



International Journal of Research in Agronomy

E-ISSN: 2618-0618
P-ISSN: 2618-060X
© Agronomy
www.agronomyjournals.com
2024; SP-7(12): 288-291
Received: 12-10-2024
Accepted: 18-11-2024

Prem Singh Tak
Glocal School of Agricultural
Science, Glocal University,
Saharanpur, Uttar Pradesh, India

Raghav Tomar
Glocal School of Agricultural
Science, Glocal University,
Saharanpur, Uttar Pradesh, India

Geeta Vishnoi
Assistant Agriculture Research
Officer, Agriculture Department,
Jodhpur, Rajasthan, India

Hari Singh Bhati
Agriculture Lecturer, Department
of Education, Jodhpur, Rajasthan,
India

Moolaram
Scientist, Department of
Agronomy, Agriculture Research
Station, Mandor, Jodhpur,
Rajasthan, India

Rakesh Datta
Agriculture Lecturer, Department
of Education, Jodhpur, Rajasthan,
India

Corresponding Author:
Prem Singh Tak
Glocal School of Agricultural
Science, Glocal University,
Saharanpur, Uttar Pradesh, India

Effect of integrated nutrient management on growth and yield of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) under Western Uttar Pradesh Conditions

Prem Singh Tak, Raghav Tomar, Geeta Vishnoi, Hari Singh Bhati, Moolaram and Rakesh Datta

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.33545/2618060X.2024.v7.i12Se.2185>

Abstract

A field experiment was conducted at Agriculture Farm, Glocal School of Agricultural Science, Saharanpur, Uttar Pradesh during *Rabi* season of 2015-16 to study the effect of integrated nutrient management on growth and yield of wheat. The results reveal that the application of 100% RDF + FYM $2t\ ha^{-1}$ + VC $1\ t\ ha^{-1}$ recorded significant improvement in growth, yield attributes and yield of wheat under the agro-climatic condition of Saharanpur, Uttar Pradesh.

Keywords: Wheat, vermicompost, spike length and tillers

Introduction

Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) is the most important staple food crop of the world and emerged as the backbone of India's food security. It is cultivated in almost all countries; the major wheat producing countries are China, India, USA, Russia, France and Australia. It is one of the most important and remunerative *Rabi* cereal crop of India.

In modern agriculture, keeping in mind status of the soil health, it is well recognized that neither organic manures nor chemical fertilizers individually can supplement the balanced amount of nutrients required by the plant to sustain production. Area under cultivation of wheat cannot be stretched beyond certain limits only possibility is the maximization of production per unit area per unit time and maintenance of soil fertility (Ramesh *et al.*, 2009) [7]. Long term studies being carried out at several locations in India indicated that application of all the needy nutrients through chemical fertilizers have deteriorating effect on soil health leading to unsustainable yields (Eid *et al.*, 2006) [3]. Under these circumstances integration of chemical and organic sources and their management have shown promising results not only in sustaining the productivity but have also proved effective in maintaining soil health and enhanced nutrient use efficiency (Chesti *et al.*, 2013) [2].

Wheat is nutrient exhaustive and had resulted in decline of soil organic carbon and deteriorating soil health in general for sustainability of the system well as the overall soil health, organic sources play an important role. To curb this trend of declining yield, there is a need to adopt the concept of integrated nutrient management. The appropriate combination of mineral fertilizer, organic manures, compost or bio-fertilizers along with incorporation of micronutrients can be feasible and viable to sustain agriculture as a commercial and profitable means ensuring high yield of crop without deterioration in quality of the produce.

Nitrogen occupies a conspicuous place in plant metabolism. Nitrogen fertilizer is known to effect on the various growth attributes, yield attributes and yield. Appropriate management of N fertilization has the potential to improve the yield and nutritional quality of wheat (Shi *et al.*, 2010) [9]. Phosphorus is of paramount importance for increasing yield and energy transfer in the living cells by means of high energy phosphate bonds of ATP. Thus, it is considered important for formation and translocation of carbohydrates, fatty acids, glycerols and other essential intermediate compounds.

Potassium (K) uptake by crop is at par or higher than nitrogen (N) uptake. Wider nutrient consumption ratio is aggravating the problem of negative nutrient balance in soils, also for K, which plays a major role in influencing the quality parameters. The farmers apply FYM @ 10t ha⁻¹ but certainly they face a challenge especially in deciding the quantity of vermicompost. Therefore, it is right time to evaluate the feasibility and efficacy of these manures in improving and building up of soil fertility in combination with chemical fertilizers. Thus, to maintain soil health, an integrated nutrient management approach involving vermicompost and inorganic sources has to be standardized so as to compare the efficacy of different sources of organic manures with recommended level of FYM/fertilizers (Upadhyay *et al.*, 2011) [13].

Materials and Methods

An experiment was conducted during *Rabi* season of 2015-16 at Agriculture Farm, Glocal School of Agricultural Science, Saharanpur, and Uttar Pradesh. The soil was loamy sand in texture having a pH of 8.3 (Alkaline), EC 1.1 (dS m⁻¹), low in organic carbon (0.14%) and low available nitrogen (132.7 kg ha⁻¹), medium in available phosphorus (16.3 kg ha⁻¹) and low in available potassium (150.4 kg ha⁻¹). The experiment was conducted in randomized block design with replicate thrice consisted of ten nutrient management treatments viz. (T₀) Control, (T₁) 50% RDF (60-30-30 kg N-P-K ha⁻¹), (T₂) 75% RDF (90-45-45 kg N-P-K ha⁻¹), (T₃) 100% RDF (120-60-60 kg N-P-K ha⁻¹), (T₄) 50% RDF + FYM 3 t ha⁻¹, (T₅) 75% RDF + FYM 3 t ha⁻¹, (T₆) 100% RDF + FYM 3 t ha⁻¹, (T₇) 50% RDF + FYM 2 t ha⁻¹ + Vermicompost 1 t ha⁻¹, (T₈) 75% RDF + FYM 2 t ha⁻¹ + Vermicompost 1 t ha⁻¹ and (T₉) 100% RDF + FYM 2 t ha⁻¹ + Vermicompost 1 t ha⁻¹. The treatments were allocated randomly to each plot. Urea, di ammonium phosphate and

murate of potash were used as a source of nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium. The crop was fertilized as per treatment and a full dose of phosphorus and potassium as basal and nitrogen applied as basal as well as top dressing. Manures were applied one month before sowing. HD-2967 variety of wheat was used as a test crop. Other crop management methods were accompanied as per the recommendation of the area.

Statistical analysis and interpretation of data

Data recorded on various parameters of wheat crop in the experiment was subjected to analysis by using Fisher's method of analysis of variance (ANOVA) and interpreted as outlined by Gomez and Gomez (1984) [4]. The levels of significance used in 'F' and 'T' test was P=0.05. Critical difference values were calculated where F test was found significant.

Results and Discussions

Integrated nutrient management practices exerted significant effect on growth characters, yield attributes and yield of wheat. Application of 100% RDF + FYM 2t ha⁻¹ + VC 1t ha⁻¹ recorded significantly higher plant height (84.5 cm), number of tillers (107.1 m⁻¹ row length) and dry matter accumulation (266.3 g m⁻¹ row length) over rest of the treatments at harvest (Table 1). Increase in plant height might be due to application of combined nutrient management to favorable function of adding organics in plant to improve cell enlargement and cell elongation which results in more vegetative growth and ultimately increased the plant height. Similar results were also reported by Sepat *et al.* (2010) [8] and Singh *et al.* (2011) [10]. Increase in number of tillers may be due to role of combined nutrient management in improving utilization of nitrogen which directly plays a role in cellular metabolism and reflected in higher of tillers.

Table 1: Growth characters of wheat as influenced by integrated nutrient management practices at harvest stage

Treatments	Growth characters at harvest		
	Plant height (cm)	No. of tillers (m ⁻¹ row length)	Dry matter accumulation (g m ⁻¹ row length)
Control	62.3	78.9	223.9
50% RDF (60-30-30 kg N-P-K ha ⁻¹)	68.9	85.1	246.5
75% RDF (90-45-45 kg N-P-K ha ⁻¹)	70.5	88.7	250.0
100% RDF (120-60-60 kg N-P-K ha ⁻¹)	74.6	92.3	253.7
50% RDF + FYM 3 t ha ⁻¹	71.5	90.2	251.4
75% RDF + FYM 3 t ha ⁻¹	76.2	94.5	256.3
100% RDF + FYM 3 t ha ⁻¹	78.9	96.7	257.6
50% RDF + FYM 2 t ha ⁻¹ + VC 1 t ha ⁻¹	80.7	99.9	259.4
75% RDF + FYM 2 t ha ⁻¹ + VC 1 t ha ⁻¹	82.1	101.7	262.3
100% RDF + FYM 2 t ha ⁻¹ + VC 1 t ha ⁻¹	84.5	107.1	266.3
S.E.M±	0.97	1.4	1.7
LSD (P=0.05)	2.82	4.4	5.1

Table 2: Yield attributes of wheat as influenced by integrated nutrient management practices

Treatments	Yield Attributes			
	No of effective tillers (m ⁻¹ row length)	Spike length (cm)	Spikelets spike ⁻¹	Test weight (g)
Control	70.24	6.53	25.62	35.28
50% RDF (60-30-30 kg N-P-K ha ⁻¹)	82.62	7.05	26.25	35.70
75% RDF (90-45-45 kg N-P-K ha ⁻¹)	90.81	7.72	26.63	35.86
100% RDF (120-60-60 kg N-P-K ha ⁻¹)	94.18	8.35	27.02	36.17
50% RDF + FYM 3 t ha ⁻¹	86.12	8.37	28.65	36.48
75% RDF + FYM 3 t ha ⁻¹	93.45	8.49	28.77	37.26
100% RDF + FYM 3 t ha ⁻¹	97.48	9.10	30.53	37.62
50% RDF + FYM 2 t ha ⁻¹ + VC 1 t ha ⁻¹	88.69	8.52	29.19	37.09
75% RDF + FYM 2 t ha ⁻¹ + VC 1 t ha ⁻¹	98.08	9.25	30.87	37.49
100% RDF + FYM 2 t ha ⁻¹ + VC 1 t ha ⁻¹	100.21	9.33	31.62	38.10
S.E.M±	1.33	0.23	0.67	1.74
LSD (P=0.05)	3.95	0.68	1.98	NS

Table 3: Yield and harvest index of wheat as influenced by integrated nutrient management practices

Treatments	Yield (q ha ⁻¹)			Harvest index (%)
	Grain yield	Straw yield	Biological yield	
Control	23.0	37.2	60.2	38.23
50% RDF (60-30-30 kg N-P-K ha ⁻¹)	30.6	48.0	78.7	38.91
75% RDF (90-45-45 kg N-P-K ha ⁻¹)	34.2	52.6	86.7	39.39
100% RDF (120-60-60 kg N-P-K ha ⁻¹)	36.7	56.2	92.8	39.44
50% RDF + FYM 3 t ha ⁻¹	34.3	51.4	85.7	40.00
75% RDF + FYM 3 t ha ⁻¹	36.7	56.3	93.1	39.45
100% RDF + FYM 3 t ha ⁻¹	39.5	59.6	99.1	39.83
50% RDF + FYM 2 t ha ⁻¹ + VC 1 t ha ⁻¹	36.2	53.3	89.5	40.42
75% RDF + FYM 2 t ha ⁻¹ + VC 1 t ha ⁻¹	41.8	59.9	101.7	41.10
100% RDF + FYM 2 t ha ⁻¹ + VC 1 t ha ⁻¹	42.0	61.3	103.4	40.65
S.E.M ₊	1.5	1.1	1.8	1.15
LSD (P=0.05)	4.3	3.4	5.4	NS

These results are in close conformity with the finding of Ram and Mir (2006) [6], Singh *et al.* (2007) [12] and Singh *et al.* (2008) [11]. The differences was noted due to the improvement in number of tillers and plant height accomplished with more number of leaves led to positive effect on dry matter accumulation. The results are in close agreement with the findings of Sepat *et al.* (2010) [8] and Singh *et al.* (2011) [10].

Among the treatments, application of 100% RDF + FYM 2 t ha⁻¹ + VC 1 t ha⁻¹ recorded significantly higher number of effective tillers (100.21 m⁻¹ row length), spike length (9.33 cm) and number of spikelets spike⁻¹ (31.62) which was statistically at par with 75% RDF + FYM 2 t ha⁻¹ + VC 1 t ha⁻¹ over rest of the treatments. However, test weight was found to be non-significant (Table 2). Adequate availability and translocation of nutrients tends healthy plant which led to more spike length positively completed with more spikelet spike⁻¹ and effective tillers. Such an improved yield attributes can be linked with balanced nutrition particularly nitrogen which play a vital role in cell division and cell elongation as well as increase in sink size which provide a feedback to sources for production of higher amount of photo-synthates. Higher level of nutrients improved the fertility level of soil and creates congenial condition for better growth and development thus, improved the yield attributes. Similar findings were also reported by Singh *et al.* (2007) [12], Singh *et al.* (2011) [10], Barthwal *et al.* (2013) [1] and Jat *et al.* (2013) [5]. Significantly higher grain yield (42.0 q ha⁻¹), straw yield (61.3 q ha⁻¹) and biological yield (103.4 q ha⁻¹) were recorded with application of 100% RDF + FYM 2 t ha⁻¹ + VC 1 t ha⁻¹ which was statistically at par with 75% RDF + FYM 2 t ha⁻¹ + VC 1 t ha⁻¹ over rest of the treatments. However, harvest index was found to be non-significant (Table 3). The favourable effect of combined use of organic and inorganic manures on sink component could be attributed to better development of the plants in terms of plant height and dry matter production leading to increased bearing capacity due to optimum growth and development of plants on account of synergistic effect of combined use of organic and inorganic manures. The increase in the yield attributes of wheat due to application either of RDF + FYM or RDF + vermicompost may be due to supply of more nutrients interns resulted in proper development of grain. Further, increased availability of nutrients with application of inorganic fertilizers and organic manures might have enhanced the nutrient uptake and consequently, grain yield. Similar results were reported by Barthwal *et al.* (2013) [1] and Jat *et al.* (2013) [5].

Conclusion

On the basis of one year experiment it may be concluded that

application of 100% RDF + FYM 2 t ha⁻¹ + VC 1 t ha⁻¹ recorded significant improvement in growth, yield attributes and yield of wheat under the agro-climatic condition of Saharanpur, Uttar Pradesh.

References

1. Barthwal A, Bhardwaj AK, Chaturvedi S, Pandiaraj T. Site specific NPK recommendation in wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) for sustained crop and soil productivity in mollisols of Tarai region. Indian Journal of Agronomy. 2013;58(2):208-214.
2. Chesti S, Tomer S, Singh S. Effect of irrigation and fertility levels on growth, yield and quality of mustard (*Brassica juncea*). Indian Journal of Agronomy. 2013;37(1):76-78.
3. Eid RA, Sedera A, Attia M. Influence of nitrogen fixing bacteria incorporation with organic and inorganic fertilizers on growth, flower yield and chemical composition of *Celosia argentea*. World Journal of Agricultural Sciences. 2006;2(4):450-458.
4. Gomez AK, Gomez AA. Statistical Procedures for Agriculture Research. New York: John Wiley and Sons, 1984, p. 680.
5. Jat G, Majumdar SP, Jat SP, Majumdar SP. Potassium and zinc fertilization of wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) in Western arid zone of India. Indian Journal of Agronomy. 2013;58(1):67-71.
6. Ram T, Mir MS. Effect of integrated nutrient management on yield and yield attributing characters of wheat (*Triticum aestivum*). Indian Journal of Agronomy. 2006;31(3):189-192.
7. Ramesh P, Panwar NR, Singh AB, Ramana S. Production potential, nutrient uptake, soil fertility and economics of soybean (*Glycine max*) based cropping systems under organic, chemical and integrated nutrient management practices. Indian Journal of Agronomy. 2009;54(3):278-283.
8. Sepat RN, Rai RK, Dhar S. Planting systems and integrated nutrient management for enhanced wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) productivity. Indian Journal of Agronomy. 2010;55(2):114-118.
9. Shi R, Zhang Y, Chen X, Sun Q, Zhang F, Romheld V, Zou C. Influence of long-term nitrogen fertilization on micronutrient density in grain of winter wheat (*Triticum aestivum*). Journal of Cereal Science. 2010;51:165-170.
10. Singh CM, Sharma PK, Kishor P, Mishra PK, Singh AP, Verma R, *et al.* Impact of integrated nutrient management on growth, yield and nutrient uptake by wheat (*Triticum aestivum*). Asian Journal of Agriculture Research. 2011;5(1):76-82.

11. Singh F, Kumar R, Pal S. Integrated nutrient management in rice-wheat cropping system for sustainable productivity. Journal of the Indian Society of Soil Science. 2008;56(2):205-208.
12. Singh RK, Singh SK, Singh LB. Integrated nitrogen management in wheat (*Triticum aestivum*). Indian Journal of Agronomy. 2007;52(2):124-126.
13. Upadhyay VB, Jain V, Vishwakarma SK, Kumhar AK. Production potential, soil health, water productivity and economics of rice (*Oryza sativa*)-based cropping systems under different nutrient sources. Indian Journal of Agronomy. 2011;56(4):311-316.