers on Crop Diversification

4.1.1. Motivation for Diversification

Farmers in Haryana who have used crop diversification strategies generally thought that these strategies helped lower risks. The main reason for diversification was to spread risk across different crops so that they were less likely to be affected by changes in the market, bad weather, or pest outbreaks. Farmers in Karnal who grew vegetables, pulses, and oilseeds said that if one crop failed because of a disease or bad weather, the other crops helped them financially.

A farmer from Hisar explained:

"If I had only wheat, a hailstorm or drought would wipe out my entire season. But now, with vegetables and pulses, even if the wheat crop fails, I can still earn something from the other crops."

Some farmers in Ambala also started growing fruits and vegetables to get into high-value markets. Another big reason was the growing demand for horticultural products and the fact that their prices were more stable than those of cereals.

4.1.2. Risk Reduction through Diversification

Farmers agreed that growing different kinds of crops helped lower their risk of many things. When crops were varied, climatic risks like droughts, floods, and rain that didn't come when it was supposed to were seen as less dangerous. This was especially true for farmers who grew both drought-resistant crops like pulses and water-intensive crops like rice. A farmer in Ambala said:

"I grow rice in the monsoon and mustard in the winter. Mustard needs less water, and it gives me income when the rice market is slow. If the weather is bad for rice, mustard often saves me."

Farmers also said that diversifying their crops helped them avoid economic risks like prices that change. Prices for wheat and rice are affected by government policies and seasonal trends. However, prices for vegetables, fruits, and pulses were often higher in local markets, especially when there was a lot of one type of crop and not enough of another.

4.2. Economic Impact of Crop Diversification

4.2.1. Increased Income Stability

Farmers said that one of the best things about crop diversification was that it made their income more stable. Farmers who grew a variety of high-value crops, like fruits, vegetables, and oilseeds, made more money all year round. By growing a variety of crops, they could sell them at different times of the year, which gave them a steady income instead of the seasonal nature of cereal crops.

A farmer from Hisar who had diversified into tomatoes and onions remarked:

"I used to rely only on wheat and rice. Now, I have tomatoes and onions. The price of wheat fluctuates, but tomatoes can be sold year-round, and I don't have to wait for the harvest season to get money."

The example of growing vegetables in Karnal also showed how useful it is to have a variety of crops. Farmers made a steady income from vegetables like carrots, cauliflower, and peas, which was less affected by price drops in traditional crops like wheat and rice. Farmers could effectively manage income risks by taking advantage of the different price cycles of different crops in diversified cropping systems.

4.2.2. Challenges in Accessing Markets

But even though diversification helped the economy, it also made it harder for farmers to get to markets. Farmers in places like Ambala, where they grew a mix of fruits and vegetables, had trouble getting to city markets and getting fair prices. Bad roads and a lack of cold storage facilities made it hard to get the most out of high-value crops.

As one farmer in Ambala stated:

"Sometimes we grow more vegetables than we can sell, and by the time we get them to the market, they spoil. There is no cold storage here, and the nearest market is far away. We end up selling at a low price or throwing the crops away."

Crops with shorter shelf lives, like vegetables, had a lot of trouble with poor marketing facilities and high post-harvest losses. Farmers who tried to grow different kinds of crops often ran into these problems, which made their efforts less profitable.

4.3. Environmental Benefits of Crop Diversification

4.3.1. Improved Soil Health and Fertility

Farmers really stressed the environmental benefits of growing different kinds of crops, especially for the health of the soil. Adding pulses and legumes to the mix was one way to improve soil fertility. Legumes put nitrogen into the soil, which means that farmers don't have to use as many chemical fertilizers and can farm in a more environmentally friendly way. Farmers who grew wheat and mustard or legumes in the same field said their soil was better and less likely to degrade than farmers who only grew one crop.

A farmer from Hisar who grew pulses alongside wheat explained:

"I can see the difference. The soil is better, and I don't need as much fertilizer for my wheat now. Pulses help restore the soil, and it's cheaper for me to farm."

Also, crop rotations that included oilseeds and pulses were shown to improve soil structure, reduce erosion, and add more organic matter to the soil, all of which helped the land stay healthy over time. This was especially important in Haryana, where monoculture farming has led to the land being overused and the health of the soil getting worse.

4.3.2. Reduced Dependency on Chemicals

Farmers who used organic methods and grew a variety of crops also said they used fewer chemical pesticides and fertilizers. Farmers cut down on the number of pests that usually only affect one type of crop by growing a variety of crops, such as mustard, legumes, and other non-cereal crops. Because there were fewer problems with pests, there was less need for chemical pesticides.

One farmer in Karnal shared:

"I used to spray chemicals on my rice crop every few weeks, but after I started growing mustard alongside it, I noticed fewer pests. I still use pesticides, but less often."

This reduction in chemical use not only benefited the environment by reducing soil and water pollution but also lowered input costs for farmers, contributing to greater overall profitability.

4.4. Barriers to Adoption of Crop Diversification

4.4.1. Knowledge and Awareness Gaps

Even though there are clear benefits, there are still some problems that make it hard to adopt crop diversification. The biggest problem was that farmers didn't know enough or weren't aware of what was going on. A lot of farmers, especially those in rural areas, didn't know what crops would work well for diversification, especially those that would do well in the area's soil and weather. Most people didn't have access to technical support or agricultural extension services that could help them make smart choices about what crops to grow.

A farmer from Ambala expressed:

"I didn't know that mustard would grow well here. I tried it on a small piece of land and it worked. But I had to figure it out on my own. There's no support from the government for such new crops."

Farmers who had diversified often relied on word-of-mouth knowledge from neighbors or local markets, but systematic guidance on crop selection, crop rotation, and pest management was lacking.

4.4.2. Financial Constraints and Initial Investment

The need for a lot of money to diversify was another big problem. Many small and marginal farmers, especially, didn't have enough money to buy seeds, tools, and equipment for new crops. Many farmers found it hard to diversify because of the high costs, such as labor and inputs, that came with it.

As noted by a farmer in Hisar:

"I would like to try growing vegetables and fruits, but the cost of seeds, fertilizer, and tools is too high for me. It would take several years to make back the money I invest, and I don't have that kind of capital."

Government schemes and financial support were not always accessible to smaller farms, exacerbating the financial barriers to diversification.

5. Conclusion

This study has investigated the function of crop diversification in mitigating agricultural risks in Haryana, emphasizing its effects on economic resilience, environmental sustainability, and overall farm viability. The results show that growing different types of crops can be very helpful, as it can lower risks, improve soil health, make income more stable, and make the environment more sustainable. Farmers in Haryana can make their lives more stable by growing a variety of crops. This makes them less vulnerable to climate-related risks, price changes, and market swings.

Farmers in Haryana choose to diversify their crops mainly to lower the risks that come with unpredictable weather, unstable markets, and the financial problems that come with monoculture farming. Farmers who grew a wider range of high-value crops, like fruits, vegetables, pulses, and oilseeds, said they did better financially because they had more stable income and were less likely to lose crops. The advantages of diversification were especially clear when it came to environmental sustainability, as it led to better soil fertility and less need for chemical inputs. It was shown that rotating crops like legumes with cereals can improve soil structure and slow down degradation. This also makes farming practices more sustainable in the long run.

But there are still big problems that make it hard for most people in Haryana to use crop diversification. These include not knowing which crops are best for diversification, not being able to get agricultural extension services, not having enough money, and not having enough market infrastructure. A lot of farmers still don't know about different types of crops and ways to grow them that will work well in their specific agro-climatic conditions. Also, small-scale farmers can't buy new crops and technologies because they don't have enough money. Access to markets is still a big problem, especially for high-value crops that need to be stored, moved, and linked to markets in a reliable way.

To get through these problems, the government and agricultural organizations need to offer better support systems. These should include more agricultural extension services, help with money, and better market infrastructure. Farmers will be more likely to try out different cropping systems if they get help from subsidies, training programs, and easier access to credit. Improvements to rural infrastructure, like better transportation networks and cold

storage facilities, will also help lower post-harvest losses and make it easier for farmers to reach bigger markets. In the end, Haryana's use of crop diversification has a lot of potential to make farms more sustainable, help farmers deal with risks, and boost economic growth in the agricultural sector. Haryana can move toward more sustainable and resilient farming practices that are good for both farmers and the environment by removing the obstacles to diversification and giving farmers the help they need. In conclusion, crop diversification is not a cure-all, but it is a good way to lower farm risks and make Haryana's agriculture more resilient. This study's results show that crop diversification can be an important part of the state's overall plan for agricultural development if it gets the right support. This will help farming last longer and improve the lives of people living in rural areas.

References

- Altieri MA. The ecological role of biodiversity in agroecosystems. *Agric Ecosyst Environ*. 1999;74(1-3):19-31.
- Bhatia JK, Bishnoi DK, Malik DP, Karwasra JC. Extent of crop diversification in Haryana. *Indian J Econ Dev*. 2020;16(2s):218-224.
- Birthal PS, Joshi PK, Roy D, Thorat A. Diversification in Indian agriculture toward high-value crops: the role of small farmers. *Can J Agric Econ*. 2013;61(1):61-91.
- Chahal D, Kumar K. Crop diversification initiatives to facilitate sustainable agriculture in Haryana: a review. *Int J*. 2024;2(2):8-17.
- Ghosh P, Saha D, Bandyopadhyay A. Impact of crop diversification on farm income and risk management in West Bengal. *Indian J Agric Econ*. 2015;70(2):215-229.
- Hazell PB, Wood S. The role of agriculture in development: implications for policy and investment. *World Dev*. 2008;36(11):1961-1976.
- Kaur G, Kumar R. Temporal changes in crop diversification: a case study in a Punjab village. *Agric Econ Res Rev*. 2023;36(1):103-110.
- Kumar P, Kumar S. Agricultural diversification—an opportunity for smallholders: a case study of Sonapat district of Haryana. *IOSR J Humanit Soc Sci*. 2018;23(1):55-63.
- Kumar P, Yadav R, Sharma S. The role of crop diversification in sustainable agriculture. *Agric Econ Res Rev*. 2019;32(2):93-103.
- Meena VS, Singh S, Sharma S, Meena S, Verma D. An analysis of doubling income from crop diversification in Haryana. (Journal details missing—please provide for completion.)
- Nambiar EKS. Crop diversification for sustainable agriculture in India. *Indian J Agric Sci*. 2009;79(4):312-320.
- Neogi S, Ghosh BK. Evaluation of crop diversification on Indian farming practices: a panel regression approach. *Sustainability*. 2022;14(24):16861.
- Rao S, Joshi PK. Crop diversification for sustainable livelihoods and food security: Indian perspectives. In: *Agricultural Sustainability and Climate Change: Policy Options and Practical Solutions*. Cham: Springer; 2015. p. 221-234.
- Sahai R, Kumar V, Sharma A. Barriers and enablers to crop diversification in Haryana: a qualitative study. *Int J Agric Sci Res*. 2017;8(2):33-44.
- Sharma S, Shastri S. Economic determinants of agricultural diversification and their impact on household food security: evidence from Haryana, India. *Ann Arid Zone*. 2025;64(1):91-101.
- Sharma S, Shastri S. Unveiling patterns and drivers of agricultural diversification: empirical findings from farm households in Kaithal district of Haryana, India. *Int J Soc Econ*. 2025; (In press).
- Singh O, Singh H. The response of farmers to flood hazard under rice-wheat ecosystem in Somb basin of Haryana, India: an empirical study. *Nat Hazards*. 2015;75(1):795-811.
- Singh S, Verma S. Crop diversification and its impact on farm income. *Indian J Agric Econ*. 2016;71(3):234-245.
- Singh S, Jones AD, DeFries RS, Jain M. The association between crop and income diversity and farmer intra-household dietary diversity in India. *Food Secur*. 2020;12(2):369-390.
- Sundaram S, Mittal S. Economic viability of crop diversification in India. *Indian Econ Rev*. 2014;49(1):81-100.
- Vyas A. Challenges in the adoption of crop diversification in India. *J Rural Dev*. 2017;36(4):409-426.
- World Bank. *Agriculture and risk management: challenges and opportunities in the 21st century*. Washington (DC): World Bank Group; 2016.