



ISSN Print: 2664-6064
ISSN Online: 2664-6072
IJAN 2024; 6(2): 84-88
www.agriculturejournal.net
Received: 28-05-2024
Accepted: 06-07-2024

Md. Mohib Bullah
Department of Agriculture,
Swami Vivekananda
University, Barrackpore,
West Bengal, India

Ria Mukhopadhyay
Department of Agriculture,
Swami Vivekananda
University, Barrackpore,
West Bengal, India

Molecular biology and control strategies for plant viruses

Md. Mohib Bullah and Ria Mukhopadhyay

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.33545/26646064.2024.v6.i2b.185>

Abstract

Plant viruses are significant pathogens that pose a major threat to global agriculture, causing extensive crop losses and economic damage. Understanding the molecular biology of plant viruses is crucial for developing effective control strategies. Plant viruses are primarily composed of nucleic acids (RNA or DNA) encased in a protein coat, and they rely on host cellular machinery for replication and movement within the plant. Key molecular mechanisms include virus entry, replication, movement, and host-virus interactions, such as the manipulation of host gene expression and suppression of plant defense responses.

Molecular techniques have greatly advanced our understanding of these processes. Techniques such as Reverse Transcription Polymerase Chain Reaction (RT-PCR), Next-Generation Sequencing (NGS), and CRISPR-Cas systems enable precise detection, identification, and characterization of plant viruses at the genetic level. These tools also facilitate the study of viral evolution and the development of resistance in plants.

Control strategies for plant viruses are multifaceted. Breeding for virus-resistant cultivars is an approach which is often achieved through conventional breeding or genetic engineering. Transgenic plants expressing viral coat protein genes or RNA interference (RNAi) constructs have shown promise in conferring resistance. Additionally, cross-protection, where a mild strain of the virus is used to protect against a more virulent strain, is another effective method. Cultural practices, such as crop rotation, sanitation, and vector control, play essential roles in managing virus spread. Integrated Pest Management (IPM) strategies that combine biological, chemical, and cultural practices are vital for sustainable control.

Keywords: Plant virus, nucleic acids, control strategies

Introduction

Plant viruses are obligate intracellular parasites that infect a wide range of plant species, leading to significant agricultural losses worldwide. The impact of these pathogens on crop production is profound; they can reduce yields by up to 90% in severe cases, resulting in substantial economic losses estimated at billions of dollars annually (Tatineni and Hein, 2023) ^[4]. Understanding the molecular biology of plant viruses is essential for developing effective control strategies that can mitigate their impact on agriculture. The structure of plant viruses typically consists of nucleic acids either RNA or DNA encased in a protein coat known as a capsid. Unlike animal viruses, which can utilize various transmission methods, plant viruses primarily rely on vectors such as insects or nematodes for transmission between hosts (Frankel-Conrat, 1986) ^[2]. Once inside a host plant cell, viruses hijack the cellular machinery to replicate and spread throughout the plant. This review aims to provide a comprehensive overview of the molecular biology of plant viruses, including their mechanisms of infection and replication, host interactions, molecular detection techniques, and management strategies.

Molecular biology of viruses

Structure and composition

The majority of plant viruses have single-stranded RNA (ssRNA) genomes, making them RNA viruses. However, plant viruses can also have double-stranded RNA (dsRNA) or DNA-based genomes. This diversity allows plant viruses to exploit various replication strategies, depending on their genomic makeup (Adams *et al.*, 2017) ^[1].

Corresponding Author:
Ria Mukhopadhyay
Department of Agriculture,
Swami Vivekananda
University, Barrackpore,
West Bengal, India

The genome size of plant viruses is generally small, typically ranging from 3 to 10 kilobases, and it encodes a limited number of proteins essential for their lifecycle, including replicase proteins, movement proteins, and capsid proteins. The capsid is the protein shell that encloses the viral genome, providing stability and aiding in host infection processes. Despite the simplicity of their structure, plant viruses exhibit various morphologies, including rod-shaped, filamentous, isometric, and bacilliform forms, each suited to the virus's specific mode of transmission and interaction with the host.

Types of plant viruses

1. RNA Viruses

Most plant viruses are RNA-based and can be further classified based on the nature and orientation of their RNA genomes:

- **Positive-Sense Single-Stranded RNA (+ssRNA):** These viruses have RNA genomes that can directly serve as mRNA, allowing immediate translation of viral proteins upon infection. Examples include the Tobamovirus group, which contains Tobacco Mosaic Virus (TMV), a well-studied virus that infects a broad range of plants, including tobacco, tomatoes, and peppers.
- **Negative-Sense Single-Stranded RNA (-ssRNA):** These viruses have genomes that are complementary to the mRNA, meaning they require RNA-dependent RNA polymerase (RdRP) for transcription before translation can occur. Negative-sense RNA viruses are less common in plants.
- **Double-Stranded RNA (dsRNA):** These viruses have genomes composed of two complementary RNA strands. Reoviruses, such as *Rice dwarf virus*, fall into this category and are often transmitted by insect vectors.
- **Segmented RNA viruses:** Some RNA viruses have segmented genomes, where the genome is split into multiple RNA segments, each encoding different proteins. This segmentation can facilitate re-assortment, promoting genetic diversity.

2. DNA viruses

DNA viruses in plants are less common than RNA viruses but can cause significant agricultural damage. They are typically classified into:

- **Single-Stranded DNA (ssDNA) viruses:** The most notable examples are geminiviruses, which have small, circular ssDNA genomes. These viruses, such as Tomato yellow leaf curl virus and *Cassava mosaic virus*, are often transmitted by whiteflies and cause severe diseases in various crops, including tomatoes and cassava. Geminiviruses are unique for their twin (geminat) capsid structure and are known for inducing host cell cycle dysfunction to enhance viral replication.
- **Double-Stranded DNA (dsDNA) viruses:** Although rare in plants, some plant-infecting dsDNA viruses exist, though they are less studied compared to ssDNA viruses.

Mechanisms of infection

The infection cycle of plant viruses is a complex, multi-step process involving entry, replication, movement, and manipulation of host cellular mechanisms. Each step is

crucial for the virus to successfully infect, replicate, and spread within the plant.

1. **Entry:** Unlike animal viruses, which often enter cells by fusion or endocytosis, plant viruses typically gain access through physical wounds or by being transmitted by vectors. Insects such as aphids, whiteflies, and nematodes are common vectors that facilitate viral entry by piercing plant tissues, directly delivering the virus into plant cells. Once the virus reaches a host cell, it breaches the cell wall and enters the cytoplasm.
2. **Replication:** Upon entry, the viral nucleic acid (RNA or DNA) is released into the host cell's cytoplasm. The virus hijacks the host's cellular machinery for replication. For RNA viruses, the RNA genome is often directly used as a template for protein synthesis, while DNA viruses first translocate their genomes to the nucleus. The virus relies on host ribosomes, enzymes, and other factors to translate its genome and produce the viral proteins needed for replication and assembly of new virions.
3. **Movement:** Plant viruses spread from cell to cell through plasmodesmata, the microscopic channels that link neighboring plant cells. Some viral proteins, known as movement proteins, modify plasmodesmata to allow larger viral complexes to pass through. For systemic infection, viruses travel through the phloem, the plant's vascular system, reaching distant tissues.
4. **Host Interactions:** To establish infection, viruses manipulate the host's cellular processes, often suppressing defense mechanisms. One key target is the RNA silencing pathway, a crucial antiviral defense in plants. Many plant viruses produce viral suppressor proteins that inhibit RNA silencing, enabling the virus to evade immune responses and increase replication efficiency. Additionally, some viruses alter host gene expression to create a favorable environment for viral replication and movement (Wege and Koch, 2020) ^[5].

Host-virus interactions

Host-virus interactions in plants are intricate and involve a dynamic interplay of molecular mechanisms that viruses exploit to facilitate their infection, replication, and survival within host tissues. Understanding these interactions is critical for advancing agricultural science and developing effective strategies for viral disease management in plants.

One significant aspect of host-virus interaction is gene expression manipulation. When a virus infects a plant cell, it can alter the host's gene expression patterns to create an environment favorable for its own replication and spread. By hijacking the host's cellular machinery, the virus suppresses or activates specific genes to support viral replication. For instance, certain plant viruses can modify the expression of genes involved in cellular metabolism and membrane transport, thereby enhancing the availability of cellular resources and transport pathways for viral particles. In this way, viruses manipulate host cellular pathways to prioritize their own survival over the normal functions of the plant, often leading to stunted growth or other physiological symptoms in the infected plant.

Another key mechanism is defense suppression. Plants have an innate immune system that recognizes Pathogen-Associated Molecular Patterns (PAMPs) to mount an immune response. However, many plant viruses produce proteins specifically designed to counteract these immune

defenses (Nguyen *et al.*, 2024) ^[6]. Viral proteins can inhibit host signaling pathways or interfere with the production of defensive molecules such as reactive oxygen species (ROS) that would otherwise help restrict viral spread. For example, some viral suppressor proteins interfere with RNA silencing, a critical antiviral defense mechanism in plants that targets viral RNA for degradation. By inhibiting RNA silencing, viruses can avoid degradation and maintain their presence in the host cells, facilitating ongoing replication and movement through plant tissues.

Through these mechanisms, viruses maintain a delicate balance with the host. While they suppress the immune system and exploit host resources, the host also adapts by evolving new defense mechanisms, such as the development of resistance genes that can recognize specific viral proteins. However, viruses often overcome these defenses by mutation, resulting in a continuous arms race between host and pathogen (Jiang and Zhou, 2023) ^[3].

Host-virus interactions in plants are characterized by the virus manipulating gene expression and suppressing defense mechanisms, allowing it to establish infection and avoid immune detection. These insights into viral strategies for host manipulation provide valuable knowledge for developing viral-resistant crop varieties, reducing crop losses, and enhancing food security.

Molecular techniques for virus detection

Molecular techniques for virus detection have revolutionized plant virology, enabling researchers and agricultural professionals to detect plant viruses with remarkable speed, accuracy, and sensitivity. The early detection of plant viruses is essential for preventing outbreaks and managing viral diseases that can cause significant losses in crop production. Below, we explore three advanced molecular techniques: Reverse Transcription Polymerase Chain Reaction (RT-PCR), Next-Generation Sequencing (NGS), and CRISPR-Cas Systems that are widely used in plant virus diagnostics, each offering unique advantages in sensitivity, specificity, and scalability.

1. Reverse Transcription Polymerase Chain Reaction (RT-PCR)

RT-PCR is one of the most established and widely used methods for detecting RNA viruses in plants. This technique begins with the reverse transcription of viral RNA into complementary DNA (cDNA), followed by amplification of the cDNA using polymerase chain reaction (PCR). The reverse transcription step is crucial, as it converts RNA, which is often unstable and prone to degradation, into a more stable DNA form that can then be amplified and detected.

The primary advantage of RT-PCR lies in its high sensitivity, allowing for the detection of even low viral loads that might be present during the early stages of infection or in asymptomatic plants. Additionally, RT-PCR is highly specific, as it uses primers short DNA sequences designed to match segments of the virus's genome to selectively amplify only the viral sequences of interest. This specificity makes RT-PCR an excellent choice for targeting known viruses, making it possible to distinguish between closely related viral strains.

There are several variations of RT-PCR, including quantitative RT-PCR (qRT-PCR), which provides real-time quantification of viral RNA. qRT-PCR measures viral load

directly in infected plant tissue and can be used to assess disease severity. Another advancement is digital RT-PCR (dRT-PCR), which partitions the sample into thousands of small reactions, allowing for absolute quantification of viral RNA without the need for a standard curve. The main limitations of RT-PCR are its reliance on prior knowledge of the virus to design specific primers and its potential inability to detect novel or highly mutated virus strains.

2. Next-Generation Sequencing (NGS)

Next-Generation Sequencing (NGS) is a transformative technology that enables comprehensive and unbiased detection of viral genomes directly from plant samples, often without requiring prior knowledge of the virus. This high-throughput sequencing approach has the capacity to analyze vast amounts of DNA and RNA sequences in parallel, making it a powerful tool for identifying multiple viruses in a single assay, discovering novel viruses, and studying viral diversity and evolution.

The NGS process begins with the extraction of nucleic acids from plant tissue, which may include the genetic material of any pathogens present. The nucleic acids are then sequenced, producing millions of short DNA or RNA reads. These reads are subsequently assembled into longer sequences, which can be analyzed and compared to known viral sequences in genetic databases to identify the viruses present. Metagenomic approaches in NGS allow researchers to sequence all genetic material in a sample, enabling the detection of unknown or unexpected viruses.

NGS is particularly valuable in studying the viromes the total viral content of different plant species, which helps scientists understand virus-host interactions, virus evolution, and transmission patterns. By analyzing the genetic diversity of plant viruses within a host population, NGS also aids in monitoring viral emergence and evolution in response to environmental changes and agricultural practices.

However, NGS is more complex and costly compared to traditional methods, requiring sophisticated bioinformatics tools for data analysis. Additionally, while it is extremely effective in identifying and characterizing viruses, it may not always be practical for routine testing due to the need for specialized equipment and expertise.

3. CRISPR-Cas systems

CRISPR-Cas technology, originally developed for genome editing, has recently been adapted for virus detection in plants. The CRISPR-Cas system, particularly the Cas12 and Cas13 variants, is highly versatile and can be programmed to detect specific viral sequences with high precision. CRISPR-based diagnostics involve the design of guide RNAs (gRNAs) that direct the Cas protein to bind to a specific viral sequence. Upon recognition of the target sequence, the Cas protein cleaves the viral RNA or DNA, activating a signal that can be detected visually or with simple instruments.

The use of CRISPR-Cas systems for virus detection offers several advantages, including rapid diagnostics, high specificity, and low cost. Unlike traditional RT-PCR, which requires thermal cycling, CRISPR-based methods can often be conducted at a single temperature, making them suitable for field-based or low-resource settings. For example, SHERLOCK (Specific High Sensitivity Enzymatic Reporter UnLOCKing) and DETECTR (DNA Endonuclease Targeted CRISPR Trans Reporter) are CRISPR-based

platforms that allow for detection in less than an hour, with the results visible on paper strips similar to home pregnancy tests.

CRISPR technology also allows for multiplexing, where multiple viruses can be detected simultaneously in a single test. This capability is particularly valuable in agricultural contexts, where mixed viral infections are common. Although CRISPR-based detection is still relatively new, its rapid and accurate detection capabilities show promise for integration into field diagnostics and routine screening of crops.

Control strategies for plant viruses

Control strategies for plant viruses are essential in maintaining agricultural productivity and food security. Unlike bacterial or fungal pathogens, viruses lack metabolic functions that can be targeted by pesticides, making virus management particularly challenging. Instead, effective virus control requires an integrated approach that combines breeding, cross-protection, cultural practices, and Integrated Pest Management (IPM). Each of these strategies offers distinct advantages, and combining them can lead to more sustainable and comprehensive control of viral diseases in crops.

1. Breeding resistant cultivars

Breeding virus-resistant cultivars remains one of the most effective and sustainable methods for controlling plant viruses. Resistant cultivars can withstand or reduce the effects of viral infections, decreasing crop losses and the need for other control measures. Two main approaches are employed in developing resistant cultivars: conventional breeding and genetic engineering.

Conventional breeding: Traditional plant breeding methods have been used for centuries to develop crops with desirable traits, including virus resistance. This process involves selecting plants that show natural resistance to specific viruses and crossbreeding them to produce offspring with enhanced resistance. In conventional breeding, resistance genes are introduced into susceptible cultivars by hybridizing them with resistant varieties. These resistance genes can either prevent the virus from entering plant cells or limit its ability to replicate and spread. Examples of crops developed through conventional breeding include tomato varieties resistant to tomato mosaic virus (ToMV) and potato varieties resistant to potato virus Y (PVY).

Genetic engineering: Genetic engineering allows for more precise introduction of resistance genes into plants. Transgenic plants can be developed to express viral coat proteins or RNA interference (RNAi) constructs that confer resistance to specific viruses. In one approach, plants are engineered to express viral coat proteins, which interfere with the virus's ability to infect plant cells. Another method, RNAi, uses small interfering RNAs to target and degrade viral RNA, preventing replication. Genetic engineering can provide resistance to viruses that are difficult to control through conventional breeding, such as Papaya Ringspot Virus (PRSV) in papaya and Squash Mosaic Virus (SqMV) in squash. Although transgenic approaches have shown promise, regulatory and public acceptance challenges remain, influencing their widespread adoption.

2. Cross-protection

Cross-protection is a strategy where plants are inoculated with a mild or less virulent strain of a virus to protect them against more aggressive strains of the same or related virus. This approach works by priming the plant's immune system or occupying viral replication sites, reducing the chances of infection by more severe strains.

Cross-protection has been effectively applied to manage viral diseases in crops such as citrus and tobacco. For example, mild strains of Citrus Tristeza Virus (CTV) are used to protect citrus trees against severe strains that can cause extensive damage. Cross-protection is advantageous because it is relatively low-cost, requires minimal intervention once established, and can provide long-lasting protection. However, this method requires careful strain selection to ensure that the mild strain does not evolve into a more virulent form. Additionally, cross-protection is generally effective only within specific virus species, limiting its applicability to certain crop-virus combinations.

3. Cultural practices

Cultural practices are foundational in managing plant viruses as they help reduce virus spread and prevent the establishment of viral inoculum in fields. Effective cultural practices include crop rotation, sanitation, and vector control.

Crop rotation: Crop rotation is a practice where crops are alternated in a given field over different growing seasons to disrupt the life cycles of pests and pathogens. This is especially effective in managing viruses that have host-specific vectors or persistent virus reservoirs. For instance, rotating susceptible crops with non-host crops can help break the virus-vector cycle, as vectors such as aphids and whiteflies are less likely to survive without their preferred host. In cases where viruses persist in the soil or alternative hosts, rotating crops with resistant or non-host varieties can significantly reduce the incidence of viral disease.

Sanitation: Removing plant debris, infected plants, and other sources of viral inoculum is an essential sanitation practice. Viruses can persist in crop residues, soil, or alternative host plants, allowing them to infect new crops. By removing or destroying infected plants and debris, farmers can reduce sources of infection and limit virus spread. In greenhouse settings, disinfecting tools and surfaces can also help prevent mechanical transmission of viruses.

Vector control: Many plant viruses are transmitted by insect vectors, including aphids, whiteflies, thrips, and leafhoppers. Effective vector control can therefore play a critical role in managing viral diseases. Implementing insect-proof nets, reflective mulches, and sticky traps can help reduce vector populations in fields and greenhouses. Additionally, planting virus-free seeds or seedlings minimizes the risk of introducing viruses to crops, reducing reliance on insecticides.

4. Integrated Pest Management (IPM)

Integrated Pest Management (IPM) is an approach that combines biological, chemical, and cultural practices to manage plant pathogens and pests sustainably. In the context of plant virus control, IPM emphasizes minimizing

the reliance on chemical insecticides and promoting environmentally friendly methods that reduce virus transmission by vectors.

Biological control: Biological control involves using natural predators, parasitoids, or pathogens to manage vector populations. For instance, lady beetles and lacewings are natural predators of aphids, while parasitoid wasps can target whitefly populations. Releasing these beneficial organisms into agricultural ecosystems can help control vector populations, reducing the spread of plant viruses. Additionally, entomopathogenic fungi, such as *Beauveria bassiana*, have shown effectiveness against certain insect vectors. Biological control is beneficial because it is eco-friendly and reduces the need for chemical insecticides, promoting overall environmental health.

Chemical control: Chemical insecticides are sometimes necessary to manage high vector populations, especially in cases where viral outbreaks are severe. However, chemical control should be used judiciously to avoid environmental damage and prevent vector resistance. Selective insecticides, such as neonicotinoids and insect growth regulators, target specific vector species while minimizing effects on non-target organisms. To reduce environmental impact, insecticides can be integrated with other vector control practices, such as crop rotation, sanitation, and biological control, to lower the frequency and quantity of chemical applications. Additionally, applying insecticides during key times, such as early in the growing season or at specific pest lifecycle stages, can enhance their effectiveness.

Conclusion

Plant viruses represent a significant threat to global agriculture due to their ability to cause extensive crop losses and economic damage. Understanding their molecular biology is crucial for developing effective control strategies that can mitigate their impact on food security. Advancements in molecular techniques have greatly enhanced our ability to detect and characterize these pathogens at the genetic level. Moreover, integrated management strategies that combine resistant cultivars with cultural practices offer promising avenues for sustainable control. As climate change continues to alter agricultural landscapes, ongoing research into the molecular mechanisms underlying plant-virus interactions will be essential for developing resilient crops capable of withstanding future challenges posed by these formidable pathogens.

References

1. Adams MJ, *et al.* 50 years of the International Committee on Taxonomy of Viruses: progress and prospects. *Archives of Virology*. 2017;162(5):1441-1446.
2. Fraenkel-Conrat H. Tobacco Mosaic Virus: The history of tobacco mosaic virus and the evolution of molecular biology. In: *The Plant Viruses*; c1986, p. 5-17.
3. Jiang T, Zhou T. Unraveling the mechanisms of virus-induced symptom development in plants. *Plants (Basel)*. 2023;12(15):2830.
4. Tatineni S, Hein GL. Plant viruses of agricultural importance: Current and future perspectives of virus

disease management strategies. *Phytopathology*. 2023;113.

5. Wege C, Koch C. From stars to stripes: RNA-directed shaping of plant viral protein templates structural synthetic virology for smart biohybrid nanostructures. *WIREs Nanomedicine and Nanobiotechnology*. 2020;12:1591.
6. Nguyen NH, Nguyen HD, Nguyen T. Roles of phytohormones in regulation of plant immunity against pathogens. *South Asian J Agric Sci*. 2024;4(1):222-226. doi:10.22271/27889289.2024.v4.i1c.132.